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Executive summary

Many states have adopted Community Reinvestment Acts (CRAs) similar in purpose and structure to the federal Community Reinvestment Act of 1977. These state laws were developed following the passage of the federal CRA but contain differences that reflect the unique reinvestment priorities of individual states. State CRAs have also developed during, and often in response to, a substantial increase in the nonbank mortgage company share of the mortgage market. This report focuses on state CRA laws that provide an affirmative obligation for financial institutions to meet the lending, services, and/or investment needs of their communities. The states included are Connecticut, Illinois, Massachusetts, New York, Rhode Island, Washington, West Virginia, and the District of Columbia. It summarizes the key factors of each of these laws, organizes them into ten issue groups, and identifies five findings that inform how states could consider establishing reinvestment obligations.

Key findings of this review of these state CRA laws include:

Some states conduct independent examinations of lending-, services-, and investment-related performance, while other states review federal CRA performance evaluations in conjunction with additional state-designated factors. In some states, performance evaluations are periodic, while other states review a financial institution’s performance solely in response to an application for a merger, branch, license, or other activity.

Some states apply an affirmative lending, service delivery, and investment obligation to mortgage companies, in addition to deposit-taking institutions. Most state CRAs adopted shortly after the passage of the federal CRA in 1977 applied only to banks. Several states, including Massachusetts and New York, later expanded their CRAs to cover mortgage companies. Illinois included mortgage companies when it passed its state CRA in 2021. Additionally, some states apply CRA obligations to credit unions.

Some states collect and consider information beyond what is required under the federal CRA to evaluate lending, services, and investment performance in their state. Most states rely on existing data, such as Home Mortgage Disclosure Act data for mortgage lending, or federal CRA data for small businesses or small farms, to complete their evaluations. At least one state, New York, requires additional small business lending data reporting beyond what is required by the federal CRA. Additionally, some states have the authority to collect data from institutions that are not required to report federal mortgage, small business, small farm, or other data.
The most common enforcement mechanisms include limitations on mergers, acquisitions, branching activities, and licensing, but some states have adopted additional measures. For example, a financial institution’s CRA performance rating may be a factor in the ability to conduct certain types of activities, such as serving as a depository for public monies. Some states permit less frequent exams after an institution receives a higher performance rating or require corrective action for a lower performance rating. None of the state CRAs reviewed explicitly provide for the ability to issue civil monetary penalties or structural remedies for failing to meet state CRA requirements.

State CRAs have been amended from time to time in response to changing markets. Many state CRAs were initially passed shortly after the enactment of the federal CRA in 1977. Just as the federal CRA has been revised since its passage, state CRAs have been amended to cover additional types of financial institutions, collect additional data to better understand financial markets, and address other state-specific needs.

The CFPB conducted this research to identify existing areas of collaboration between state and federal supervisory agencies, compare performance evaluation factors among certain state CRAs, inform further development and publication of market information regularly used as part of state performance evaluations, and identify where federal consumer financial protection laws and other protections are incorporated into state CRA evaluations.

Section 1 describes the Community Reinvestment Act of 1977, outlines the context of its passage, briefly summarizes subsequent legislative and regulatory changes, and discusses market changes since the passage of the Act. Section 2 provides a similar overview of the history and purpose of state CRAs. Section 3 describes the methods used in this report to identify, compare, and classify state CRAs. Section 4 reviews the results of this comparison, and Section 5 describes the findings of this review. Section 6 concludes with a summary of those findings.

This review suggests that states play an active and ongoing role in promoting reinvestment by a wide range of institutions and that continued review is necessary to understand these developments. It also suggests that data collected by federal agencies are often used for state CRA compliance and other oversight purposes.
1. Introduction

1.1. The Community Reinvestment Act of 1977

The Community Reinvestment Act of 1977 (CRA) provides that banks have a continuing and affirmative obligation to meet the credit needs of the communities where they are chartered, including low- and moderate-income communities. The CRA was established in part to help address the persistent problem of redlining, the illegal practice where people living in a certain area or neighborhood are not given the same access to loans and other credit services as people in other areas or neighborhoods on the basis of race, color, national origin, or another prohibited reason. The Fair Housing Act of 1968 prohibited discrimination on the basis of race and other factors in housing, including mortgage lending. Loan-level mortgage data made public for the first time under the 1975 Home Mortgage Disclosure Act (HMDA), however, suggested that the practice continued and additional interventions were necessary. Senator William Proxmire, the primary proponent of the CRA, stated during the debate leading up to the Act’s passage that “[t]he data provided by [the HMDA] remove any doubt that redlining indeed exists, and that many credit-worthy areas are denied loans.”

The CRA requires that the appropriate federal financial supervisory agency—the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC), or the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency (OCC)—provide a written assessment of an institution’s record of meeting the credit needs of its entire community, that regulated institutions receive a performance rating, and that supervisory agencies take a financial institution’s CRA record into account as part of their evaluation of an application for a deposit facility.

Statutes overseen and enforced by the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau (CFPB) play a role in the implementation of the federal CRA. The CFPB administers key data sources that are incorporated into federal CRA performance evaluations, such as the mortgage lending data provided by HMDA. The CFPB also administers small business lending application data required under Section 1071 of the Dodd-Frank Act. In October 2023, revisions to the federal included a transition to the use of these data once the data are available. The CFPB also supervises certain financial institutions to assess their compliance with federal consumer financial laws and enforces those laws when necessary. These violations may be reflected in a federal CRA performance evaluation and may result in a lower CRA rating.
1.2. Changes to the federal CRA since its passage

Since its passage in 1977, the federal CRA and its implementing regulations have been amended or updated to address the changing needs of financial services markets and the communities they serve. In 1989, the Financial Institutions Reform, Recovery, and Enforcement Act made a financial institution’s CRA performance evaluation and rating public. In 1994, the Riegle-Neal Interstate Banking and Branching Efficiency Act required agencies to consider a bank’s CRA rating when evaluating an application for an interstate branch and to require state-level performance evaluations, among other changes. In 1995, federal supervisory agencies completed a major overhaul of the CRA regulations, implementing a new performance evaluation structure and other components. In 1999, the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act revised the examination requirements for small banks and made other changes. In 2005, federal supervisory agencies revised the CRA regulations to change the definition of small banks, create new evaluation criteria for intermediate small banks, and refine the types of community development activities considered as part of a CRA evaluation. From time to time, federal supervisory agencies have also issued guidance on the interpretation and application of the CRA regulations, most recently in 2016.

In June 2020, the OCC, the federal CRA supervisory agency for national banks, issued a final CRA regulation that was not adopted by the remaining two supervisory agencies—the FDIC and the Federal Reserve Board. In December 2021, the OCC rescinded the regulation. In May 2022, all three federal supervisory agencies announced a joint notice of proposed rulemaking to update how CRA activities qualify for consideration, where they are considered, and how they are evaluated—the first major interagency overhaul since 1995. In October 2023, the three federal supervisory agencies finalized revisions to their regulations implementing the Community Reinvestment Act.

1.3. The mortgage market has changed since the passage of the federal CRA

Since the passage of the CRA in 1977, the residential mortgage market has undergone substantial changes. Most important for this discussion, CRA-covered banks originate and hold a much smaller share of outstanding mortgage debt than they did when the legislation was originally enacted. In 1977, banks held 74 percent of outstanding mortgage debt. By 2007, this share had declined to just 28 percent, holding relatively constant over the following years. As of 2021, nonbank mortgage companies originated 64 percent of conventional home purchase
mortgage loans, compared to the 25 percent originated by banks. Recent CFPB research also found a similar distribution in the Southern region of the United States. In these states, 61 percent of mortgage loans were made by lenders other than banks and credit unions. These same lenders made 55 percent of mortgage loans in rural areas in Southern states.

Nonbank mortgage companies’ increased market share compared to CRA-covered banks has led to some discussion about the government support these firms leverage to sustain their business model. Nonbank mortgage companies are not federally chartered, do not take deposits, and therefore may not appear to enjoy the same benefits as banks. However, their “originate-to-sell” business model would not be viable without federally supported institutions. Most of the loans they originate are sold to Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac or through Ginnie Mae’s Mortgage-Backed Securities program, and these explicitly guaranteed outlets allow them to operate without the long-term funding provided by bank deposits. In addition, mortgage companies rely on funding from regulated banks to supply most of the working capital needed to make loans.

In response to the growing market share of nonbank mortgage companies, and the indirect forms of government support they receive, the appropriateness of a federal CRA-like obligation for nonbank mortgage companies has been discussed. Similar discussions have occurred at the state level, and some states have expanded their state CRAs to apply to nonbank mortgage companies, a topic that will be discussed in more detail in later sections. These discussions recognize the important role that the mortgage market plays in promoting household wealth creation, and they acknowledge that redlining by nonbank mortgage companies must be addressed going forward.
2. About state Community Reinvestment Acts

Following the passage of the Community Reinvestment Act in 1977, a number of states adopted state-level CRAs with similar intent, structure, and terminology. The state laws reviewed cover an expansive timeframe, from the passage of New York’s CRA law in 1978 to the most recent CRA law, which Illinois passed in 2021 (with regulations for implementation proposed in 2023). Several states have amended their CRAs from time to time, refining the examination process or expanding the obligation to additional types of covered financial institutions (Figure 1).

Illinois, Connecticut, and Massachusetts specifically reference a “continuing and affirmative obligation” to meet the credit needs of local communities. Washington state references “a responsibility to meet the credit needs of [its] businesses and communities.” New York cites “the record of performance of the [banking institution or mortgage banker] in helping to meet the credit needs of its entire community.” West Virginia and Rhode Island cite the need to assess efforts to “help meet the credit needs of the local communities.”

In the stated purposes of state CRAs, these obligations are also tied to specific geographies. States approach the definition of these geographies in several ways, including consideration of the entire community, communities in which financial institutions are chartered, areas where offices or branches are maintained, or the entire state. In states where credit unions are subject to CRAs, these obligations may extend to the credit unions’ field of membership rather than a specific geography. Covered financial institutions are expected to meet the credit needs of the entire community, including low- and moderate-income communities, either explicitly in the stated purpose of the law or implicitly in the examination requirements. These requirements are discussed in further detail in Sections 4.5 through 4.7.

In every state reviewed and regardless of how the obligation is framed in the stated purpose, a covered financial institution’s CRA obligation to meet community credit needs is qualified by its ability to do so consistent with safe and sound operation.
3. Methods

This report examines the components of state CRAs in seven states and the District of Columbia. Inclusion in this analysis was determined by an initial review of state statutes in all 50 states, the District of Columbia, and U.S. territories, followed by the development of a set of comparison criteria. Further in-depth review of states that met the initial inclusion criteria produced a series of evaluation factors grouped into 10 issue areas. These evaluation factors and issue groups were further refined based on interviews with state regulators that implement the CRA in each state.

3.1. Criteria for consideration in this report

This report compares state CRA laws that provide for an affirmative obligation to meet the lending, services, and/or investment needs of the communities in which they do business. An initial scan of state laws suggests that states have taken a wide range of approaches in implementing this obligation, ranging from public notification of such an obligation to a periodic, written assessment of a financial institution’s performance. This report considers and compares states whose CRAs most closely track the structure of the federal CRA and include evaluation criteria based on lending, services, and/or investment activity. These factors are summarized in Figures 5, 6, and 7. In addition, this report only includes state CRAs that provide for one or more enforcement mechanisms. These factors are summarized in Figures 10 and 11.

Many states and other jurisdictions have adopted lending, services, and/or investment requirements to serve as a public depository, an institution that holds deposits of a state or local government. Some of the states included in this report consider the results of a state CRA performance evaluation as part that process. This report also does not include state laws that primarily address qualifications to serve as a public depository.36

Some states or territories, such as the U.S. Virgin Islands, require the preparation and publication of CRA statements outlining the types of credit they provide for a specific jurisdiction and other information.37 Similarly, Louisiana requires that a bank’s federal CRA rating be disclosed in its statement of condition38 and Mississippi requires the annual publication of a bank’s federal CRA performance evaluation for public review.39 This report also does not include states, such as Maryland,40 that have adopted community reinvestment information-sharing requirements among state and federal supervisory agencies without imposing more specific CRA obligations, although this topic is discussed more broadly in Figure 8. Finally, it does not include local reinvestment, linked deposit, or similar ordinances. An initial review of state laws and local ordinances suggests that there are many such requirements, however, and further review of these requirements could be a topic for future research.
3.2. Identifying state CRA review factors

This report describes and differentiates state CRAs based on 38 factors grouped into 10 issue areas. These factors were identified during the initial state law review and refined or expanded during the complete review of the state CRAs that met the selection criteria described in Section 2.1. Additionally, state supervisory agencies authorized to implement CRAs were asked to review and provide feedback on the evaluation factors. These evaluation factors were then organized into issue groups which convey general information about the state CRA, what types of financial institutions are subject to evaluation, how and when performance evaluations are carried out, what activities are considered as part of the performance evaluation, how coordination with other government entities is carried out, and how state CRAs are enforced.

3.3. Review of evaluation factors and findings with state supervisory agencies

State supervisory agencies authorized to implement their state’s CRA were interviewed to confirm the interpretation of the statute and any implementing regulations. These interviews included a request to confirm the accuracy of the evaluation of each state CRA under the factors described in Section 2.2, additional feedback on the evaluation factors and issue groups, and the identification of any additional states that met the evaluation criteria. Review by state regulators does not constitute an endorsement of the findings, however.
4. Summary of the design and implementation of certain state CRAs

4.1. General information

Figure 1 summarizes general information about the state CRA laws reviewed, including the date of passage, subsequent amendments, the adoption of implementing regulations, and the state regulator.

In more than half of the states reviewed, the initial passage of a state CRA law occurred in the decade following the passage of the federal CRA. However, several states have adopted CRAs more recently. Illinois adopted a state CRA as part of a broader package of financial services legislation in 2021. Likewise, most state CRAs were amended in the years following their passage. Some states amended their CRAs to apply to additional types of institutions, such as mortgage companies or credit unions. Other states revised their laws or implementing regulations to further refine the types of activities that would receive consideration under the state CRA (Figure 1). Taken together, these factors suggest that state CRAs provide long running and well-established expectations and are frequently reviewed and updated in response to the market dynamics described in Section 1.3 and other factors.
## FIGURE 1: GENERAL INFORMATION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>Passed</th>
<th>Updated</th>
<th>Citation</th>
<th>Primary Regulator</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>District of Columbia – banks, CUs, and mortgage companies</td>
<td>2001⁴⁶⁴⁷</td>
<td>2015⁴⁷</td>
<td>D.C. Code Ann. § 26-431.</td>
<td>Dept. of Insurance, Securities, and Banking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illinois – banks, CUs, and mortgage companies</td>
<td>2021⁴⁸⁴⁹</td>
<td>2021⁴⁹</td>
<td>205 Ill. Comp. Stat. Ann. 735 et seq. Regulations have been proposed but not adopted as of August 2023.⁵⁰</td>
<td>Dept. of Financial and Professional Regulation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– mortgage companies</td>
<td>2022⁵⁷</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>N.Y. Banking Law § 28-bb implementing regulations have not been proposed as of August 2023.⁵⁸</td>
<td>Dept. of Financial Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhode Island – banks and CUs</td>
<td>1995⁵⁹</td>
<td>1997⁶⁰</td>
<td>R.I. Gen. Laws Ann. § 19-9-4 et seq.</td>
<td>Division of Banking</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4.2. Institutional coverage

All the state CRAs reviewed applied to state-chartered banks. Some states, however, have expanded coverage to include certain credit unions and mortgage companies (Figure 2). The New York and Massachusetts CRAs apply to wholesale banking and limited purpose institutions, and other state CRAs include the requirement or authority to apply CRA obligations to other types of financial institutions. In some cases, state CRA coverage is further restricted based on total assets, loan origination requirements, or other factors. In states where credit unions are subject to CRA obligations, additional exemptions may apply. For example, Connecticut and Rhode Island only apply CRA obligations to credit unions with a geographic field of membership. The federal CRA applies to FDIC-insured depository institutions, including national banks, savings associations, and state-chartered banks, and does not apply credit unions and mortgage companies.\(^5\)

**FIGURE 2: INSTITUTIONAL COVERAGE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>Banks</th>
<th>Credit Unions</th>
<th>Mortgage Companies</th>
<th>Additional Coverage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Connecticut</td>
<td>Yes(^66)</td>
<td>Yes(^67)</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>District of Columbia(^68)</td>
<td>Yes(^69)</td>
<td>Yes(^70)</td>
<td>Yes(^71)</td>
<td>Non-depositories and other regulated entities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illinois(^72)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Others as designated by regulator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Massachusetts</td>
<td>Yes(^73)</td>
<td>Yes(^74)</td>
<td>Yes(^75)</td>
<td>Wholesale and limited purpose institutions(^76)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New York</td>
<td>Yes(^77)</td>
<td>Yes(^78)</td>
<td>Yes(^79)</td>
<td>Wholesale and limited purpose banking institutions(^80)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhode Island</td>
<td>Yes(^81)</td>
<td>Yes(^82)</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington</td>
<td>Yes(^83)</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Virginia</td>
<td>Yes(^84)</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note: Additional information about institutional coverage in each state is provided in endnotes.*
4.3. Examination structure

Figure 3 summarizes state CRA by performance evaluation structure, whether results are made public, examination frequency, and types of performance ratings issued.

Connecticut, Massachusetts, and New York conduct periodic, written performance evaluations, and Illinois has proposed periodic, written performance evaluations as well. The District of Columbia, Rhode Island, and West Virginia are required to conduct reviews or performance evaluations in response to an application. The District of Columbia is also authorized to review a financial institution’s CRA performance as part of its annual community development plan. Washington state conducts its CRA performance evaluation in conjunction with other state supervisory exams. Seven of the eight states reviewed make or have proposed to make the results of a review or performance evaluations public, although New York has not yet proposed such rules for mortgage companies. Exam frequency varies among the states reviewed. Most states conduct periodic examinations or initiate a review in response to an application for a merger, branch, license, or other activity.

The District of Columbia, Massachusetts, and Washington state have established a state-level five-point rating scale. Connecticut has adopted a four-point rating scale. Illinois has proposed a four-point rating scale for mortgage companies, a five-point rating scale on an examination’s component tests for banks and credit unions, and a four-point overall rating scale. New York has adopted an overall four-point rating scale with a five-point rating scale on an examination’s component tests for large banks. West Virginia considers the federal rating as part of its review but does not issue a state-level rating.

The federal CRA requires the appropriate federal financial supervisory agency to prepare a written evaluation of an institution’s record of meeting the credit needs of its entire community, including low- and moderate-income communities. Regulated financial institutions are subject to routine examination, although certain small banks have a less frequent examination frequency defined in the statute. Final ratings are assigned based on a four-point scale, although a five-point scale is assigned for conclusions in each of institution’s applicable performance tests. Performance evaluations are made public, and historical ratings are provided as an aggregate download.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>Examination form</th>
<th>Public Results</th>
<th>Examination Frequency</th>
<th>Rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Connecticut</strong> – banks and CUs</td>
<td>Periodic evaluation.</td>
<td>Yes.(^{91})</td>
<td>Frequency not set by statute.</td>
<td>Four-point scale.(^{92})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>District of Columbia</strong> – banks, CUs, and mortgage companies</td>
<td>Written assessment in response to application and evaluation of annual community development plan.(^{93})</td>
<td>Yes, upon request.(^{94})</td>
<td>Plans are evaluated annually or in consideration with an application.(^{95})</td>
<td>Five-point scale.(^{96})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Illinois</strong> – banks, CUs, and mortgage companies</td>
<td>Periodic, written performance evaluation.(^{97})</td>
<td>Yes.(^{98})</td>
<td>TBD by regulation(^{99})</td>
<td>Four-point scale for performance evaluation and proposed five-point scale for individual tests.(^{100})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Massachusetts</strong> – banks and CUs</td>
<td>Periodic, written performance evaluation.(^{101})</td>
<td>Yes.(^{102})</td>
<td>Two years for large and intermediate small institutions, four years for small institutions. Less frequent examination cycles may apply for certain ratings.(^{103})</td>
<td>Five-point scale.(^{104})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– mortgage companies</td>
<td>Periodic, written performance assessment.(^{105})</td>
<td>Yes.(^{106})</td>
<td>Every 4 years. 5 years for certain mortgage lenders receiving certain ratings.(^{107})</td>
<td>Five-point scale.(^{108})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>New York</strong> – banks and CUs</td>
<td>Periodic, written performance assessment.(^{109})</td>
<td>Yes.(^{110})</td>
<td>2-3 years. Certain banking institutions are examined every 4-5 years.(^{111})</td>
<td>Four-point scale for performance evaluation, five-point scale for individual tests for large banks.(^{112})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– mortgage companies</td>
<td>TBD by regulation</td>
<td>TBD by regulation</td>
<td>TBD by regulation(^{113})</td>
<td>TBD by regulation(^{113})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Rhode Island</strong> – banks and CUs</td>
<td>Written assessment in response to application.(^{114})</td>
<td>Yes.(^{115})</td>
<td>Occurs during consideration of an application.(^{116})</td>
<td>None.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Washington</strong> – banks</td>
<td>Periodic evaluation conducted as part of other state exam.(^{117})</td>
<td>None specified.</td>
<td>None specified. Annually for the purpose determining investments in real property.(^{118})</td>
<td>Five-point scale.(^{119})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>West Virginia</strong> – banks</td>
<td>Review of federal CRA performance evaluation when considering an application.(^{120})</td>
<td>Yes. Results are noted in order on application.(^{121})</td>
<td>Occurs during consideration of an application.(^{122})</td>
<td>Relies on federal CRA performance evaluation and rating scale.(^{122})</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4.4. Federal- and state-designated assessment areas

Assessment areas are used in both the federal CRA and state CRAs to determine the areas where the regulator evaluates a financial institution’s record of helping meet the credit needs of the community it serves, where it is located, or another factor (Figure 4). Under the federal CRA, assessment areas for banks have historically been based on their physical locations, including offices, branches, and deposit-taking automated teller machines (ATMs).\textsuperscript{123} In the changes to the CRA regulations finalized in October 2023, the three federal supervisory regulatory agencies established facility-based and retail lending assessment areas.\textsuperscript{124}

Banks and credit unions in New York and Massachusetts are evaluated based on their performance in state-designated assessment areas. Massachusetts determines these areas based on facility location and lending activity. New York primarily determines these areas based on facility location, though lending activity is also considered. Illinois has proposed a lending and deposit-based process for determining state assessment areas.

The District of Columbia set its assessment area as the entire district. Massachusetts evaluates mortgage companies based on their lending throughout the entire state, although a mortgage company must also have an excellent record of meeting the credit needs of highly disadvantaged communities to receive an outstanding rating.

Rhode Island, Washington, and West Virginia use assessment areas set by the federal CRA for performance evaluations as part of their review.

In states where CRAs evaluate credit unions, the assessment area is typically determined by the credit union’s field of membership. Massachusetts permits credit unions to use field of membership as an assessment area. Like the federal CRA,\textsuperscript{125} Massachusetts also permits financial institutions that primarily serve the military community to use their customer deposit base as the assessment area. Illinois has also proposed using field of membership for credit unions.
### FIGURE 4: FEDERAL- AND STATE-DESIGNATED ASSESSMENT AREAS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>Federal CRA Assessment Areas</th>
<th>State CRA Assessment Area</th>
<th>Additional Characteristics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>District of Columbia</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Yes, geographic boundary of the District.</td>
<td>Community development loans may benefit a broader District-wide or regional area that includes the bank’s assessment area.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illinois</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Yes, facility- and deposit-based.</td>
<td>Proposed regulations will allow credit unions to use field of membership, including those eligible to be members, as its assessment area. They may choose to include or exclude any community based common bonds outside of the state. Modifications may be made if certain criteria are met.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Massachusetts</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Yes, facility- and lending-based.</td>
<td>Institutions primarily serving military personnel may use their deposit base as an assessment area. Wholesale and limited purpose institutions have different requirements. Delineations must be whole geographic areas, cannot discriminate, and cannot arbitrarily exclude low- and moderate- income geographies.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- mortgage companies</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Yes, geographic boundary of the state.</td>
<td>Community development services and loans may benefit the state or a wider region that includes the state. An excellent record of serving the mortgage needs of highly economically disadvantaged areas in the state is required to receive an outstanding rating on the lending test.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New York</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Yes, facility-based with supplementary lending information.</td>
<td>Wholesale and limited purpose banks have different requirements. Delineations must be whole geographic areas, cannot discriminate, and cannot arbitrarily exclude low- or moderate-income geographies.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- mortgage companies</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>To be determined by rulemaking.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connecticut</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>For both institution types, delineations may not exclude low- and moderate-income neighborhoods. Banks are reviewed for federal and state compliance. Credit unions cannot discriminate, and special considerations are given for those located in multistate metropolitan areas.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhode Island</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Virginia</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4.5. Lending-related factors

State-level lending tests typically evaluate whether an institution’s credit extensions meet the needs of its assessment area across loan types. Critically, among states with CRAs covering banks, credit unions, and mortgage companies, all three types of financial institutions are subject to a lending test—even if they are exempt from services or investment tests (see Sections 4.6 and 4.7). Two of the three states with CRAs covering mortgage companies either consider or are contemplating the inclusion of community development loans as part of their lending tests.

Illinois, Massachusetts, and New York, each of which conduct standalone CRA examinations, all assess mortgage, small business, and small farm lending. They also either consider or are contemplating the inclusion of consumer loans if they constitute a significant portion of the institution’s lending. Consumer loans generally include motor vehicle, credit card, home equity, and other types of secured and unsecured loans. Other states may consider the same loan types either through applying the federal CRA lending test methodology or by reviewing an institution’s federal CRA records. Four states consider originations and purchases of existing loans, mirroring the federal CRA lending test.

Supplemental considerations vary among states and are often listed explicitly in the state CRA. For example, Connecticut assesses both banks and credit unions for their work with delinquent residential mortgage customers who are underemployed to resolve their delinquencies. New York assesses lending both to small businesses and to minority- or women-owned businesses of any size. Three states consider participation in government-backed loan programs. Four states statutorily empower regulators to identify other factors that would be relevant to a lending test assessment.

The federal CRA provides criteria for assigning one of five possible conclusions on the retail lending test based on home mortgage, small business, small farm, community development, and consumer loans, where applicable, and other factors.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>Mortgage</th>
<th>Small Business</th>
<th>Small Farm</th>
<th>Consumer</th>
<th>Community Development</th>
<th>Other</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>District of Columbia(^{143}) – banks, CUs, and mortgage companies</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Public depositories are subject to additional evaluation criteria.(^{144})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illinois(^{145}) – banks, CUs, and mortgage companies</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes(^{146})</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>In proposed regs.(^{147})</td>
<td>In proposed regs.(^{148})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Massachusetts (^{150}) – banks and CUs</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes(^{151})</td>
<td>Yes(^{152})</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td>Includes origins, purchases of loans, and certain lending by affiliates, consortia, or third parties.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– mortgage Companies(^{154})</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Yes(^{155})</td>
<td>Includes origins and purchases of loans.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New York (^{156}) – banks and CUs</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes, including to minority- and women-owned firms regardless of size</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes, if applicable.(^{157})</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Includes origins, purchases of loans, and including certain lending by affiliates, consortia, or third parties.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– mortgage companies(^{158})</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Includes participation in government-backed loan programs, extensions, denials, discouragement of credit applications, and other factors determined by the regulator.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhode Island(^{159}) – banks and CUs</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Includes origins, purchases of loans, participation in government-backed loan programs, extensions, denials, applications for credit, and other factors determined by the regulator.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4.6. Services-related factors

Like the federal CRA, state-level services tests evaluate how accessible or effective financial institutions’ delivery systems for retail banking services are to their communities, generally with an emphasis on reaching low- to moderate-income borrowers or neighborhoods (Figure 6). Service factors include the location of branches or offices, the opening or closure of those branches, as well as alternative delivery systems such as ATMs or mobile or online banking. Community development services are often considered, taking into account the innovativeness or effectiveness of those activities.

Four states—Connecticut, Massachusetts, New York, and Rhode Island—consider all four service test factors for banks, assessing branch locations, branch opening and closures, alternative delivery systems, and community development services. Massachusetts, New York, and Rhode Island do the same for credit unions as well. Illinois has proposed regulations to consider each of these factors for banks, credit unions, and mortgage companies.
Massachusetts applies a state-level services test to mortgage companies and includes an assessment of community development services. States have also adopted provisions for specific types of services. For example, Connecticut includes review of services such as offering escrow accounts for tenants’ security deposits and the District of Columbia includes review of check cashing services for non-account holders. Four states allow for the consideration of other factors as determined by the regulator.

The federal CRA provides criteria for assigning one of five possible conclusions on the retail services and products test based on a financial institution’s branch availability and services, remote service facility availability, digital delivery systems, and other factors.\textsuperscript{165}

\textbf{FIGURE 6: SERVICES-RELATED FACTORS}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>Branch Locations</th>
<th>Branch Opening and/or Closures</th>
<th>Alternative Delivery Systems</th>
<th>Community Development Services</th>
<th>Other</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Connecticut C\textsuperscript{167} – banks and CUs\textsuperscript{167}</td>
<td>Yes (banks)</td>
<td>Yes (banks)</td>
<td>Yes (both)</td>
<td>Yes (both)</td>
<td>Banks offering escrow accounts for tenants’ security deposits.\textsuperscript{168}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>District of Columbia\textsuperscript{169} – banks, CUs, and mortgage companies</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Basic deposit and checking accounts.\textsuperscript{170} Check-cashing services for non-account holders.\textsuperscript{171}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illinois\textsuperscript{172} – banks, CUs, and mortgage companies</td>
<td>In proposed regs.\textsuperscript{173}</td>
<td>In proposed regs.\textsuperscript{173}</td>
<td>In proposed regs.\textsuperscript{173}</td>
<td>In proposed regs.\textsuperscript{173}</td>
<td>Factors as determined by the regulator.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Massachusetts \textsuperscript{174} – banks and CUs\textsuperscript{174}</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– mortgage companies\textsuperscript{175}</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New York \textsuperscript{176} – banks and CUs\textsuperscript{176}</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Range of services provided</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– mortgage companies\textsuperscript{177}</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Services provided at offices. Other factors determined by the superintendent.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhode Island \textsuperscript{178} – banks and CUs</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Factors may be determined by the regulator.\textsuperscript{178}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington\textsuperscript{179} – banks</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Services provided at offices. Other factors determined by the superintendent.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Virginia\textsuperscript{180} – banks</td>
<td>Yes, same as federal CRA.</td>
<td>Yes, same as federal CRA.</td>
<td>Yes, same as federal CRA.</td>
<td>Yes, same as federal CRA.</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4.7. Investment-related factors

States also measure whether a financial institution meets the credit needs of its community by reviewing investments that may benefit the institution’s assessment area or surrounding areas (Figure 7). Like the federal CRA, state laws define what types of activities constitute “qualifying investments” which are considered for evaluation, or otherwise provide specific examples in the statute or regulatory text. Investments which are considered usually take the form of loans, grants, in-kind contributions, participation in community development projects, or other investment vehicles.

In addition to generally following the framework for qualified investments under the federal CRA, there is a wide variation of unique investment types reflecting the states’ ability to further tailor their CRA statutes. For example, the Illinois and Massachusetts CRAs both include consideration of investments supporting minority-depository institutions (MDIs) and other community-based lending institutions. West Virginia considers participation and investment in industrial and economic development programs, industrial revenue bonds, and local and municipal school bonds. Washington state includes provisions specific to the support of small businesses and microenterprise projects.

There is also variation in the scope of institutions subject to the investment test. For example, the District of Columbia only applies an investment test to institutions that hold public deposits. In Massachusetts, credit unions are not required to be evaluated under the investment test, but qualified investments may be considered in certain circumstances. Mortgage companies in Massachusetts with at least a satisfactory rating may opt to have their qualified investments considered as well. Several states allow the consideration of investments which benefit the broader region beyond an institution’s assessment area.

The federal CRA provides criteria for assigning one of five possible conclusions on the community development financial test based on the bank’s record of helping meet the credit needs its entire community through community development loans.\textsuperscript{181}
### FIGURE 7: INVESTMENT-RELATED FACTORS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>Community Development and Other Investments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Connecticut – banks and CUs</td>
<td>Criteria for banks are the same as the federal CRA. Criteria for credit unions consider qualified investments, including those in the assessment area or a broader state-wide or regional area.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>District of Columbia – banks, CUs, mortgage companies</td>
<td>Consider loans and qualified investments (only for entities receiving the city’s public deposits).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illinois – banks, CUs, mortgage companies</td>
<td>Consider participation and investments in community development assistance programs, minority-owned depository institutions, community development financial institutions (CDFIs), and others.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Massachusetts – banks and CUs – mortgage companies</td>
<td>Consider investments, deposits membership shares, and grants in support of qualifying activities. Also consider donating, selling, or making branches in predominantly minority neighborhoods available rent-free to a minority depository institution (MDI) or women’s-depository institution. Credit unions are exempt from the investment test.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New York – banks and CUs – mortgage companies</td>
<td>Consider investments, deposits, membership shares, and grants in support of qualifying activities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhode Island – banks and CUs</td>
<td>Consider participation, including investments, in local community development and redevelopment projects or programs and other factors may be determined by the regulator.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington – banks</td>
<td>Consider participation, including investments, in local community and microenterprise projects, cash or in-kind support to state or local organizations supporting small businesses, and other factors determined by the regulator.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Virginia – banks</td>
<td>Criteria are the same as the federal CRA, but also include participation and investment in industrial and economic development programs, industrial revenue bonds, and local and municipal school bonds.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 4.8. Coordination with other government agencies and public input

Six state CRAs explicitly permit regulators to coordinate with other government entities, including federal CRA supervisory agencies, while conducting exams for at least one type of financial institution. Coordination may consist of cooperative agreements between regulators, examination coordination, or consideration of relevant documents from other regulators. The
specific terms of coordination and information sharing vary across states (Figure 8). Only two states did not specify procedures for such coordination.

All the state CRAs reviewed require some consideration of violations of other laws, and some states require consideration of compliance with specific fair lending, fair housing, and other protections as part of a performance evaluation. For example, New York considers violations of the Equal Credit Opportunity Act (ECOA), Fair Housing Act (FHA), Home Ownership and Equity Protection Act (HOEPA), Section V of the Federal Trade Commission Act (FTCA), Section VIII of the Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act (RESPA), certain provisions of the Truth in Lending Act (TILA), several state laws, and other applicable laws. Other states, such as Connecticut, consider compliance with the federal CRA and generally applicable consumer protection laws.

Under the federal CRA regulations adopted in October 2023, a financial institution’s performance evaluation is adversely affected by evidence of discriminatory or illegal credit practices, including ECOA, FHA, HOEPA, FTCA, RESPA, and TILA violations. It also provided some additional examples of discriminatory or illegal practices, including violations of the Military Lending Act, the Servicemembers Civil Relief Act, the Consumer Financial Protection Act’s prohibition against unfair, deceptive, or abusive acts or practices, and made other revisions.

The vast majority of the state CRAs reviewed consider public input in evaluations, most frequently in the form of written comments submitted to the regulator. However, states vary in how much community outreach regulators or institutions are required to conduct to solicit such input. For example, Massachusetts requires each financial institution to post a public notice in the lobbies of its offices with specific language encouraging public involvement in its CRA evaluation. Other states retain records of comments and consumer complaints submitted regarding specific institutions and may consult these during periodic evaluations. New York, Rhode Island, and West Virginia additionally permit public hearings in certain circumstances. Public comments are also considered under the federal CRA. CRA-covered banks must maintain a public file which includes all written comments received from the public that relate to their performance in helping meet community credit needs. Public comments are also considered during the development and approval of a CRA strategic plan. Public hearings are also held in certain circumstances.
### FIGURE 8: COORDINATION WITH OTHER GOVERNMENT AGENCIES AND PUBLIC INPUT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>Coordination with Other Government Entities</th>
<th>Evidence of Other Violations</th>
<th>Public Input Considered</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>District of Columbia</strong>&lt;br&gt;− banks, CUs, and mortgage companies</td>
<td>Yes. Can enter into cooperative agreements to coordinate exams, receive reports, or for other purposes.</td>
<td>For financial institutions that hold city deposits, evidence of discriminatory, unfair, or deceptive lending practices in violation of the ECOA, FHA, or as determined by federal agencies.</td>
<td>Yes. Written comments, if holding public deposits.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Illinois</strong>&lt;br&gt;− banks, CUs, and mortgage companies</td>
<td>Yes. Can enter into cooperative agreements to coordinate exams, receive reports, or for other purposes.</td>
<td>Evidence of discriminatory and prohibited practices. Regulator has authority to examine for compliance with applicable state and federal fair lending laws, including but not limited to the Illinois Human Rights Act, ECOA, and HMDA.</td>
<td>Yes. Written comments.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Massachusetts</strong>&lt;br&gt;− banks and CUs</td>
<td>Yes. Can enter into cooperative agreements to coordinate exams, receive reports, or for other purposes.</td>
<td>Evidence of discriminatory or other illegal credit practices.</td>
<td>Yes. Written comments.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>− mortgage companies</td>
<td>Yes. May consider confidential information from other regulatory agencies and may choose to share information with other regulators or law enforcement.</td>
<td>Evidence of discriminatory or other illegal credit practices, including but not limited to the ECOA, HMDA, Section V of FTCA, Section 8 of RESPA, and various state laws (Mass. Gen. Laws Ann. 151B, 183C, 93A, and 140D).</td>
<td>Yes. Written comments.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>New York</strong>&lt;br&gt;− banks and CUs</td>
<td>Yes, may request additional documents from federal regulatory agencies as applicable.</td>
<td>Evidence of prohibited discriminatory or other illegal credit practices. Assessment considers violations of an applicable law, including but not limited to Sec. 296-a of NYSEL, ECOA, FHA, Sec. 6-1 of N.Y. Business Law, HOEPA, Sec. 5 of the FTCA, Sec. 8 of RESPA, and certain provisions of TILA.</td>
<td>Yes. Written comments and public hearings in certain circumstances.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>− mortgage companies</td>
<td>None specified.</td>
<td>Evidence of prohibited discriminatory or other illegal credit practices.</td>
<td>Yes. Written comments and public hearings in certain circumstances.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Rhode Island</strong>&lt;br&gt;− banks and CUs</td>
<td>Yes, may request additional documents from federal regulatory agencies as applicable.</td>
<td>Evidence of prohibited discriminatory or other illegal credit practices. Most recent federal CRA rating is considered.</td>
<td>Yes. Written comments and public hearings in certain circumstances.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Data collection requirements vary based on institutional coverage (Figure 2), exam structure (Figure 3), and performance evaluation criteria (Figures 5-7). In states that rely on information from the federal CRA performance evaluation, additional data sources may not be necessary. In states that conduct their own performance evaluations but limit institutional coverage to banks, HMDA and CRA data may be available if the institution meets certain reporting requirements. Where these states include a CRA mandate for credit unions or mortgage companies which do not report federal CRA data, state data collection may be necessary. Additional state data collection may also be necessary where a state CRA-covered institution is not required to report...
HMDA, small business, small farm, or other information to federal regulator but is subject to a state CRA evaluation of their performance in one or more of these areas.

To address these and other possible data gaps, some states go further than federal laws in their state data collection requirements. For example, in August 2023, New York implemented a business data collection rule that requires banking institutions originating 25 business credit transactions or more in each of the preceding two years to collect and maintain information about their business loans and applicants without regard to size. State CRA regulators then assess the provided business loan data for compliance with non-discriminatory lending laws and how well the institutions serve the credit needs of minority- and women-owned businesses.

FIGURE 9: DATA SOURCES AND REQUIREMENTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>Lending and Other Data Requirements and Sources</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Connecticut</td>
<td>Additional data may be necessary to supplement data provided in the federal CRA performance evaluation. Banks are assessed using applicable methodology from federal CRA. Independent of any federal determination, credit unions are assessed on certain required factors that may require review of geographic lending activity and origination of mortgages and other lending as applicable.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>District of Columbia</td>
<td>Community development plans are considered using certain factors which may require additional data, including to determine whether financial institutions are originating loans in the District, in designated development areas, and to minority, low- to moderate-income, and/or elderly residents.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illinois</td>
<td>Assessments may consider mortgage, small business, and other lending patterns. Statute requires assessment of mortgage loan originations, loans to certain small businesses, and certain lending patterns. Proposed regulations would require the disclosure of HMDA, small business, small farm, and community development data by certain covered financial institutions, and optional disclosure of consumer loan data.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Massachusetts</td>
<td>Assessments consider lending activity and geographic distribution of mortgage, small business, small farm loans, and certain consumer loans. Credit unions, which do not report federal CRA data, are exempt from most of the state’s reporting requirements. Certain credit unions must report HMDA information. Institutions may optionally disclose consumer loan data.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New York</td>
<td>Banking institutions are assessed, among other things, on lending geographic distribution and the origination of mortgage, small business, and small farm loans, or minority- and women-owned business loans with their communities. Mortgage bankers are assessed on the geographic distribution of application, originations, and denials, which may require the consideration of mortgage loan data. Banking institutions originating 25 credit transactions for businesses or more in each of past two years must collect and maintain certain information about the loan and the applicant. Regulator can determine at a future date that compliance with Section 704B of the ECOA constitutes compliance with state small business data collection requirements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhode Island</td>
<td>Additional data may be necessary to supplement data provided in the federal CRA performance evaluation. Assessments may consider geographic lending activity and the origination of mortgage, small business, and small farm loans.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 4.10. Enforcement mechanisms

States consider a financial institution’s CRA performance when evaluating applications for certain activities like their federal supervisory counterparts. However, states also consider CRA performance in other ways.

Figure 10 summarizes the most common enforcement mechanisms of state CRAs. The federal CRA requires federal supervisory agencies to consider a financial institution’s CRA record when evaluating an application for deposit facility. All eight states reviewed require state regulators to consider a financial institution’s CRA performance during an application for a merger or acquisition and every state except for the District of Columbia considers CRA performance as part of an office or branch application. Most states also consider CRA performance as part of a license or similar application. Connecticut considers a credit union’s CRA performance when considering a field of membership amendment. New York and Massachusetts vest state regulators with the authority to make additional licenses or applications subject to a CRA performance review.

Figure 11 summarizes some additional circumstances where state regulators consider a financial institution’s CRA performance. Three states require corrective action or provide additional benefits for certain ratings. For example, Connecticut requires banks and credit unions that receive less than an outstanding or satisfactory rating, respectively, to submit a written CRA plan detailing how they will meet the credit needs of the community. Massachusetts permits alternative branch opening procedures or less frequent examinations for financial institutions with higher ratings, and Illinois has proposed a similar examination procedure. Connecticut and the District of Columbia require covered institutions to have an outstanding or satisfactory rating to serve as a public depository. In 2021, Illinois passed a series of financial laws including a state CRA and a separate provision requiring institutions to receive an outstanding or satisfactory federal CRA rating to serve as a public depository.

Two states can limit certain products or increase investments based on CRA performance. In Massachusetts, the supervisory agency has discretion to use its regulatory or enforcement
authority and may place limitations on certain financial products based on the findings and ratings of an institution’s CRA exam. In Washington state, a higher CRA rating may make an institution eligible to increase its investments in real property.

This study also examined state CRAs for enforcement mechanisms such as civil monetary penalties or structural remedies. None of the state CRAs reviewed explicitly provide for the ability to impose civil monetary penalties or structural remedies such as senior management liability or remediation, including for repeat offenses.252

**FIGURE 10: CONSIDERATION OF STATE CRA PERFORMANCE WHEN CONSIDERING OR ACTING ON CERTAIN APPLICATIONS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>Merger and acquisition applications</th>
<th>Office or branch applications</th>
<th>Limitations on other types of applications</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Connecticut — banks and CUs</td>
<td>Yes (both)</td>
<td>Yes (banks)</td>
<td>Performance of community credit unions is considered during an amendment to certificate of incorporation or certain amendments to its field of membership.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>District of Columbia — banks, CUs, and mortgage companies</td>
<td>Yes255</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Application for a certificate of authority.256</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illinois257 — banks, CUs, and mortgage companies</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Application for license or change in control.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Massachusetts — banks and CUs</td>
<td>Yes258</td>
<td>Yes259</td>
<td>Creation of a wholly-owned subsidiary or any other activity determined by Commissioner.260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– mortgage companies</td>
<td>Yes261</td>
<td>Yes262</td>
<td>License to conduct business.263 Any other approval of the Commissioner.264</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New York — banks and CUs</td>
<td>Yes265</td>
<td>Yes266</td>
<td>Any other activity or notice determined by superintendent by regulation.267</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– mortgage companies</td>
<td>Yes268</td>
<td>Yes269</td>
<td>License or change in control.270 Any other action included by regulation.271</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhode Island — banks and CUs</td>
<td>Yes272</td>
<td>Yes273</td>
<td>Change in control.274</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington275 — banks</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>License to engage in business activity.276 For commercial banks, conversion from national to state-chartered bank and any other activity determined by superintendent.277</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Virginia278 — banks</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Charter for a state bank. Additional requirements or limitations apply to banks with needs to improve or substantial noncompliance ratings.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## FIGURE 11: ADDITIONAL ENFORCEMENT MECHANISMS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>Corrective action or benefits for certain ratings</th>
<th>Ability to serve as a public depository</th>
<th>Limitations or benefits for certain product lines</th>
<th>Civil monetary penalties or structural remedies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Connecticut</td>
<td>Yes. Any bank or credit union with below an “outstanding” or “satisfactory” rating, respectively must provide regulator with a description of improvement efforts. ²⁷⁹</td>
<td>Yes. Banks and community credit unions may not receive a rating of “needs to improve” or “substantial noncompliance.” ²⁸⁰</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>District of Columbia</td>
<td>Silent</td>
<td>Yes. Institutions must receive at least a “satisfactory” rating on federal CRA to apply ²⁸¹ and are subject to additional evaluation criteria. ²⁸²</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illinois</td>
<td>No corrective action. Can adopt alternative examination for covered financial institutions with ratings of outstanding or high satisfactory. ²⁸³</td>
<td>Yes. Depositories subject to federal CRA must have a federal CRA rating of satisfactory or outstanding. ²⁸⁴</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Massachusetts</td>
<td>No corrective action. Can adopt examination procedure or branch opening procedure for banks with ratings of outstanding or high satisfactory. ²⁸⁵</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Yes ²⁸⁶</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New York</td>
<td>Silent</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhode Island</td>
<td>Silent</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington</td>
<td>Silent</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Institutions can increase real property investments depending on rating. ²⁸⁷</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Virginia</td>
<td>Silent</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5. Findings

Some states conduct independent examinations of lending-, services-, and investment-related performance while other states review federal CRA performance evaluations in conjunction with additional state-designated factors. In some states, performance evaluations are periodic, while other states review a financial institution’s performance in response to an application for a merger, branch, license, or other activity.

Some states apply an affirmative lending, service delivery, and investment obligation to mortgage companies, in addition to deposit-taking institutions. Most state CRAs adopted shortly after the passage of the federal CRA in 1977 applied only to banks. Several states, including Massachusetts and New York, later expanded their CRAs to cover mortgage companies. Illinois included mortgage companies when it passed its state CRA in 2021. Additionally, some states apply CRA obligations to credit unions.

Some states collect and consider information beyond what is required under the federal CRA to evaluate lending, services, and investment performance in their state. Most states rely on existing data, such as Home Mortgage Disclosure Act data for mortgage lending, or federal CRA data for small businesses or small farms, to complete their evaluations. At least one state, New York, requires additional business lending data reporting beyond what is required by the federal CRA. Additionally, some states have the authority to collect data from institutions that are not required to report federal mortgage, small business, small farm, or other data.

The most common enforcement mechanisms include limitations on mergers, acquisitions, branching activities, and licensing, but some states have adopted additional measures. For example, a financial institution’s CRA performance rating may be a factor in the ability to conduct certain types of activities, such as serving as a depository for public monies. Some states permit less frequent exams after an institution receives a higher performance rating or require corrective action for a lower performance rating. Like the federal CRA, none of the state CRAs reviewed explicitly provide for the ability to issue civil monetary penalties or structural remedies for failing to meet state CRA requirements.

State CRAs have been amended from time to time in response to changing markets. Many state CRAs were initially passed shortly after the enactment of the federal CRA in 1977. Just as the federal CRA has been revised since its passage, state CRAs have been amended to cover additional types of financial institutions, collect additional data to better understand financial markets, and address other state-specific needs.
6. Conclusion

Many state CRAs share the same goals, structure, and process as the federal CRA but contain state-specific examination procedures, evaluation criteria, and enforcement mechanisms to address community reinvestment priorities. There is frequent coordination among state and federal supervisory agencies in the implementation of state CRAs. Many states conduct their own standalone performance evaluations or review additional information that is not included on a federal CRA performance evaluation. Still, others have expanded state CRA obligations to cover institutions that are not subject to federal CRA evaluations. In these cases, state regulators often rely on lending or other data collected by federal supervisory agencies, or, in the absence of these data, collect this information at the state level. Additionally, several states consider violations of federal consumer financial laws or other state or federal laws during CRA performance evaluations.

Taken together, this review suggests that states play an active and ongoing role in promoting reinvestment by a wide range of institutions and that continued review is necessary to understand these developments. It also suggests that data collected by federal agencies are often used for state CRA compliance and other oversight purposes. The CFPB will continue to monitor state CRA developments.288
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The New York CRA requires the regulator to consider a state-chartered mortgage banker’s record of performance in “helping to meet the credit needs of its entire community” whenever it applies for a change in control or other adjustments. The superintendent has the authority to establish a threshold minimum number of loans that mortgage bankers must originate to be subject to such evaluation. See N.Y. Banking Law § 28-bb.
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