## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

# BUREAU OF CONSUMER FINANCIAL PROTECTION,

Petitioner,

v.

LAW OFFICES OF CRYSTAL MORONEY, P.C., Case No. 7:20-cv-03240

# AMENDED PETITION TO ENFORCE CIVIL INVESTIGATIVE DEMAND

Respondent.

1. On November 14, 2019, the Bureau of Consumer Financial Protection

(Bureau) issued a civil investigative demand (CID) to the Law Offices of Crystal

Moroney, P.C. (LOCM). The CID was issued as part of an ongoing investigation to

determine whether:

debt collectors, furnishers, or associated persons, in connection with regularly collecting or attempting to collect consumer debt and furnishing consumer information to consumer-reporting agencies, have: (1) disregarded warnings that debts were the result of identity theft or otherwise disputed by consumers, in a manner that was unfair, deceptive, or abusive, in violation of §§ 1031 and 1036 of the Consumer Financial Protection Act of 2010, 12 U.S.C. §§ 5531, 5536; (2) ignored cease-and-desist requests and engaged in other prohibited communications with consumers or third parties, or failed to provide required notices, or made false or misleading representations in a manner that violated the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692b, 1692c, 1692e, 1692g; or (3) failed to correct and update furnished information, or failed to maintain reasonable policies and procedures in a manner that violated the Fair Credit Reporting Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1681s-2, or Regulation V, 12 C.F.R. § 1022.42.

# Case 7:20-cv-03240-UA Document 6 Filed 04/27/20 Page 2 of 5

The CID required the production of answers to interrogatories, written reports, tangible things, and documents by December 16, 2019.

2. On December 5, 2019, LOCM filed a petition requesting that the Director of the Bureau, Kathleen L. Kraninger, set aside or modify the CID. The filing of the petition stayed the deadline for LOCM to respond to the CID until the Bureau resolved the petition. *See* 12 U.S.C. § 5562(f)(2).

3. On February 10, 2020, the Director denied LOCM's petition to set aside or modify the CID, and directed LOCM to comply in full with the CID within 10 days of the Order.

4. Since the Director's order, LOCM has indicated that it does not intend to comply with the CID. Accordingly, the Bureau petitions this Court for an order requiring LOCM to comply with the CID.

5. In support thereof, the Bureau submits the accompanying Memorandum of Law and Declaration of E. Vanessa Assae-Bille. In further support, the Bureau alleges:

#### JURISDICTION AND VENUE

6. This Court has subject-matter jurisdiction under § 1052(e)(1) of the CFPA.
12 U.S.C. § 5562(e)(1).

7. Venue is proper because LOCM resides, is found, and transacts business at
17 Squadron Boulevard, New City, New York, which is in this district. 12 U.S.C.
§ 5562(e)(1).

#### PARTIES

The Bureau is an administrative agency of the United States. 12 U.S.C.
 § 5491(a).

#### Case 7:20-cv-03240-UA Document 6 Filed 04/27/20 Page 3 of 5

9. LOCM is a law firm that collects debt and furnishes consumer information to consumer reporting agencies in the ordinary course of business.

#### Service of the CID and LOCM's Failure to Comply

10. Section 1052(c) of the CFPA empowers the Bureau to issue a CID when it has reason to believe that "any person . . . may have any information[] relevant to a violation" of "Federal consumer financial law." 12 U.S.C. § 5562(c)(1). A CID issued by the Bureau may, among other things, require the recipient to respond to interrogatories, provide written reports, produce documents, and submit tangible things. *Id*.

11. In this district, judicial enforcement of an administrative civil investigative demand is appropriate where (1) the investigation will be conducted pursuant to a legitimate purpose, (2) the inquiry may be relevant to the purpose, (3) the information sought is not already within the Bureau's possession, and (4) the agency has followed the administrative steps required to issue civil investigative demands. *United States v. Constr. Prods. Research, Inc.*, 73 F.3d 464, 471 (2d Cir. 1996) (citations omitted). *See also United States v. Morton Salt*, 338 U.S. 632, 652 (1950).

12. As set forth in section 1052(c) of the CFPA, 12 U.S.C. § 5562(c), and its implementing regulation, 12 C.F.R. pt. 1080, the administrative steps required to issue a civil investigative demand were followed. On November 14, 2019, a Deputy Assistant Director of the Office of Enforcement issued the CID. The CID was served on LOCM via certified U.S. mail, return receipt requested, with a return date of December 16, 2019.

13. As required by the CFPA, 12 U.S.C. § 5562(c)(2), the CID contained a Notification of Purpose apprising LOCM of the nature of the conduct under investigation and applicable provisions of law.

#### Case 7:20-cv-03240-UA Document 6 Filed 04/27/20 Page 4 of 5

14. The CID sought from LOCM materials that may be relevant to the Bureau's investigation that were not already in the Bureau's possession, including responses to interrogatories, written reports, documents, and tangible things.

15. On December 2, 2019, counsel for the Bureau and LOCM met and conferred about the CID by telephone, in accordance with 12 C.F.R. § 1080.6(c). LOCM did not subsequently submit a written request to modify the CID to the Bureau's enforcement counsel.

16. Instead, on December 5, 2019, LOCM filed on a petition requesting that the Bureau Director set aside or modify the CID. On February 10, 2020, the Director denied LOCM's petition to set aside or modify the CID, and directed LOCM to comply in full with the CID within 10 days of the Order. The Order invited LOCM to engage in discussions with Bureau counsel regarding any specific requests to modify the CID.

17. On March 19, 2020, LOCM informed the Bureau in writing that it does not intend to comply with the CID.

LOCM has therefore failed to fully comply with the CID duly served upon
 it. Accordingly, the Bureau requests the relief set forth below.

WHEREFORE, the Bureau requests:

- An order directing LOCM to show cause why it should not be required to comply with the CID;
- 2. An order directing LOCM to fully comply with the CID; and
- 3. Such other relief as this Court deems just and proper.

Dated: April 24, 2020

Respectfully submitted,

THOMAS WARD Enforcement Director

DEBORAH MORRIS Deputy Enforcement Director

ALUSHEYI WHEELER Assistant Litigation Deputy

<u>/s/ E. Vanessa Assae-Bille</u> E. VANESSA ASSAE-BILLE, NY Bar #5165501 (*pro hac vice pending*) JEHAN A. PATTERSON (JA8306)

Senior Litigation Counsel Bureau of Consumer Financial Protection 1700 G Street, NW Washington, DC 20552 Phone: 202-435-7688 Email: elisabeth.assae-bille@cfpb.gov

Attorneys for Petitioner Bureau of Consumer Financial Protection

### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

# BUREAU OF CONSUMER FINANCIAL PROTECTION,

Petitioner,

v.

LAW OFFICES OF CRYSTAL MORONEY, P.C.,

Respondent.

Case No. 7:20-cv-03240

# MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF AMENDED PETITION TO ENFORCE CIVIL INVESTIGATIVE DEMAND

The Bureau of Consumer Financial Protection (Bureau) petitions this Court for an order requiring the Law Offices of Crystal Moroney (LOCM) to comply fully with the civil investigative demand the Bureau issued to it on November 14, 2019 (2019 CID). Assae-Bille Decl. ¶ 6; Exh. A. The Consumer Financial Protection Act of 2010 (CFPA) gives the Bureau authority to issue CIDs and to enforce them in federal district court. 12 U.S.C. §§ 5562(c)(1), (e)(1). CIDs are a type of investigative, administrative subpoena. The Bureau may initiate a proceeding to enforce a CID by filing a petition in the federal district court where the CID recipient "resides, is found, or transacts business" for an order to enforce the CID. 12 U.S.C. § 5562(e)(1); 12 C.F.R. § 1080.10(b)(1). Because the Bureau has authority to issue the 2019 CID, and this Court has authority to enforce it, the Bureau respectfully requests that this Court order LOCM to show cause as to why it should not be required to comply with the 2019 CID and, thereafter, enter an order requiring full compliance.

#### **Statement of Facts**

LOCM is a law firm that collects on delinquent or defaulted debts on behalf of various creditors and furnishes consumer information to credit reporting agencies. On November 14, 2019, the Bureau served a CID by certified mail on LOCM, as part of an investigation into possible violations of the CFPA, the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act (FDCPA), and the Fair Credit Reporting Act (FCRA) and its implementing regulation. Assae-Bille Decl. ¶ 6; *See* 12 U.S.C. § 5562(c)(8).<sup>1</sup> The 2019 CID required LOCM to respond to interrogatories, as well as requests for written reports, documents, and tangible things, by December 16, 2019. Exh. A.

In a meet-and-confer with Bureau counsel, held on December 2, 2019, LOCM indicated that it would seek modifications to the 2019 CID, including an extension of time to respond. Assae-Bille Decl. ¶ 10. Bureau counsel instructed LOCM to submit any modification requests in writing. *Id.* LOCM did not submit any such request to Bureau counsel. *Id.* ¶ 11.

On December 5, 2019, LOCM filed a petition with the Bureau's Director, asking the Director to set aside or modify the 2019 CID on various grounds. *Id.* ¶ 12. Among these, LOCM asked the Bureau to modify the 2019 CID to excuse LOCM from producing any materials it previously submitted to the Bureau in response to an earlier Bureau CID. Exh. C at 17. The Bureau issued the prior CID (2017 CID) to LOCM in June 2017 and withdrew it in November 2019. Assae-Bille Decl. ¶ 7. While the prior CID sought substantially the same set of materials requested by the 2019 CID, the two CIDs were

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Bureau may serve a CID by "depositing a duly executed copy in the United States mails, by registered or certified mail, return receipt requested, duly addressed to such person at the principal office or place of business of such person."

#### Case 7:20-cv-03240-UA Document 6-1 Filed 04/27/20 Page 3 of 8

not identical. *See* Exh. A; Exh. B. Moreover, LOCM had submitted only partial responses to the prior CID, none of the documents that LOCM produced were in a format the Bureau could accept, and LOCM never certified that any responses it produced were true and complete, as the CID required. Assae-Bille Decl. ¶ 8. Nor could it, as LOCM clawed back a significant amount of the material it produced, and withheld other information. *Id.* On February 10, 2020, the Bureau's Director issued a Decision and Order (Order) denying LOCM's petition to set aside or modify the 2019 CID.<sup>2</sup> Exh. D. The Order directed LOCM to comply in full with the 2019 CID within 10 days. *Id.* at 4. Among other reasons, the Director cited the vagueness of LOCM's modification request in the petition, and the deficiency of the document production that LOCM made in response to the withdrawn 2017 CID. *Id.* at 3. The Order invited LOCM to "properly present to Enforcement staff its requests to modify" the 2019 CID. *Id.* 

While LOCM's petition was pending, LOCM filed an action against the Bureau in this district, seeking to enjoin the Bureau from issuing or seeking to enforce any other CIDs in connection with this investigation. *See LOCM v. CFPB*, No. 7:19-cv-11594-KMK. On February 28, 2020, Judge Karas denied LOCM's motion for a preliminary injunction on. The case is ongoing.<sup>3</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The Bureau's Director determined that the petition was untimely, as a petition to set aside or modify a Bureau CID must be filed no later than the shorter period between 20 calendar days from the service of the CID and prior to the CID's return date, or by the extension date prescribed in writing, subsequent to service, by any Bureau investigator named in the demand. LOCM filed their petition 21 calendar days from the service of the CID. *See* 12 U.S.C. § 5562(f); 12 C.F.R. § 1080.6(e). Nevertheless, the Bureau's Director decided LOCM's decision on the merits.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Concurrent with this Petition, the Bureau has filed a Related Cases Statement describing why this matter should be related to LOCM's suit against the Bureau.

On March 12, 2020, Bureau counsel emailed LOCM to obtain an update on its intentions with respect to the 2019 CID, and to extend it an opportunity to request modifications. *Id.* at ¶ 15; Exh. E. On March 19, 2020, LOCM informed Bureau counsel that it would not be responding to the 2019 CID. Assae-Bille Decl. ¶ 16; Exh. F.

#### Argument

The law is well-settled that administrative agencies are to be given wide latitude in exercising their power to investigate by subpoena, including investigating by CID. United States v. Constr. Prods. Research, Inc., 73 F.3d 464, 470 (2d Cir. 1996); see also, e.g., FTC v. Ken Roberts Co., 276 F.3d 583, 586 (D.C. Cir. 2001); EEOC v. Fed. Express Corp., 558 F.3d 842, 851 n.3 (9th Cir. 2009); Morgan Drexen, Inc. v. CFPB, 979 F. Supp. 2d. 104, 107 (D.D.C. 2013) (stating that a civil investigative demand is a form of administrative subpoena); FTC v. Mfrs. Hanover Consumer Servs., Inc., 543 F. Supp. 1071, 1073 (E.D. Pa. 1982) ("A CID is analogous to an investigative subpoena."). Judicial enforcement of a CID is appropriate when (1) the investigation will be conducted pursuant to a legitimate purpose, (2) the inquiry may be relevant to the purpose, (3) the information sought is not already within the Bureau's possession, and (4) the required administrative steps have been followed. NLRB. v. Am. Med. Response, Inc., 438 F.3d 188, 192 (2d Cir. 2006) (citations omitted); see also United States v. Morton Salt Co., 338 U.S. 632, 652 (1950) (finding agency investigation to be "sufficient if the inquiry is within the authority of the agency, the demand is not too indefinite and the information sought is reasonably relevant."). These four criteria are met here.

First, the Bureau is conducting this investigation pursuant to a legitimate purpose. It is settled law that "agencies should remain free to determine, in the first instance, the scope of their own jurisdiction when issuing investigative subpoenas." *Ken* 

Roberts Co., 276 F.3d at 586; see also Fed. Express Corp., 558 F.3d at 851 n.3

(collecting cases). An agency such as the Bureau "has a power of inquisition . . . [and]

can investigate merely on suspicion that the law is being violated, or even just because it

wants assurance that it is not." Morton Salt Co., 338 U.S. at 642-43.

The Bureau issued this CID to determine whether:

debt collectors, furnishers, or associated persons, in connection with regularly collecting or attempting to collect consumer debt and furnishing consumer information to consumer-reporting agencies, have: (1) disregarded warnings that debts were the result of identity theft or otherwise disputed by consumers, in a manner that was unfair, deceptive, or abusive, in violation of §§ 1031 and 1036 of the Consumer Financial Protection Act of 2010, 12 U.S.C. §§ 5531, 5536; (2) ignored cease-and-desist requests and engaged in other prohibited communications with consumers or third parties, or failed to provide required notices, or made false or misleading representations in a manner that violated the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692b, 1692c, 1692e, 1692g; or (3) failed to correct and update furnished information, or failed to maintain reasonable policies and procedures in a manner that violated the Fair Credit Reporting Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1681s-2, or Regulation V, 12 C.F.R. § 1022.42.

Assae-Bille Decl. ¶ 6; Exh. A at 1 (CID Notification of Purpose).

The Bureau's investigation falls squarely within its authority under the CFPA to

investigate violations of federal consumer-financial laws, including the FDCPA and

FCRA. 12 U.S.C. § 5481(12), (14).

Second, the information the Bureau seeks is relevant and material to the purpose of its investigation. Courts traditionally defer to the investigating agency to determine relevance in the context of an administrative subpoena, which must be enforced if the information sought could be pertinent to a legitimate agency inquiry. *Endicott Johnson Corp. v. Perkins*, 317 U.S. 501, 507-09 (1943); *see also, e.g., Morton Salt Co.*, 338 U.S. at

#### Case 7:20-cv-03240-UA Document 6-1 Filed 04/27/20 Page 6 of 8

652; *Am. Med. Response, Inc.*, 438 F.3d at 193. An agency request is relevant as long as it is "not plainly incompetent or irrelevant to any lawful purpose" of the agency. *Endicott*, 317 U.S. at 509; *see also In re McVane*, 44 F.3d 1127, 1135 (2d Cir. 1995) (stating that a court will defer to an agency's appraisal of relevancy so long as it is not obviously wrong (internal citation omitted)). Moreover, the CFPA authorizes the Bureau to issue a CID to "any person" the Bureau "has reason to believe . . . may be in possession, custody, or control of . . . any information, relevant to a violation" of the federal consumer financial laws. 12 U.S.C. § 5562(c). Here, the Bureau has reason to believe that LOCM possesses information relevant to violations of the CFPA, FDCPA, and FCRA, due to its activities as a consumer debt collector and furnisher of consumer information to credit reporting agencies. The 2019 CID requests information concerning LOCM's collection and furnishing activities, and is thus relevant to a legitimate Bureau inquiry. Exh. A.

Third, the Bureau does not have the information requested in its possession. Among other things, the Bureau has requested information about the services LOCM offers as a debt collection law firm, agreements and contracts with the parties on whose behalf it collects debt and furnishes information, policies and procedures relating to LOCM's debt collection and credit reporting activities, data relating to the debt collection calls LOCM makes to consumers, as well as information regarding consumer disputes and complaints relating to LOCM's debt collection and furnishing activities. Exh. A. This information is either generated by or within the control of LOCM. But LOCM has refused to comply with the 2019 CID. Assae-Bille Decl. ¶ 16, 17. To the extent LOCM responded to substantially similar requests in the 2017 CID, those prior responses were partial, not certified, and produced in a manner that failed to comply

#### Case 7:20-cv-03240-UA Document 6-1 Filed 04/27/20 Page 7 of 8

with the Bureau's standards for submitting electronically stored information. *Id.* at ¶ 8; *see also* 12 C.F.R. § 1080.6(b).

Fourth, the Bureau followed all applicable procedural requirements contained in section 1052(c) of the CFPA and its implementing regulation related to the issuance of a CID. 12 U.S.C. § 5562(c), 12 C.F.R. § 1080. The 2019 CID was issued by a Deputy Assistant Director of the Office of Enforcement, and included a Notification of Purpose advising LOCM of the nature of the conduct being investigated. Assae-Bille Decl. ¶ 6; *see* 12 U.S.C. § 5562(c)(2); 12 C.F.R. §§ 1080.5, 1080.6(a). The 2019 CID was served on LOCM's registered agent via certified U.S. Mail. Assae-Bille Decl. ¶ 6; 12 U.S.C. §§ 5562(c)(7), (8)(C).

Because the Bureau has shown that the investigation is being conducted for a legitimate purpose, that the inquiries may be relevant to that purpose, that the information sought is not already within the Bureau's possession, and that the administrative steps required by the Consumer Financial Protection Act of 2010 and its implementing regulations have been followed, the Bureau has made a prima facie showing that enforcement of the 2019 CID is appropriate. The Bureau therefore respectfully requests that the Court enter the proposed Order to Show Cause that the Bureau has submitted with its petition.

#### Conclusion

For the reasons discussed above, the Bureau respectfully requests that the Court order LOCM to show cause as to why it should not be compelled to comply with the 2019 CID, order LOCM to fully comply with the 2019 CID, and grant other relief as the Court deems just and proper.

///

Dated: April 24, 2020

Respectfully submitted,

THOMAS WARD Enforcement Director

DEBORAH MORRIS Deputy Enforcement Director

ALUSHEYI WHEELER Assistant Deputy Enforcement Director

<u>/s/ E. Vanessa Assae-Bille</u> E. VANESSA ASSAE-BILLE, NY Bar #5165501 (*pro hac vice pending*) JEHAN A. PATTERSON (JA8306)

Senior Litigation Counsel Bureau of Consumer Financial Protection 1700 G Street, NW Washington, DC 20552 Phone: 202-435-7688 Email: elisabeth.assae-bille@cfpb.gov

Attorneys for Petitioner Bureau of Consumer Financial Protection

### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

# BUREAU OF CONSUMER FINANCIAL PROTECTION,

Petitioner,

v.

LAW OFFICES OF CRYSTAL MORONEY, P.C.,

Case No. 7:20-cv-03240

DECLARATION OF E. VANESSA ASSAE-BILLE IN SUPPORT OF AMENDED PETITION TO ENFORCE CIVIL INVESTIGATIVE DEMAND

Respondent.

I, E. Vanessa Assae-Bille, under 28 U.S.C. § 1746, declare as follows:

1. I am a Senior Litigation Counsel at the Bureau of Consumer Financial Protection (Bureau), Office of Enforcement, and the lead counsel on this matter.

2. I am over 18 years of age and authorized to execute this declaration verifying the facts set forth in the Bureau's Petition to Enforce the Civil Investigative Demand and accompanying memorandum.

3. The facts set forth in this declaration are based on my personal knowledge or information made known to me in the course of my official duties.

4. I am an attorney on an ongoing Bureau investigation to determine whether debt collectors, furnishers, or other persons in connection with collection of debt and furnishing of information violated the Consumer Financial Protection Act of 2010 (CFPA), 12 U.S.C.§§ 5531, 5536, the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act (FDCPA), 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692 *et seq.*, or the Fair Credit Reporting Act (FCRA) and its implementing regulation, 15 U.S.C. § 1681 *et seq.* 

#### Case 7:20-cv-03240-UA Document 6-2 Filed 04/27/20 Page 2 of 4

5. Law Offices of Crystal Moroney, P.C. (LOCM) is a law firm that collects on delinquent or defaulted consumer debts on behalf of various creditors. It also furnishes information to credit reporting agencies about consumers from whom it seeks to collect debt.

6. On November 14, 2019, a Deputy Assistant Director of the Office of Enforcement issued a civil investigative demand (2019 CID) to LOCM that was served via certified U.S. Mail, return receipt requested, duly addressed to LOCM at 17 Squadron Boulevard, New City, New York. As required by the CFPA, the 2019 CID contained a "Notification of Purpose" advising LOCM of the purpose of the Bureau's investigation. The CID required LOCM to produce materials that may be relevant to the purpose of the Bureau's investigation by December 16, 2019. Attached as Exhibit A to this declaration is a true and correct copy of the 2019 CID issued to LOCM.

7. The Bureau had previously issued a CID to LOCM on June 23, 2017 (2017 CID). The 2017 CID sought substantially similar information from LOCM, but is not identical to the 2019 CID. Attached as Exhibit B to this declaration is a true and correct copy of the 2017 CID.

8. LOCM produced partial responses to the 2017 CID, but withheld and clawed back a significant amount of material. To the extent LOCM responded to the 2017 CID's requests for documents, none of its documents complied with the Bureau's standards for submitting electronically stored information. Also, LOCM did not certify that its responses to the 2017 CID were true and complete, as required by Instruction H in the 2017 CID.

9. The Bureau withdrew the 2017 CID on November 4, 2019.

#### Case 7:20-cv-03240-UA Document 6-2 Filed 04/27/20 Page 3 of 4

10. On December 2, 2019, Crystal Moroney, an owner of LOCM, and her counsel, John H. Bedard, met and conferred with Bureau counsel to discuss LOCM's compliance with the 2019 CID. During this meet-and-confer, LOCM indicated that it would seek modifications to the CID, including an extension of time to respond. Bureau counsel instructed LOCM that any modification requests must be submitted in writing to the Bureau's enforcement staff. LOCM indicated that it would send a written request to Bureau counsel, and that it would also file a petition to set aside or modify the 2019 CID.

11. LOCM did not submit to a written request for modifications to the CID to Bureau counsel.

12. On December 5, 2019, LOCM filed a petition to set aside the 2019 CID on various grounds. LOCM asked that, in the alternative, the Director of the Bureau modify the 2019 CID, including by extending the return date. Attached as Exhibit C to this declaration is a true and correct copy of LOCM's petition to set aside or modify the 2019 CID, dated December 5, 2019 (excluding the exhibits that LOCM filed with its petition).

13. On February 10, 2020, the Bureau Director denied LOCM's petition to set aside or modify the 2019 CID (Order), and directed LOCM to comply in full with the CID within 10 days of the Order. Attached as Exhibit D to this declaration is a true and correct copy of the Order dated February 10, 2020.

14. LOCM did not comply with the Decision and Order's deadline of February20, 2020.

15. On March 12, 2020, I sent an email to LOCM's counsel to obtain an update on LOCM's intentions with respect to the 2019 CID, and to extend LOCM the

#### Case 7:20-cv-03240-UA Document 6-2 Filed 04/27/20 Page 4 of 4

opportunity to request modifications. Attached as Exhibit E to this declaration is a true and correct copy of my email to John Bedard dated March 12, 2020.

16. On March 19, 2020, LOCM informed me it did not intend to respond to the 2019 CID. Attached as Exhibit F to this declaration is a true and correct copy of Mr. Bedard's email to me, dated March 19, 2020.

17. LOCM has not produced any materials in response to the 2019 CID.

18. LOCM has not submitted to the Bureau a schedule of any documents,

information, or tangible things being withheld on the grounds of privilege, as required

by 12 C.F.R. § 1080.8(a) and Instruction H in the 2019 CID.

19. LOCM is not in compliance with the 2019 CID.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed: April 24, 2020

<u>/s/ E. Vanessa Assae-Bille</u> E. VANESSA ASSAE-BILLE

Senior Litigation Counsel Bureau of Consumer Financial Protection 1700 G Street, NW Washington, DC 20552 Phone: 202-435-7688 Email: elisabeth.assae-bille@cfpb.gov

Attorney for Petitioner Bureau of Consumer Financial Protection Case 7:20-cv-03240-UA Document 6-3 Filed 04/27/20 Page 1 of 49

# **EXHIBIT** A

1700 G Street, NW Washington, DC 20552



November 14, 2019

Via U.S. Mail and Email

Law Offices of Crystal Moroney, P.C. 17 Squadron Blvd. Suite 303 New City, NY 10956

Re: Civil Investigative Demand served on the Law Offices of Crystal Moroney, P.C. on November 14, 2019

Dear Ms. Moroney:

Attached is a civil investigative demand (CID) issued to you by the Bureau of Consumer Financial Protection (Bureau) under 12 C.F.R. § 1080.6 and § 1052(c) of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act, 12 U.S.C. § 5562. The Bureau is currently seeking information for a non-public investigation, the purpose of which is explained on the attached CID cover sheet. Please note:

- 1. Contact Bureau counsel, E. Vanessa Assae-Bille, as soon as possible to schedule an initial meeting that is required to be held within 10 calendar days of receipt of this CID. During this meeting, you must discuss and attempt to resolve all issues regarding the CID, including timely compliance. The rules require that you make available at this meeting personnel with the knowledge necessary to resolve issues; such individuals may include, for example, information-technology professionals. Please be prepared to discuss your planned compliance schedule, including any proposed changes that might reduce your cost or burden while still giving the Bureau the information it needs.
- 2. You must retain and suspend any procedures that may result in the destruction of, documents, information, or tangible things that are in any way relevant to the investigation, as described in the CID's Notification of Purpose. You are required to prevent the destruction of relevant material irrespective of whether you believe such material is protected from future disclosure or discovery by privilege or otherwise. *See* 18 U.S.C. §§ 1505, 1519.

Please contact Bureau counsel as soon as possible to set up an initial meeting, which must be held within **10** calendar days of receipt of this CID. We appreciate your cooperation.

Sincerely,

<u>/s/E. Vanessa Assae-Bille</u> Enforcement Attorney

Attachment



Case 7:20-cv-03240-UA UDited Intent 6-3 merified 04/27/20 Page 4 of 49 Bureau of Consumer Financial Protection

# **Civil Investigative Demand**

To Law Offices of Crystal Moroney, P.C. 17 Squadron Blvd. Suite 303 New City, NY 10956 This demand is issued pursuant to Section 1052 of the Consumer Financial Protection Act of 2010 and 12 C.F.R. Part 1080 to determine whether there is or has been a violation of any laws enforced by the Bureau of Consumer Financial Protection.

Action Required (choose all that apply)

Location of Investigational Hearing	Date and Time of Investigational Hearing	
	Bureau Investigators	
Produce Documents and/or Tangible Things, as	set forth in the attached document, by the following date $\frac{12}{16}/2019$	

### Notification of Purpose Pursuant to 12 C.F.R. § 1080.5

The purpose of this investigation is to determine whether debt collectors, furnishers, or associated persons, in connection with regularly collecting or attempting to collect consumer debt and furnishing consumer information to consumer-reporting agencies, have: (1) disregarded warnings that debts were the result of identity theft or otherwise disputed by consumers, in a manner that was unfair, deceptive, or abusive, in violation of §§ 1031 and 1036 of the Consumer Financial Protection Act of 2010, 12 U.S.C. §§ 5531, 5536; (2) ignored cease-and-desist requests and engaged in other prohibited communications with consumers or third parties, or failed to provide required notices, or made false or misleading representations in a manner that violated the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692b, 1692c, 1692e, 1692e; or (3) failed to correct and update furnished information, or failed to maintain reasonable policies and procedures in a manner that violated the Fair Credit Reporting Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1681s-2, or Regulation V, 12 C.F.R. § 1022.42. The purpose of this investigation is also to determine whether Bureau action to obtain legal or equitable relief would be in the public interest.

Custodian / Deputy Custodian Deborah Morris/Annais Ramirez The Bureau of Consumer Financial Protection 1700 G Street NW Washington, DC 20552			Bureau Counsel E. Vanessa Assae-Bille The Bureau of Consumer Financial Protection 1700 G Street NW Washington, DC 20552	
Date Issued 11/14/2019	Signature	Deborah Morris Date: 2019.11.14 11:07:44 -05'00'		
Name / T		Deborah Morris, Deputy	v Enforcement Director	

#### Service

The delivery of this demand to you by any method prescribed by the Consumer Financial Protection Act of 2010, 12 U.S.C. § 5562, is legal service. If you fail to comply with this demand, the Bureau may seek a court order requiring your compliance.

#### **Travel Expenses**

Request a travel voucher to claim compensation to which you are entitled as a witness before the Bureau pursuant to Section 1052 of the Consumer Financial Protection Act of 2010, 12 U.S.C. § 5562.

#### Right to Regulatory Enforcement Fairness

The Bureau is committed to fair regulatory enforcement. If you are a small business under Small Business Administration standards, you have a right to contact the Small Business Administration's National Ombudsman at 1-888-REGFAIR (1-888-734-3247) or www.sba.gov/ombudsman regarding the fairness of the compliance and enforcement activities of the agency. You should understand, however, that the National Ombudsman cannot change, stop, or delay a federal agency enforcement action.

#### Paperwork Reduction Act

This demand does not require approval by OMB under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1980.

# CIVIL INVESTIGATIVE DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS, TANGIBLE THINGS, WRITTEN REPORTS, AND ANSWERS TO INTERROGATORIES

# I. Requests.

# **Interrogatories**

- 1. Identify all Persons who participated in responding to this CID, describe the specific tasks performed by each Person, and identify the response for which they performed each task.
- 2. Describe the Company's organizational structure, including:
  - a. the Company's legal name and principal place of business;
  - b. the date and jurisdiction in which it was incorporated or organized;
  - c. all names under which the Company has done business;
  - d. the Company's leadership including the principals, directors, and owners;
  - e. each state in which the Company has done business; and
  - f. the time period during which it did business in each state.
- 3. Describe each of the Company's business activities (e.g., debt collection, furnishing information to Consumer Reporting Agencies, litigation, etc.). For each of those business activities, provide the Company's annual revenue.
- 4. Describe:
  - a. the type(s) of Debt the Company collects (e.g., medical, utilities, schoolbook rentals, etc.);
  - b. the age range of Debt the Company collects;
  - c. the method(s) by which the Company obtains Debt accounts (e.g., assignment, or portfolio purchase);
  - d. whether the Company obtains Debt accounts after the accounts become delinquent or are in default;
  - e. whether the Company collects Debts owed or asserted to be owed to another party;
  - f. the type(s) of data and records the Company receives with each Debt account it obtains; and
  - g. the method(s) by which the Company removes Debt accounts from its portfolio (e.g., settlements, referrals to law firms, conveyance to the creditor or third-party, or sale to Debt buyer(s)).
- 5. Identify each alternative name(s) or alias(es) the Company has used to identify itself when contacting consumers in connection with its Debt Collection Activities. Provide the date range during which the Company used the alternative name(s) or alias(es).

- 6. Describe the Company's compensation structure for its employees and agents performing Debt Collection Activities, including but not limited to the Company's wage structure, the Company's bonus structure, and the criteria considered and formulas applied to determine the award of bonuses and other rewards.
- 7. For each year during the Applicable Period, provide the highest total number of:
  - a. employees or agents engaged in Debt Collection activities (excluding attorneys identified in response to Interrogatory 7(b)) working for the Company; and
  - b. licensed attorneys working for the Company.
- 8. Identify each licensed attorney who formerly worked for the Company, including:
  - a. their full legal name;
  - b. their title(s) held at the Company;
  - c. the month and year they began and ceased working for the Company; and
  - d. whether they were engaged in Debt Collection Activities.
- 9. For each year during the Applicable Period, provide the total number of:
  - a. lawsuits the Company filed in connection with its Debt Collection Activities; and
  - b. court judgments the Company obtained against debtors.
- 10. Identify any former employees and agents (excluding attorneys identified in response to Interrogatory 7(b)) who have worked for the Company for a minimum of 90 calendar days. For each, include:
  - a. the former employee's or agent's official title at the Company;
  - b. the former employee's or agent's department at the Company;
  - c. the month and year the former employee or agent began and ceased working at or for the Company;
  - d. the reason the former employee or agent separated from the Company;
  - e. the former employee's current or last known home address;
  - f. the former employee's current or last known e-mail address; and
  - g. the former employee's current or last known telephone number.
- 11. Identify each Person (including the dates of employment and any titles or positions held) who has been responsible for:
  - a. creating or implementing the Company's training and guidance materials (including telephone scripts) relating to Debt Collection Activities;
  - b. creating or implementing the Company's policies and procedures for complying with laws relating to Debt Collection Activities, including the

FDCPA, FCRA, and state and federal laws prohibiting unfair, deceptive, or abusive acts and practices; and

- c. creating or implementing the Company's policies and procedures for receiving, logging, investigating, and responding to complaints and disputes relating to Debt Collection Activities, including recording and responding to cease-and-desist requests.
- 12. Identify each creditor or third-party for which the Law Offices of Crystal Moroney, P.C. performed Debt Collection Activities. For each creditor or thirdparty, and for each year during the Applicable Period, specify:
  - a. the contact Person at Law Offices of Crystal Moroney, P.C.;
  - b. type of Debt in the portfolio of Law Offices of Crystal Moroney, P.C.;
  - c. the start date and end of date of the services that Law Offices of Crystal Moroney, P.C. provided;
  - d. a description of each service Law Offices of Crystal Moroney, P.C. provided;
- 13. For each year during the Applicable Period, provide the number of:
  - a. oral consumer disputes of Debt the Company received;
  - b. written consumer disputes of Debt the Company received;
  - c. written verification right notices the Company provided to consumers;
  - d. written requests for verification of debt the Company received;
  - e. oral requests for verification of debt that the Company received;
  - f. written and oral notifications the Company received from consumers stating that their alleged Debt was incurred as a result of identity theft; and
  - g. written cease-and-desist requests the Company received from consumers.
- 14. Describe how the Company generated the information produced in response to each subsection of Interrogatory 13.
- 15. Identify each telephone number the Company has used to contact consumers in connection with its Debt Collection Activities. Provide the date range during which the Company used the phone number, and whether the telephone number is associated with a fixed landline, a cellular telephone, or switched/digital or other telephone type.
- 16. Identify all databases the Company has used—whether in-house, hosted, or used by a vendor on the Company's behalf—to conduct Debt Collection Activities. For each database, identify or provide the following information:
  - a. the employee(s) most knowledgeable about the database;

- b. the database system name, commercial software name (if different from the system name), version, technology platform, and computing model (e.g., client, server, or multi-tier);
- c. the time period during which the database is or was in use;
- d. the names and descriptions of the data fields contained in the database;
- e. the data type (e.g., date, time, integer, or text) in each data field;
- f. any purposes beyond debt collection for which it is used;
- g. a description of each category of Persons with access to any part(s) of the database, the identity of the part(s) to which each category of Persons has access, and for what purpose;
- h. the timeframe for which information in each data field is stored or maintained;
- i. a description of how the database is populated with data or information and by whom;
- j. a description of how the database interacts with other Company systems, (e.g., file systems or other databases);
- k. a description of any processes used to assure the accuracy of data in each database, including any internal controls, internal audits, or quality assurance programs performed on the database;
- 1. whether the database holds attachments (e.g., image, audio, or PDF files), and a description of those attachments;
- m. a description of the reporting capabilities of the database;
- n. a description of any regular or standard reports generated from the database, and the frequency with which such reports are generated;
- o. whether the data stored in the database can be exported to Microsoft Excel or other readily available spreadsheet or database programs; and
- p. a description of the frequency with which the database is archived or backed up, and the method by which it is archived or backed up.

Data Element Terms	Data Element Definitions	
Field Name	Unique name	
Definition	Description of the meaning of the data element	
Data Type	Type of data (e.g., date, numeric, text, memo, floating point)	
Data Size	Maximum field length that will be accepted	
Data Format	Format of data (e.g., YYYYMMDD, MM/DD/YYYY)	
Field Constraints: Data Element is a required field (Y/N)	Required fields (Y) must be populated	
Enumeration (if applicable)	If a field can only take certain values or codes (e.g. A, B, or C), list those values and an explanation of their meaning	
Special, Dummy, Test Values Include a narrative description (e.g., for		

17. For each database identified in response to Interrogatory 17, provide a data dictionary. For each data field, provide the following information:

	to 555-555-5555, describe that number as being used for internal testing, or for dates populated as 1/1/1900, specify what that value means)	
Formula	If the field is calculated, provide the formula for the calculation.	

18. For each Document the Company produces in response to Requests for Documents 1 through 13, provide the effective dates that each Document was in use. Provide this information in the following table format:

Document	Bates	Title	Start	End
Request No.	No.		Effective Date	Effective Date

- 19. If, for any Interrogatory that calls for identification of a Person, there is no identifiable Person for the Applicable Period, identify the most recent identifiable Person, including Persons no longer affiliated with or employed by the Company. For each, specify the dates of affiliation or employment and any titles or positions held.
- 20.If, for any request, there are Documents that would be responsive but that are now unavailable, identify each Document and its last known location or custodian, and explain why the Document cannot be produced.

# **Requests for Written Reports**

Produce the following data in tab-delimited text files, using double-quote-escaped text fields when necessary. Where data derives from separate tables or dimensions, use a separate text file for data elements along each separate dimension. This should comply with at least the first normal form (1NF). Include both the unique identifiers and foreign keys (as well as indicators of their function) in each file expressing the relationship between these files. When data is available for some records and not others, leave the unavailable data items blank. Omissions due to unavailability should be described in narrative with the production. Individual records should never contain a varying number of fields. Where information exists at the record level requested but is not included in the individual Written Report Request, include this information in additional columns in your response to the Written Report Request. Additionally, provide any code used to generate and validate each Written Report.

- 1. For each Creditor or third-party with Debt in the Company's portfolio, and for each year during the Applicable Period, provide:
  - a. the name of the Creditor or third-party;
  - b. the unique identifier of Debt type;

- c. the total number of Debts the Company attempted to collect in any way;
- d. the total dollar amount of the Debts the Company attempted to collect in any way; and
- e. the Company's total revenue.
- 2. For each year during the Applicable Period, provide a log of all consumer complaints or disputes that the Company has received, including:
  - a. the date on which the Company received the complaint or dispute;
  - b. the name of the Person who submitted the request, and their:
    - i. street address;
    - ii. city;
    - iii. state;
    - iv. zip code;
    - v. telephone number; and
    - vi. email address;
  - c. the unique identifier by which the Company identifies the Debt account related to the Person's complaint or dispute;
  - d. a brief description of the complaint or dispute (e.g., cease-and-desist, Debt validation, information furnishing to Consumer Reporting Agencies, etc.);
  - e. whether the complaint or dispute was written or oral;
  - f. the date that the Company initiated contact with the Person who submitted the complaint or dispute; and
  - g. any notes, codes, or history associated with the investigation of the complaint or dispute; and
  - h. an explanation of the resolution.
- 3. For each consumer complaint or credit report dispute the Company received directly from a Consumer Reporting Agency during the Applicable Period, provide:
  - a. the name of the Consumer Reporting Agency that submitted the complaint or dispute;
  - b. the unique identifier by which the Company identifies the Debt account related to the complaint or dispute;
  - c. the date that the Company received the complaint or dispute;
  - d. a brief description of the nature of the complaint or dispute (e.g., identification theft, debt paid off, debtor's mistaken identity, etc.);
  - e. the response code;
  - f. the dispute code(s) (in separate fields);
  - g. any notes, codes, or history associated with the investigation of the complaint or dispute;
  - h. the date of resolution; and
  - i. the Company's reason for closing the complaint or dispute.

- 4. Identify all telephone calls that the Company has made in attempt to collect a Debt on behalf of a Creditor or third-party, and provide all associated elements as stored in your or your providers' databases (e.g., Customer Relations Management systems and call recording systems) at a call level, including:
  - a. account number associated with the call;
  - b. unique identifier for the call;
  - c. file reference for call recording, .wav file or similar;
  - d. date and time of call;
  - e. telephone number called;
  - f. duration of call;
  - g. unique operator ID associated with call;
  - h. any call-type codes, disposition codes, resolution codes, product codes, or similar associated with the call (use separate columns);
  - i. notes or comments associated with the call; and
  - j. any other data unique to the call.

# **Requests for Documents**

- One copy of all unique policies and procedures related to Debt Collection Activities, including but not limited to Debt Collection notices and calls, skip tracing, investigations, use of telephone line(s) or service(s) not controlled by the Company, and logging of complaints or disputes concerning identity theft submitted by consumers and Consumer Reporting Agencies.
- 2. One copy of all unique telephone scripts the Company has used while attempting to collect a Debt.
- 3. To the extent not already provided, one copy of all unique technical and employee manuals, handbooks, guidance documents, and training materials relating to Debt Collection Activities.
- 4. One copy of all unique policies and procedures related to Information Furnishing Activities, including but not limited to providing an address for consumers to submit disputes, correcting and updating consumer information to be furnished, and logging of complaints or disputes concerning identity theft submitted by consumers and Consumer Reporting Agencies.
- 5. To the extent not already provided, one copy of each unique technical and employee manuals, handbooks, guidance, and training materials relating to credit Information Furnishing Activities.
- 6. To the extent not already provided, one copy of all other unique policies and procedures for addressing consumers' complaints or disputes.
- 7. One copy of each unique policies and procedures for complying with laws relating to Debt Collection Activities and credit Information Furnishing Activities,

including the FDCPA, FCRA, and state and federal laws prohibiting unfair, deceptive, or abusive acts and practices.

- 8. One copy of all unique templates, models, or form Documents the Company has used to notify consumers of their Debt verification rights.
- 9. One copy of all unique templates, models, or form Documents the Company has used to respond to consumers' oral or written Debt validation requests.
- 10. One copy of all unique templates, models, or form Documents that the Company has used to respond to consumer complaints or disputes, including cease-and desist requests.
- 11. One copy of all other unique templates, models, or form Documents or letters that the Company has used in the attempt to collect a Debt from a consumer.
- 12. All audits relating to the Company's Debt Collection Activities, including but not limited to quality-assurance and compliance reviews of the Company's compliance with the Company's policies and procedures, the FDCPA, FCRA, and state and federal laws prohibiting unfair, deceptive, or abusive acts and practices.
- 13. Audited financial statements, including the corresponding footnote disclosures, balance sheets, income statements, statements of cash flows, and statements of changes in owners' equity for the Applicable Period through the latest month available for 2019. If no audited financial statements exist, unaudited financial statements along with the corresponding footnote disclosures.

# **Requests for Tangible Things**

- 1. Metadata from call systems and related systems, including call notes, for all telephone calls made or received by the Company in an attempt to collect a Debt from a consumer.
- 2. Recordings of all telephone calls between the Company and any Consumer relating to the Company's attempt to collect on a consumer Debt in the Company's portfolio.
- 3. Recordings of all telephone calls between the Company and any third-party natural persons (excluding any Creditor or any third-party for which the Company is performing or had performed Debt Collection Activities) relating to the Company's attempt to collect on a consumer Debt in the Company's portfolio.
- 4. For each account for which the Company made a call responsive to Requests for Tangible Things 2 and 3, identify all phone numbers authorized associated with the account. Provide each phone number as a separate observation, with fields for

the original account number associated with the phone number and any notes regarding the type of number (e.g., home, work, cell, or spouse work).

# II. Definitions.

A. **"And**" and **"or**" must be construed both conjunctively and disjunctively.

B. "Any" includes "all," and "all" includes "any."

C. **"CID**" means the Civil Investigative Demand, including the Requests, Topics for Hearing, Definitions, and Instructions.

D. **"Bureau**" means the Bureau of Consumer Financial Protection.

E. **"Company**" or "**you**" or "**your**" means the Law Offices of Crystal Moroney, P.C., and any successor in interest.

F. **"Consumer**" or **"Debtor"** means any natural person obligated or allegedly obligated to pay any Debt as defined in the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3).

G. **"Consumer Reporting Agency**" means "consumer reporting agency" as defined in the Fair Credit Reporting Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1681a(f).

H. **"Debt**" means any obligation or alleged obligation of a consumer to pay money arising out of a transaction in which the money, property, insurance, or services which are the subject of the transaction are primarily for personal, family, or household purposes, whether or not such obligation has been reduced to judgment as defined in the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(5).

I. **"Debt Collection Activities**" means all activities, including attempts, to collect a Debt either directly or indirectly (excluding the provision of legal services).

J. "**Demand Letter**" means any document sent to a Consumer in an effort to collect a Debt.

K. **"Deputy Enforcement Director**" refers to a Deputy Assistant Director of the Office of Enforcement.

L. **"Document**" means any written matter of every type and description, including electronically stored information. "Document" includes any non-identical copy (such as a draft or annotated copy) of another document.

M. "Each" includes "every," and "every" includes "each."

N. "Electronically Stored Information," or "ESI," means the complete original

and any non-identical copy (whether different from the original because of notations, different metadata, or otherwise) of any electronically created or stored information, including but not limited to e-mail, instant messaging, videoconferencing, SMS, MMS, or other text messaging, and other electronic correspondence (whether active, archived, unsent, or in a sent or deleted-items folder), word-processing files, spreadsheets, databases, unorganized data, document metadata, presentation files, and sound recordings, regardless of how or where the information is stored, including if it is on a mobile device.

O. **"Enforcement Director**" refers to the Assistant Director of the Office of Enforcement.

P. **"Fair Credit Reporting Act**" or **"FCRA**" means the Fair Credit Reporting Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1681 *et seq*.

Q. **"Fair Debt Collection Practices Act**" or **"FDCPA**" means the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 *et seq*.

R. "**Identify**" means to provide: (a) for natural persons, their name, title or position, present business affiliation, present business address, e-mail address, and telephone number, or if a present business affiliation or present business address is not known, the last known business address, home address, e-mail address, and telephone number; (b) for businesses or other organizations, the name, address, identities of officers, directors, or managers of the business or organization, and contact persons with e-mail addresses and telephone numbers, where applicable; and (c) for documents, the title, date, authors, recipients, Bates numbers, if applicable, type of document or some other means of identifying the document, and the present or last known location or custodian.

S. **"Information Furnishing Activities"** means all activities related to efforts to furnish consumer information to a Consumer Reporting Agency, either directly or indirectly.

T. **"Person**" means an individual, partnership, company, corporation, association (incorporated or unincorporated), trust, estate, cooperative organization, or other entity.

# III. Instructions.

A. **Sharing of Information:** This CID relates to a nonpublic, law-enforcement investigation being conducted by the Bureau. The Bureau may make its files available to other civil and criminal federal, state, or local law-enforcement agencies under 12 C.F.R. §§ 1070.43(b)(1) and 1070.45(a)(5). Information you provide may be used in any civil or criminal proceeding by the Bureau or other agencies. As stated in 12 C.F.R. § 1080.14, information you provide in response to this CID is subject to the requirements and procedures relating to the disclosure of records and information set forth in 12 C.F.R. pt.

1070.

B. **Meet and Confer:** As stated in 12 C.F.R. § 1080.6(c), you must contact Enforcement Attorney **E. Vanessa Assae-Bille** at **(202) 435-7688** as soon as possible to schedule a meeting (telephonic or in person) to discuss your response to the CID. The meeting must be held within **10** calendar days after you receive this CID or before the deadline for filing a petition to modify or set aside the CID, whichever is earlier.

# C. **Applicable Period for Responsive Materials:** Unless otherwise directed, the applicable period for the request is from **January 1, 2014, until the date of this CID ("Applicable Period").**

D. **Privilege Claims:** If any material responsive to this CID is withheld on the grounds of privilege, you must make the privilege claim no later than the date set for the production of the material. As stated in 12 C.F.R. § 1080.8(a), any such claim must include a schedule of the documents, information, or tangible things withheld that states, for each:

- 1. its type, specific subject matter, and date;
- 2. the names, addresses, positions, and organizations of all authors and direct or indirect recipients;
- 3. the specific grounds for claiming the privilege;
- 4. the request to which the privileged document, information, or thing is responsive; and
- 5. its Bates number or range.

In addition, the person who submits the schedule and the attorney stating the grounds for the privilege must sign it. A person withholding material solely based on a claim of privilege must comply with the requirements of 12 C.F.R. § 1080.8 rather than file a petition for an order modifying or setting aside a demand under 12 C.F.R. § 1080.6(e). Please follow the enclosed Document Submission Standards for further instructions about producing redacted privileged documents.

E. **Document Retention:** Until you are notified otherwise, you are required to retain all documents and other tangible things that you used or relied on in responding to this CID. In addition, you must retain, and suspend any procedures that may result in the destruction of, documents, information, or tangible things that are in any way relevant to the investigation, as described in the CID's Notification of Purpose. You are required to prevent the destruction of relevant material irrespective of whether you believe such material is protected from future disclosure or discovery by privilege or otherwise. *See* 18 U.S.C. §§ 1505, 1519.

F. **Modification Requests:** If you believe that the scope of the search or response required by this CID can be narrowed consistent with the Bureau's need for documents or information, you are encouraged to discuss such possible modifications, including modifications of the requirements of these instructions, with Enforcement Attorney **E. Vanessa Assae-Bille** at **(202) 435-7688**. Modifications must be agreed to in writing by the Enforcement Director or a Deputy Enforcement Director. 12 C.F.R. § 1080.6(d).

G. **Petition for Order Modifying or Setting Aside Demand:** Under 12 U.S.C. § 5562(f) and 12 C.F.R. § 1080.6(e), you may petition the Bureau for an order modifying or setting aside this CID. To file a petition, you must send it by e-mail to the Bureau's Executive Secretary at <u>ExecSec@cfpb.gov</u>, copying the Enforcement Director at <u>Enforcement@cfpb.gov</u>, within **20** calendar days of service of the CID or, if the return date is less than 20 calendar days after service, before the return date. The subject line of the e-mail must say "Petition to Modify or Set Aside Civil Investigative Demand." If a request for confidential treatment is filed, you must file a redacted public petition in addition to the unredacted petition. All requests for confidential treatment must be supported by a showing of good cause in light of applicable statutes, rules, Bureau orders, court orders, or other relevant authority.

H. **Certification:** The person to whom the CID is directed or, if it is directed to an entity, any person having knowledge of the facts and circumstances relating to the production, must certify that the response to this CID is true and complete. This certification must be made on the form declaration included with this CID.

I. **Scope of Search:** This CID covers materials and information in your possession, custody, or control, including but not limited to documents in the possession, custody, or control of your attorneys, accountants, other agents or consultants, directors, officers, and employees.

J. **Document Production:** The Bureau encourages the electronic production of all material responsive to this CID; please follow the enclosed Document Submission Standards.

All packages destined for Bureau offices should be addressed to:

Consumer Financial Protection Bureau 1700 G Street, NW ATTN: Annais Ramírez-Velázquez, SEFL, Office of Enforcement, Seat 8125E.1 Washington, DC 20552

Please provide your intended method of production and any tracking numbers by e-mail or telephone to Enforcement Attorney E. Vanessa Assae-Bille at Elisabeth.Assae-Bille@cfpb.gov and (202) 435-7688.

K. **Document Identification:** Documents that may be responsive to more than

one request of this CID need not be submitted more than once. All documents responsive to this CID must be accompanied by an index that identifies: (i) the name of each custodian of each responsive document; (ii) the corresponding Bates number or range used to identify that person's documents; and (iii) the request or requests to which each document responds.

L. Sensitive Personally Identifiable Information: If any material called for by these requests contains sensitive personally identifiable information, or sensitive health information of any individual, please contact Enforcement Attorney E. Vanessa Assae-Bille at (202) 435-7688 before sending those materials to discuss ways to protect the information during production. You must encrypt electronic copies of such materials with encryption software acceptable to the Bureau. When submitting encrypted material, you must provide the encryption key, certificate, or passcode in a separate communication.

For purposes of this CID, sensitive personally identifiable information includes an individual's Social Security number alone or an individual's name, address, or phone number *in combination with* one or more of the following: date of birth, Social Security number, driver's-license number or other state-identification number, or a foreign country equivalent, passport number, financial-account number, credit-card number, or debit-card number. Sensitive health information includes medical records and other individually identifiable health information relating to the past, present, or future physical or mental health or conditions of an individual, the provision of health care to an individual, or the past, present, or future payment for the provision of health care to an individual.

M. **Information Identification:** Each request for a written report or interrogatory in this CID must be answered separately and fully in writing under oath. All information submitted must clearly and precisely identify the request or requests to which it is responsive.

N. **Submission of Documents in lieu of Reports or Answers:** Documents in existence before your receipt of this CID that contain the information requested in any interrogatory may be submitted as part of or in lieu of an answer to the interrogatory. If you submit documents as part of or in lieu of an answer, you must clearly indicate the specific request to which the documents are responsive, and you must clearly identify the specific portion of the documents that are responsive, including page, paragraph, and line numbers, as applicable.

# O. **Declaration Certifying Records of Regularly Conducted Business Activity:** Attached is a Declaration Certifying Records of Regularly Conducted Business Activity, which may limit the need to subpoena you to testify at future proceedings to establish the admissibility of documents produced in response to this CID. Please execute this Declaration and provide it with your response.

P. All references to "**year**" or "**annual**" refer to the calendar year. Where

information is requested "for each year," provide it separately for each year; where yearly data is not available, provide responsive information for the calendar year to date, unless otherwise instructed.

Q. **Duty to Estimate**: If you are unable to answer any interrogatory fully, supply such information as is available. Explain why such answer is incomplete, the efforts you made to obtain the information, and the source from which the complete answer may be obtained. If books and records that provide accurate answers are not available, enter best estimates and describe how the estimates were derived, including the sources or bases of such estimates. Estimated data should be followed by the notation "est." If there is no reasonable way to make an estimate, provide an explanation.

### **CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE WITH RFPA**

The Right to Financial Privacy Act of 1978 (RFPA) does not apply to the disclosure of financial records or information to the Bureau of Consumer Financial Protection "in the exercise of its authority with respect to a financial institution." 12 U.S.C. § 3413(r). This civil investigative demand is also issued in connection with an investigation within the meaning of section 3413(h)(1)(A) of the RFPA. Therefore, in accordance with section 3403(b) of the RFPA, the undersigned certifies that, to the extent applicable, the provisions of the RFPA have been complied with as to the Civil Investigative Demand issued to Law Offices of Crystal Moroney, P.C., to which this Certificate is attached.

The information obtained will be used to determine whether the persons named or referred to in the attached Civil Investigative Demand are in compliance with laws administered by the Bureau of Consumer Financial Protection. The information may be transferred to another department or agency consistent with the RFPA.

Under the RFPA, good faith reliance on this certificate relieves the recipient and its employees and agents of any liability to customers in connection with the requested disclosures of financial records of these customers. *See* 12 U.S.C. § 3417(c).

Deborah Morris Digitally signed by Deborah Morris Date: 2019.11.14 11:10:04 -05'00'

Deborah Morris Bureau of Consumer Financial Protection Deputy Director, Office of Enforcement

# Case 7:20-cv-03240-UA Document 6-3 Filed 04/27/20 Page 20 of 49

## DECLARATION CERTIFYING RECORDS OF REGULARLY CONDUCTED BUSINESS ACTIVITY Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746

I, \_\_\_\_\_, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746, declare that:

- I am employed by \_\_\_\_\_\_as \_\_\_\_\_as \_\_\_\_\_and by reason of my position am authorized and qualified to certify the authenticity of the records produced by Law Offices of Crystal Moroney, P.C. and submitted with this Declaration.
- The documents produced and submitted with this Declaration by Law Offices of Crystal Moroney, P.C. are true copies of records of regularly conducted activity that were:
  - made at or near the time of the occurrence of the matters set forth, by, or from information transmitted by, a person with knowledge of those matters;
  - b. kept in the course of the regularly conducted business activity; and
  - c. made by the regularly conducted business activity as a regular practice.

I certify under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on

Signature

### **CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE**

I, \_\_\_\_\_, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §

1746, declare that:

- I have confirmed that a diligent search has been made for all responsive documents and information in the possession, custody, or control of Law Offices of Crystal Moroney, P.C..
- 2. All of the documents and information identified through the search described in paragraph 1 above required by the Civil Investigative Demand dated November 14<sup>th</sup>, 2019 that are within the possession, custody, or control of Law Offices of Crystal Moroney, P.C. have been submitted to the Bureau custodian or deputy custodian identified in this Civil Investigative Demand.
- 3. If a document or tangible thing responsive to this Civil Investigative Demand has not been submitted, an interrogatory or a portion of an interrogatory has not been fully answered, or a report or a portion of a report has not been completed, a claim of privilege in compliance with 12 C.F.R. § 1080.8 has been submitted.
- 4. Law Offices of Crystal Moroney, P.C. has reviewed all responsive answers, reports, other documents and tangible things (collectively "Responses"), and has designated as confidential all those Responses, and only those Responses, the disclosure of which would cause substantial harm to the competitive position of Law Offices of Crystal Moroney, P.C., as that term is used for purposes of the Freedom of Information Act.

# Case 7:20-cv-03240-UA Document 6-3 Filed 04/27/20 Page 22 of 49

I certify under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on

·-----•

Signature

\_\_\_\_\_

# Civil Investigative Demand Document Submission Standards

**BCFP Office of Enforcement** 



# **CID Document Submission Standards**

This describes the technical requirements for producing electronic document collections to the Bureau of Consumer Financial Protection ("the Bureau")'s Office of Enforcement. All documents shall be produced in complete form, in color when necessary to interpret the document, unredacted unless privileged, and shall not be edited, cut, or expunged. These standards must be followed for all documents you submit in response to the CID. Any proposed file formats other than those described below must be discussed with the legal and technical staff of the Bureau's Office of Enforcement prior to submission.

# **Table of Contents**

CID	D	ocument Submission Standards1
Tak	ole	of Contents
А.	T	ransmittal Instructions
В.	D	elivery Formats
1	)	General ESI Standards5
2	)	Native and Image Production6
	a)	
	b)	) Document Text
	c)	Linked Native Files9
	d)	) Images9
	e)	) Image Cross Reference File9
3	)	PDF File Production10
4	)	Transactional Data
5	)	Audio/Video/Electronic Phone Records10
С.	P	roduction of Partially Privileged Documents12

# **A. Transmittal Instructions**

- 1) A cover letter should be included with each production. The following information should be included in the letter:
  - a) Name of the party making the production and the date of the CID to which the submission is responsive.
  - b) List of each piece of media (hard drive, thumb drive, DVD or CD) included in the production (refer to the media by the unique number assigned to it, see ¶ 4)
  - c) List of custodians, identifying:
    - i) The Bates Range (and any gaps therein) for each custodian,
    - ii) Total number of images for each custodian, and
    - iii) Total number of native files for each custodian
  - d) List of fields in the order in which they are listed in the metadata load file.
  - e) The specification(s) or portions thereof of the CID to which the submission is responsive.
- 2) Documents created or stored electronically MUST be produced in their original electronic format, not converted to another format such as PDF.
- 3) Data may be produced on CD, DVD, USB thumb drive, or hard drive; use the media requiring the least number of deliverables.
  - a) Magnetic media shall be carefully packed to avoid damage and must be clearly marked on the outside of the shipping container:
    - i) "MAGNETIC MEDIA DO NOT USE METAL DETECTOR"
    - ii) "MAY BE OPENED FOR POSTAL INSPECTION"
  - b) CD-R CD-ROMs should be formatted to ISO 9660 specifications;
  - c) DVD-ROMs for Windows-compatible personal computers are acceptable;
  - d) USB 2.0 thumb drives for Windows-compatible personal computers are acceptable;
  - e) USB 3.0 or USB 3.0/eSATA external hard disk drives, formatted in a Microsoft Windows-compatible file system (FAT32 or NTFS), uncompressed data are acceptable.
- 4) Label all media with the following:
  - a) Production date
  - b) Bates range
  - c) Disk number (1 of X), if applicable
  - d) Name of producing party
  - e) A unique production number identifying each production
- 5) All productions must be produced free of computer viruses. Infected productions may affect the timing of your compliance with the CID.

- 6) All produced media must be encrypted. Encryption format must be agreed upon prior to production.
  - a) Data deliveries should be encrypted at the disc level.
  - b) Decryption keys should be provided separately from the data delivery via email or phone.
- 7) Passwords for documents, files, and compressed archives should be provided separately either via email or in a separate cover letter from the data.

# **B. Delivery Formats**

## 1) General ESI Standards

Before submitting any Electronically Stored Information ("ESI") or any other documents submitted in electronic form that do not conform completely to the listed specifications, you must confirm with the Bureau that the proposed formats and media types that contain such ESI will be acceptable. You are encouraged to discuss your specific form of submission, and any related questions with the Bureau as soon as is practicable and not later than the Meet and Confer required pursuant to 12 C.F.R. § 1080.6(c).

All productions must follow the specifications outlined below:

### **De-duplication**

De-duplication of documents should be applied across custodians (global); each custodian should be identified in the Custodian field in the metadata load file separated by semi-colon. The first name in the Custodian list should represent the original holder of the document.

### **Bates Numbering Documents**

The Bates number must be a unique, sequential, consistently formatted identifier, i.e., an alpha prefix unique to each producing party along with a fixed length number, i.e., ABC0000001. This format must remain consistent across all productions. There should be no space in between the prefix and the number. The number of digits in the numeric portion of the format should not change in subsequent productions, nor should hyphens or other separators be added or deleted.

### Document Retention / Preservation of Metadata

The recipient of this CID should use reasonable measures to maintain the original native source documents in a manner so as to preserve the metadata associated with these

electronic materials as it existed at the time of the original creation.

### 2) Native and Image Production

In general, and subject to the specific instructions below: (1) produce electronic documents in their complete native/original format along with corresponding bateslabeled single page TIFF images (with the exception of large spreadsheets and/or text files, those files should be processed and a placeholder TIFF image indicating that they were produced natively provided); (2) scan and process all paper documents into single page TIFF images, OCR the images, and apply bates numbers to each page of the image; (3) produce fully searchable document level text for every produced document; and (4) produce metadata for every produced document in a data file that conforms to the specific instructions below.

a) Metadata File

All produced documents, regardless of their original file format, must be produced with the below-described metadata fields in a data file (.DAT).

- i) The first line of the .DAT file must be a header row identifying the field names.
- ii) The .DAT file must use the following default delimiters:

TABLE 1:	DAT FILE DELIMITERS	

Comma	¶	ASCII character (020)
Quote	Þ	ASCII character (254)
Newline	®	ASCII character (174)

- iii) Date fields should be provided in the format: mm/dd/yyyy
- iv) All attachments should sequentially follow the parent document/email.
- v) All documents shall be produced in both their native/original form and as a corresponding bates-labeled single page TIFF image; provide the link to the original/native document in the NATIVELINK field.
- vi) Produce extracted metadata for each document in the form of a .DAT file, and include these fields (fields should be listed but left blank if not applicable):

### **TABLE 2:**DAT FILE FIELDS

Field Name	Description
	Required Fields
BATES_BEGIN	First Bates number of native file document/email
	Last Bates number of native file document/email
BATES_END	**The BATES_END field should be populated for single
	page documents/emails
ATTACH_BEGIN	First Bates number of attachment/family range
ATTACH_END	Last Bates number of attachment/family range
ATTACH NAME	Populates parent records with original filenames of all
ATTACH_NAME	attached records, separated by semi-colons.
PRIV	Indicate "YES" if document has a Privilege claim
	Indicate Interrogatory number(s) document is
ROG_NUM	responsive to. (ROG ##) If multiple, separate by semi-
	colon
DR_NUM	Indicate Document Request document is responsive to.
	(DR ##) If multiple, separate by semi-colon
	Email: Populate field as "E-Mail" Email Attachment: Populate field as "Attachment (E-
	mail)"
RECORDTYPE	Loose Native: Populate field as "E-Document"
	<u>Other Attachment</u> : Populate field as "Attachment"
	<u>Scanned Paper</u> : Populate field as "Paper"
	Individual(s) or department(s) from which the
CUSTODIAN	record originated
	**semi-colon should be used to separate multiple entries
	Email: Filename of loose email or subject of non-loose
FILENAME	email
	Non-email: original file name
PGCOUNT	Number of pages in document/email
MD5HASH	The 32 digit value representing each unique document
SOURCE	Email: Path to email container and email container name
	Non-email: Original path to source archive folder or files
FOLDERPATH	Email: Folder path within email container
	Non-email: Folder path to file
DATE_CREATED	The date the electronic file was created
TIME_CREATED	The time the electronic file was created
DATE_MOD	Date an electronic file was last modified
TIME_MOD	Time an electronic file was last modified
PRINT_DATE	Date the document was last printed
PRINT_TIME	Time the document was last printed

FILE SIZE	Size of native file document/email in KB
	The file extension representing the email or
FILE_EXT	native file document
	Email: (empty)
AUTHOR	Non-email: Author of the document
SUBJECT	Subject metadata from electronic files (non-email)
COMPANY	Company (organization) metadata from electronic files
	Hyperlink to the email or native file document
NATIVELINK	**The linked file must be named per the BATES_BEGIN
	Number
ТЕХТРАТН	Contains path to OCR/Extracted text file that is titled after
	the document BATES_BEGIN
Addi	tional Fields for Email Productions
FROM	Sender of email
то	Recipient(s) of email
10	**semi-colon should be used to separate multiple entries
сс	Carbon copy recipient(s)
	**semi-colon should be used to separate multiple entries
ВСС	Blind carbon copy recipient(s)
	**semi-colon should be used to separate multiple entries
EMAIL_SUBJECT	"Subject" line of the email
DATE_SENT	Date and time that the email message was sent.
DATE_RECVD	Date and time that the email message was received.
TIME ZONE	Time Zone processed in
CONVERSATION_INDEX	Conversation thread ID/Index value
	Populated only for email attachments, this field will
PARENT_ID	display the Image Tag field value of the attachment
	record's parent.

b) Document Text

Searchable text of the entire document must be provided for every record, at the document level.

i) Extracted text must be provided for all documents that originated in electronic format.

Note: Any document in which text cannot be extracted must be OCR'd.

ii) For documents redacted on the basis of any privilege, provide the OCR text for unredacted/unprivileged portions.

- iii) The text should be delivered in the following method: As multi-page ASCII text files with the files named the same as the Bates\_Begin field. Text files can be placed in a separate folder or included with the .TIFF files.
- c) Linked Native Files

Copies of original email and native file documents/attachments must be included for all electronic productions.

- i) Native file documents must be named per the BATES\_BEGIN number (the original file name should be preserved and produced in the FILENAME metadata field).
- ii) The full path of the native file must be provided in the .DAT file in the NATIVELINK field.
- d) Images
  - i) Images should be single-page, Group IV TIFF files, scanned at 300 dpi.
  - ii) File names should be titled per endorsed bates number.
  - iii) Color should be preserved when necessary to interpret the document.
  - iv) Bates numbers should be endorsed on the lower right corner of all images.
  - v) For documents partially redacted on the basis of any privilege, ensure the redaction box is clearly labeled "REDACTED".
- e) Image Cross Reference File
  - i) The image cross-reference file is needed to link the images to the database. It is a comma-delimited file consisting of seven fields per line. There must be a line in the cross-reference file for every image in the database.

Field Title	Description
ImageID	The unique designation use to identify an image.
	<b>Note:</b> This imageID key <b>must</b> be a unique and fixed length number. This number will be used in the.DAT file as the ImageID field that links the database to the images. The format of this image key must be consistent across all productions. We recommend that the format be an eight digit number to allow for the possible increase in the size of a production.
VolumeLabel	Optional
ImageFilePath	The full path to the image file.
DocumentBreak	The letter "Y" denotes the first page of a document. If this field is blank, then the page is not the first page of a document.
FolderBreak	Leave empty
BoxBreak	Leave empty
PageCount	Optional

\*This file should not contain a header row.

## SAMPLE:

 IMG0000001,OPTIONALVOLUMENAME,E:\001\IMG0000001.TIF,Y,,,3

 IMG0000002,OPTIONALVOLUMENAME,E:\001\IMG0000002.TIF,,,,

 IMG0000003,OPTIONALVOLUMENAME,E:\001\IMG0000003.TIF,,,,

 IMG0000004,OPTIONALVOLUMENAME,E:\001\IMG0000004.TIF,Y,,,1

 IMG0000005,OPTIONALVOLUMENAME,E:\001\IMG0000005.TIF,Y,,,2

 IMG0000006,OPTIONALVOLUMENAME,E:\001\IMG0000005.TIF,Y,,,2

# 3) PDF File Production

When approved, Adobe PDF files may be produced in lieu of TIFF images for scanned paper productions (metadata must also be produced in accordance with the instructions above):

- a) PDF files should be produced in separate folders named by the Custodian.
- b) All PDFs must be unitized at the document level, i.e. each PDF should represent a discrete document; a single PDF cannot contain multiple documents.
- c) All attachments should sequentially follow the parent document.
- d) All PDF files must contain embedded text that includes all discernible words within the document, not selected text only. This requires all layers of the PDF to be flattened first.
- e) If PDF files are Bates endorsed, the PDF files must be named by the Bates range
- f) The metadata load file listed in 2.a. should be included.

## 4) Transactional Data

If transactional data must be produced, further discussion must be had to ensure the intended export is properly composed. If available, a data dictionary should accompany the production, if unavailable; a description of fields should accompany transactional data productions. The following formats are acceptable:

•MS Access

•XML

•CSV

•TSV

•Excel (with prior approval)

## 5) Audio/Video/Electronic Phone Records

- a) Audio files must be produced in a format that is playable using Microsoft Windows Media Player. Types of audio files that will be accepted include:
  - •Nice Systems audio files (.aud). AUD files offer efficient compression and would be

preferred over both NMF and WAV files.

- •Nice Systems audio files (.nmf).
- •WAV Files
- •MP3, MP4
- •WMA
- •AIF

Produced audio files must be in a separate folder compared to other data in the production.

Additionally, the call information (metadata) related to each audio recording must be produced if it exists. The metadata file must be produced in delimited text format (DAT, CSV, or TXT), using a tab or pipe delimiter. Field names must be included in the first row of the metadata file. Please note that the field names are case sensitive and should be created as listed below. The metadata must include, if available, the following fields:

AgentName	Name of agent/employee
AgentId	Unique identifier of agent/employee
Group	Name for a collection of agents
Supervisor	Name of the Agent's supervisor
Site	Location of call facility
	Dialed Number Identification Service,
DNIS	identifies the number that was originally
	called
Extension	Extension where call was routed
CallDiraction	Identifies whether the call was inbound,
CallDirection	outbound, or internal
CallType	Purpose of the call
DURATION	Duration of call
CustomerId	Customer's identification number
CustomerCity	Customer's city of residence
CustomerState	Customer's state of residence
	Date and start time of call (MM/DD/YYYY
CallDateTime	HH:MM:SS)
CUSTOMERNAME	Name of person called
FileName	Filename of audio file
BATES_BEGIN	Unique number of the audio file

## Case 7:20-cv-03240-UA Document 6-3 Filed 04/27/20 Page 34 of 49

CALLEDPARTYNUMBER	The call center or phone number called
CALLSIZE	File size of audio file
CALLSERVICE	Call service code
MD5HASH	The 32 digit value representing each unique document
DOC_REQ	Document request number to which the file is responsive
CUSTODIAN	Individual(s) or department(s) from which the recording originated
FOLDERPATH	Folder path of the audio file in the original source
SOURCE	Original path to where the source file resided
TIMEZONE	The time zone of the original call
GROUPID	A unique group identifier for grouping multiple calls
CODEC	Encoding/decoding of the audio digital stream
BITRATE	The number of bits that are conveyed or processed per unit of time

Supported Date Format	Example
mm/dd/yyyy hh:mm:ss am/pm	01/25/1996 10:45:15 am

The filename is used to link the metadata to the produced audio file. The file name in the metadata and the file name used to identify the corresponding audio file must match exactly.

- b) Video files must be produced in a format that is playable using Microsoft Windows Media Player along with any available metadata. If it is known that the video files do not contain associated audio, indicate this in the accompanying transmittal letter. Types of video files accepted include:
  - •MPG
  - •AVI
  - •WMV
  - •MOV
  - •FLV

# **C.** Production of Partially Privileged Documents

If a portion of any material called for by this CID is withheld based on a claim of privilege, those portions may be redacted from the responsive material as long as the following conditions are met.

- a) If originally stored as native electronic files, the image(s) of the unredacted portions are submitted in a way that preserves the same appearance as the original without the redacted material (i.e., in a way that depicts the size and location of the redactions). The OCR text will be produced from the redacted image(s). Any redacted, privileged material should be clearly labeled to show the redactions on the tiff image(s). Any metadata not being withheld for privilege should be produced in the DAT file; any content (e.g., PowerPoint speaker notes, Word comments, Excel hidden rows, sheets or columns) contained within the native and not being withheld for privilege should be tiffed and included in the production.
- b) If originally in hard copy form, the unredacted portions are submitted in a way that depicts the size and location of the redactions; for example, if all of the content on a particular page is privileged, a blank, sequentially numbered page should be included in the production where the responsive material, had it not been privileged, would have been located.

### BUREAU OF CONSUMER FINANCIAL PROTECTION Washington, D.C. 20552

### Notice to Persons Supplying Information

You have been asked to supply information or speak voluntarily, or directed to provide sworn testimony, documents, or answers to questions in response to a civil investigative demand (CID) from the Bureau of Consumer Financial Protection (Bureau). This notice discusses certain legal rights and responsibilities. Unless stated otherwise, the information below applies whether you are providing information voluntarily or in response to a CID.

## A. False Statements; Perjury

False Statements. Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code provides as follows:

[W]hoever, in any matter within the jurisdiction of the executive ... branch of the Government of the United States, knowingly and willfully-- (1) falsifies, conceals, or covers up by any trick, scheme, or device a material fact; (2) makes any materially false, fictitious, or fraudulent statement or representation; or (3) makes or uses any false writing or document knowing the same to contain any materially false, fictitious, or fraudulent statement or entry; shall be fined under this title ...[or] imprisoned not more than 5 years ..., or both.

Perjury. Section 1621 of Title 18 of the United States Code provides as follows:

Whoever ... having taken an oath before a competent tribunal, officer, or person, in any case in which a law of the United States authorizes an oath to be administered, that he will testify, declare, depose, or certify truly or that any written testimony, declaration, deposition, or certificate by him subscribed, is true willfully and contrary to such oath states or subscribes any material matter which he does not believe to be true ... is guilty of perjury and shall, except as otherwise expressly provided by law, be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than five years, or both. This section is applicable whether the statement or subscription is made within or without the United States.

## B. The Fifth Amendment; Your Right to Counsel

*Fifth Amendment*. Information you provide may be used against you in any federal, state, local or foreign administrative, civil or criminal proceeding brought by the Bureau or any other agency. If you are an individual, you may refuse, in accordance with the rights guaranteed to you by the Fifth Amendment to the Constitution of the United States, to give any information that may tend to incriminate you or subject you to criminal liability, including fine, penalty or forfeiture.

*Counsel.* You have the right to be accompanied, represented and advised by counsel of your choice. For further information, you should consult Bureau regulations at 12 C.F.R. § 1080.9(b).

# C. Effect of Not Supplying Information

*Persons Directed to Supply Information Pursuant to CID.* If you fail to comply with the CID, the Bureau may seek a court order requiring you to do so. If such an order is obtained and you still fail to supply the information, you may be subject to civil and criminal sanctions for contempt of court.

*Persons Requested to Supply Information Voluntarily.* There are no sanctions for failing to provide all or any part of the requested information. If you do not provide the requested information, the Bureau may choose to send you a CID or subpoena.

## D. Privacy Act Statement

The information you provide will assist the Bureau in its determinations regarding violations of Federal consumer financial laws. The information will be used by and disclosed to Bureau personnel and contractors or other agents who need the information to assist in activities related to enforcement of Federal consumer financial laws. The information may also be disclosed for statutory or regulatory purposes, or pursuant to the Bureau's published Privacy Act system of records notice, to:

- a court, magistrate, administrative tribunal, or a party in litigation;
- another federal or state agency or regulatory authority;
- a member of Congress; and
- others as authorized by the Bureau to receive this information.

This collection of information is authorized by 12 U.S.C. §§ 5511, 5562.



Federal Register / Vol. 77, No. 126 / Friday, June 29, 2012 / Rules and Regulations

#### §1081.405 Decision of the Director.

(a) Upon appeal from or upon further review of a recommended decision, the Director will consider such parts of the record as are cited or as may be necessary to resolve the issues presented and, in addition, will, to the extent necessary or desirable, exercise all powers which he or she could have exercised if he or she had made the recommended decision. In proceedings before the Director, the record shall consist of all items part of the record below in accordance with § 1081.306; any notices of appeal or order directing review; all briefs, motions, submissions, and other papers filed on appeal or review; and the transcript of any oral argument held. Review by the Director of a recommended decision may be limited to the issues specified in the notice(s) of appeal or the issues, if any, specified in the order directing further briefing. On notice to all parties, however, the Director may, at any time prior to issuance of his or her decision, raise and determine any other matters that he or she deems material, with opportunity for oral or written argument thereon by the parties.

(b) Decisional employees may advise and assist the Director in the consideration and disposition of the case.

(c) In rendering his or her decision, the Director will affirm, adopt, reverse, modify, set aside, or remand for further proceedings the recommended decision and will include in the decision a statement of the reasons or basis for his or her actions and the findings of fact upon which the decision is predicated.

(d) At the expiration of the time permitted for the filing of reply briefs with the Director, the Office of Administrative Adjudication will notify the parties that the case has been submitted for final Bureau decision. The Director will issue and the Office of Administrative Adjudication will serve the Director's final decision and order within 90 days after such notice, unless within that time the Director orders that the adjudication proceeding or any aspect thereof be remanded to the hearing officer for further proceedings.

(e) Copies of the final decision and order of the Director shall be served upon each party to the proceeding, upon other persons required by statute, and, if directed by the Director or required by statute, upon any appropriate State or Federal supervisory authority. The final decision and order will also be published on the Bureau's Web site or as otherwise deemed appropriate by the Bureau.

#### §1081.406 Reconsideration.

Within 14 days after service of the Director's final decision and order, any party may file with the Director a petition for reconsideration, briefly and specifically setting forth the relief desired and the grounds in support thereof. Any petition filed under this section must be confined to new questions raised by the final decision or final order and upon which the petitioner had no opportunity to argue, in writing or orally, before the Director. No response to a petition for reconsideration shall be filed unless requested by the Director, who will request such response before granting any petition for reconsideration. The filing of a petition for reconsideration shall not operate to stay the effective date of the final decision or order or to toll the running of any statutory period affecting such decision or order unless specifically so ordered by the Director.

# §1081.407 Effective date; stays pending judicial review.

(a) Other than consent orders, which shall become effective at the time specified therein, an order to cease and desist or for other affirmative action under section 1053(b) of the Dodd-Frank Act becomes effective at the expiration of 30 days after the date of service pursuant to § 1081.113(d)(2), unless the Director agrees to stay the effectiveness of the order pursuant to this section.

(b) Any party subject to a final decision and order, other than a consent order, may apply to the Director for a stay of all or part of that order pending judicial review.

(c) A motion for stay shall state the reasons a stay is warranted and the facts relied upon, and shall include supporting affidavits or other sworn statements, and a copy of the relevant portions of the record. The motion shall address the likelihood of the movant's success on appeal, whether the movant will suffer irreparable harm if a stay is not granted, the degree of injury to other parties if a stay is granted, and why the stay is in the public interest.

(d) A motion for stay shall be filed within 30 days of service of the order on the party. Any party opposing the motion may file a response within five days after receipt of the motion. The movant may file a reply brief, limited to new matters raised by the response, within three days after receipt of the response.

(e) The commencement of proceedings for judicial review of a final decision and order of the Director does not, unless specifically ordered by the Director or a reviewing court, operate as a stay of any order issued by the Director. The Director may, in his or her discretion, and on such terms as he or she finds just, stay the effectiveness of all or any part of an order pending a final decision on a petition for judicial review of that order.

Dated: June 4, 2012.

#### Richard Cordray,

Director, Bureau of Consumer Financial Protection. [FR Doc. 2012–14061 Filed 6–28–12; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4810–AM–P

# BUREAU OF CONSUMER FINANCIAL PROTECTION

#### 12 CFR Part 1080

[Docket No.: CFPB-2011-0007]

#### RIN 3170-AA03

#### **Rules Relating to Investigations**

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Consumer Financial Protection.

#### **ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** After considering the public comments on its interim final rule for the Rules Relating to Investigations, the Bureau of Consumer Financial Protection (Bureau), pursuant to the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act of 2010 (Dodd-Frank Act), is making revisions to its procedures for investigations under section 1052 of the Dodd-Frank Act.

**DATES:** The final rule is effective June 29, 2012.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Peter G. Wilson, Office of the General Counsel, Consumer Financial Protection Bureau, 1700 G Street NW., Washington, DC 20552, (202) 435–7585.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### I. Background

The Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act of 2010 (Dodd-Frank Act) was signed into law on July 21, 2010. Title X of the Dodd-Frank Act established the Bureau of Consumer Financial Protection (Bureau) to regulate the offering and provision of consumer financial products or services under the Federal consumer financial laws. The Dodd-Frank Act transferred to the Bureau the consumer financial protection functions formerly carried out by the Federal banking agencies, as well as certain authorities formerly carried out by the Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) and the Federal Trade Commission (FTC). As required by section 1062 of the Dodd-Frank Act, 12 U.S.C. 5582, the Secretary of the Treasury selected a

designated transfer date and the Federal banking agencies' functions and authorities transferred to the Bureau on July 21, 2011.

The Dodd-Frank Act authorizes the Bureau to conduct investigations to ascertain whether any person is or has been engaged in conduct that, if proved, would constitute a violation of any provision of Federal consumer financial law. Section 1052 of the Dodd-Frank Act sets forth the parameters that govern these investigations. 12 U.S.C. 5562. Section 1052 became effective immediately upon transfer on July 21, 2011 and did not require rules to implement its provisions. On July 28, 2011, the Bureau issued the interim final rule for the Rules Relating to Investigations (Interim Final Rule) to provide parties involved in Bureau investigations with clarification on how to comply with the statutory requirements relating to Bureau investigations.

#### II. Summary of the Final Rule

Consistent with section 1052 of the Dodd-Frank Act, the final rule for the Rules Relating to Investigations (Final Rule) describes a number of Bureau policies and procedures that apply in an investigational, nonadjudicative setting. Among other things, the Final Rule sets forth (1) the Bureau's authority to conduct investigations, and (2) the rights of persons from whom the Bureau seeks to compel information in investigations.

Like the Interim Final Rule, the Final Rule is modeled on investigative procedures of other law enforcement agencies. For guidance, the Bureau reviewed the procedures currently used by the FTC, the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC), and the prudential regulators, as well as the FTC's recently proposed amendments to its nonadjudicative procedures. In light of the similarities between section 1052 of the Dodd-Frank Act and section 20 of the Federal Trade Commission Act (FTC Act), 15 U.S.C. 41 et seq., the Bureau drew most heavily from the FTC's nonadjudicative procedures in constructing the rules.

The Final Rule lays out the Bureau's authority to conduct investigations before instituting judicial or administrative adjudicatory proceedings under Federal consumer financial law. The Final Rule authorizes the Director, the Assistant Director of the Office of Enforcement, and the Deputy Assistant Directors of the Office of Enforcement to issue civil investigative demands (CIDs) for documentary material, tangible things, written reports, answers to questions, or oral testimony. The demands may be enforced in district court by the Director, the General Counsel, or the Assistant Director of the Office of Enforcement. The Final Rule also details the authority of the Bureau's investigators to conduct investigations and hold investigational hearings pursuant to civil investigative demands for oral testimony.

Furthermore, the Final Rule sets forth the rights of persons from whom the Bureau seeks to compel information in an investigation. Specifically, the Final Rule describes how such persons should be notified of the purpose of the Bureau's investigation. It also details the procedures for filing a petition for an order modifying or setting aside a CID, which the Director is authorized to rule upon. And it describes the process by which persons may obtain copies of or access to documents or testimony they have provided in response to a civil investigative demand. In addition, the Final Rule describes a person's right to counsel at investigational hearings.

#### **III. Legal Authority**

As noted above, section 1052 of the Dodd-Frank Act outlines how the Bureau will conduct investigations and describes the rights of persons from whom the Bureau seeks information in investigations. This section became effective immediately upon the designated transfer date, July 21, 2011, without any requirement that the Bureau first issue procedural rules. Nevertheless, the Bureau believes that the legislative purpose of section 1052 will be furthered by the issuance of rules that specify the manner in which persons can comply with its provisions.

Section 1022 of the Dodd-Frank Act authorizes the Director to prescribe rules as may be necessary or appropriate for the Bureau to administer and carry out the purposes and objectives of Federal consumer financial laws and to prevent evasion of those laws. 12 U.S.C. 5512. The Bureau believes that the Final Rule will effectuate the purpose of section 1052 and facilitate compliance with Bureau investigations.

# IV. Overview of Public Comments on the Interim Final Rule

After publication of the Interim Final Rule on July 28, 2011, the Bureau accepted public comments until September 26, 2011. During the comment period, the Bureau received seven comments. Two of the comments were submitted by individual consumers. Four trade associations and a mortgage company also submitted comments. The trade associations represent credit unions, banks, consumer credit companies, members of the real estate finance industry, and other financial institutions.

The commenters generally support the Interim Final Rule. Most sections of the Interim Final Rule received no comment and are being finalized without change. The comments did, however, contain questions and recommendations for the Bureau.

Several of the commenters expressed concern that the Interim Final Rule appeared to provide staff-level Bureau employees with unchecked authority to initiate investigations and issue CIDs, or that the Interim Final Rule otherwise did not provide sufficient oversight for particular actions.

A number of commenters expressed concern about sections of the Interim Final Rule that relate to CIDs. One trade association recommended that a statement of "the purpose and scope" of a Bureau investigation—in addition to a notification of the nature of the conduct constituting the alleged violation under investigation and the applicable provisions of law-be included in CIDs. A commenter suggested that the Bureau require a conference between CID recipients and the Assistant Director of the Office of Enforcement to negotiate the terms of compliance with the demand. Three of the trade associations noted concern with the statement that extensions of time are disfavored for petitions to modify or set aside CIDs. Two commenters questioned who would rule on such petitions without a confirmed Director. One trade association commented that witnesses should be permitted to object to questions demanding information outside of the scope of the investigation during an investigational hearing pursuant to a CID for oral testimony.

A number of commenters expressed concern about maintaining the confidentiality of demand material, sharing information with other State and Federal agencies, and the duties of the custodians of those materials. For example, one trade association and the mortgage company recommended that investigations should remain confidential in all circumstances. Another trade association asserted that the Bureau is not permitted to engage in joint investigations with State attorneys general.

The Bureau reviewed all of the comments on its Interim Final Rule thoroughly and addresses the significant issues they raise herein. Although most sections of the Interim Final Rule received no comment and are being finalized without change, the Bureau has made several changes to the Interim Final Rule based on the comments it received. The comments and these changes are discussed in more detail in A commen parts V and VI of the **SUPPLEMENTARY** covered pers

#### V. General Comments

INFORMATION.

Some comments on the Interim Final Rule were not directed at a specific section but rather concerned issues of general applicability. The Bureau addresses those comments in this section and addresses comments related to specific sections of the Interim Final Rule in part VI.

One commenter asked the Bureau to specify who would rule on petitions to set aside or modify CIDs while the Bureau lacked a Director. This commenter also asked who would review requests to the Attorney General under § 1080.12 for authority to immunize witnesses and to order them to testify or provide other information. The President appointed a Director of the Bureau on January 4, 2012. Therefore, both questions posed by this commenter are moot. The Director or any official to whom the Director has delegated his authority pursuant to 12 U.S.C. 5492(b) will rule on petitions to set aside or modify CIDs. Furthermore, the Bureau has revised § 1080.12 to clarify that only the Director has the authority to request approval from the Attorney General for the issuance of an order immunizing witnesses.

A commenter asserted that section 1052(c)(1) of the Dodd-Frank Act prohibits the Bureau from issuing CIDs after the institution of any proceedings under Federal consumer financial laws, including proceedings initiated by a State or a private party. The commenter argued that a CID should be accompanied by a certification that the demand will have no bearing on any ongoing proceeding. Section 1052(c)(1) provides, in relevant part, that "the Bureau may, before the institution of any proceedings under the Federal consumer financial law, issue in writing, and cause to be served upon such person, a civil investigative demand." The language "before the institution of any proceeding under Federal consumer financial law" refers to the institution of proceedings by the Bureau. It does not limit the Bureau's authority to issue CIDs based upon the commencement of a proceeding by other parties.

Another commenter requested that the Bureau exempt all credit unions from Bureau investigations. The Bureau believes that granting an exemption from the Bureau's enforcement authority through the Final Rule would be inappropriate and that there is an insufficient record to support such an exemption.

A commenter recommended that covered persons be allowed to recover attorneys' fees and costs incurred by defending against an investigation that is shown to be without merit. The Dodd-Frank Act does not provide the right to recover fees and costs by defending against an investigation. Further, as explained below, the Bureau believes that the procedures for petitioning to modify or set aside a CID set forth in § 1080.6(d) of the Interim Final Rule (now 1080.6(e) of the Final Rule) provide sufficient protections to a recipient of a demand it believes lacks merit.

#### VI. Section-by-Section Summary

#### Section 1080.1 Scope

This section describes the scope of the Interim Final Rule. It makes clear that these rules only apply to investigations under section 1052 of the Dodd-Frank Act. The Bureau received no comment on § 1080.1 of the Interim Final Rule and is adopting it as the Final Rule without change.

#### Section 1080.2 Definitions

This section of the Interim Final Rule defines several terms used throughout the rules. Many of these definitions also may be found in section 1051 of the Dodd-Frank Act.

A commenter questioned the breadth of the definition of the term "Assistant Director of the Division of Enforcement." The commenter argued that because that term was defined to include "any Bureau employee to whom the Assistant Director of the Division of Enforcement has delegated authority to act under this part," the Interim Final Rule could give Bureau employees inappropriately broad authority to take certain actions, such as issuing CIDs.

The Bureau has revised the Final Rule in response to these comments. The Final Rule identifies those with authority to take particular actions under each section of the Final Rule. Sections 1080.4 (initiating and conducting investigations) and 1080.6 (civil investigative demands) of the Final Rule clarify that the authority to initiate investigations and issue CIDs cannot be delegated by the identified officials. The Final Rule also changes the defined term "Division of Enforcement" to "Office of Enforcement" to reflect the Bureau's current organizational structure.

# Section 1080.3 Policy as to Private Controversies

This section of the Interim Final Rule states the Bureau's policy of pursuing investigations that are in the public interest. Section 1080.3 is consistent with the Bureau's mission to protect consumers by investigating potential violations of Federal consumer financial law. The Bureau received no comments on § 1080.3 of the Interim Final Rule and is adopting it as the Final Rule without change.

#### Section 1080.4 Initiating and Conducting Investigations

This section of the Interim Final Rule explains that Bureau investigators are authorized to conduct investigations pursuant to section 1052 of the Dodd-Frank Act.

A commenter observed that this section of the Interim Final Rule did not explicitly provide a procedure for senior agency officials to authorize the opening of an investigation. The commenter argued that only senior agency officials should decide whether to initiate investigations. The commenter questioned whether staff-level employees could open investigations and issue CIDs without sufficient supervision, and noted that the FTC's analogous rule specifically lists the senior officials to whom the Commission has delegated, without power of redelegation, the authority to initiate investigations.

A commenter also expressed concern that the FTC's analogous rule explicitly provides that FTC investigators must comply with the laws of the United States and FTC regulations. According to the commenter, such language is necessary to ensure that the Bureau complies with the Right to Financial Privacy Act (RFPA) to the extent that statute applies to the Bureau. The commenter also believes that this language is needed to guard against investigations undertaken for what the commenter characterized as the impermissible purpose of aiding State attorneys general or State regulators. The commenter suggested that the Bureau add a statement to this section of the Interim Final Rule similar to the FTC's rule requiring compliance with Federal law and agency regulations.

The Final Rule clarifies that only the Assistant Director or any Deputy Assistant Director of the Office of Enforcement has the authority to initiate investigations. The Bureau has significant discretion to determine whether and when to open an investigation, and the public benefits from a process whereby the Bureau can open and close investigations efficiently. But the Bureau did not intend its rules to be interpreted so broadly as to suggest that any staff-level employee could unilaterally open an investigation or issue a CID. The Final

#### Federal Register/Vol. 77, No. 126/Friday, June 29, 2012/Rules and Regulations

Rule also provides that Bureau investigators will perform their duties in accordance with Federal law and Bureau regulations.

#### Section 1080.5 Notification of Purpose

This section of the Interim Final Rule specifies that a person compelled to provide information to the Bureau or to testify in an investigational hearing must be advised of the nature of the conduct constituting the alleged violation under investigation and the applicable provisions of law. This section of the Interim Final Rule implements the requirements for CIDs described in section 1052(c)(2) of the Dodd-Frank Act.

Commenters noted that although the Dodd-Frank Act and the FTC Act both require CIDs to state "the nature of the conduct constituting the alleged violation which is under investigation and the provision of law applicable to such violation," the two agencies' implementing regulations on this topic differ. Both agencies' regulations require a statement of the nature of the conduct at issue and the relevant provisions of law, but the FTC rule also requires that the recipient of the CID be advised of "the purpose and scope" of the investigation. Commenters argued that the Bureau should add this phrase to its rule because excluding it would lead to requests for materials outside the scope of an investigation. One commenter argued that only senior agency officials should authorize investigations to ensure that CIDs are relevant to the purpose and scope of the Bureau's investigations.

The language in § 1080.5 of the Interim Final Rule mirrors the language of the Dodd-Frank Act, which provides that "[e]ach civil investigative demand shall state the nature of the conduct constituting the alleged violation which is under investigation and the provision of law applicable to such violation." The Bureau believes that the information covered by this statutory language provides sufficient notice to recipients of CIDs. As discussed above, § 1080.4 (initiating and conducting investigations) of the Final Rule limits the authority to open investigations to the Assistant Director or any Deputy Assistant Director of the Office of Enforcement. Similarly, § 1080.6 of the Final Rule (civil investigative demands) limits the authority to issue CIDs to the Director of the Bureau, the Assistant Director of the Office of Enforcement, and the Deputy Assistant Directors of the Office of Enforcement. Thus, one of these identified officials will review and approve the initiation of all investigations and the issuance of all

CIDs. In addition, to the extent recipients of CIDs consider the demands to be for an unauthorized purpose or outside the scope of the investigation, they will have an opportunity to negotiate the terms of compliance pursuant to § 1080.6(c) of the Interim Final Rule (now § 1080.6(d) of the Final Rule) or to petition to set aside or modify the demand pursuant to § 1080.6(d) of the Interim Final Rule (now § 1080.6(e) of the Final Rule).

The Bureau therefore adopts this section of the Interim Final Rule as the Final Rule without change.

# Section 1080.6 Civil Investigative Demands

This section of the Interim Final Rule lays out the Bureau's procedures for issuing CIDs. It authorizes the Assistant Director of the Office of Enforcement to issue CIDs for documentary material, tangible things, written reports, answers to questions, and oral testimony. This section of the Interim Final Rule details the information that must be included in CIDs and the requirement that responses be made under a sworn certificate. Section 1080.6 of the Interim Final Rule also authorizes the Assistant Director of the Office of Enforcement to negotiate and approve the terms of compliance with CIDs and grant extensions for good cause. Finally, this section of the Interim Final Rule describes the procedures for seeking an order to modify or set aside a CID, which the Director is authorized to rule upon.

One commenter argued that § 1080.6(a) permits almost any Bureau employee to issue CIDs without sufficient supervision. The commenter stated that this lack of oversight is problematic and does not reflect Congress' intent when it enacted the Act.

Section 1080.6(a) of the Final Rule limits the authority to issue CIDs to the Director, the Assistant Director of the Office of Enforcement, and the Deputy Assistant Directors of the Office of Enforcement. This change to the Final Rule balances the efficiency of the Bureau's investigative process with appropriate supervision and oversight.

A commenter suggested that the Bureau require a conference between the CID recipient and the Assistant Director of the Office of Enforcement within ten days of service of the CID to negotiate and approve the terms of compliance. The commenter envisioned a conference analogous to a discovery planning conference under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, during which the parties could discuss requests for information, appropriate limitations on the scope of requests, issues related to electronically stored information (ESI), issues related to privilege and confidential information, and a reasonable time for compliance. The commenter stated that this type of conference would better ensure prompt and efficient production of material and information related to the investigation.

The Bureau agrees that a conference between the parties within ten calendar days of serving a CID is likely to improve the efficiency of investigations, and § 1080.6(c) of the Final Rule provides for such a conference. The Final Rule does not, however, adopt the suggestion that the Assistant Director of the Office of Enforcement preside over all such conferences.

Several commenters also noted concern with the statement in § 1080.6(d) of the Interim Final Rule disfavoring extensions of time for petitioning for an order modifying or setting aside CIDs. One commenter argued that the 20-day period to file petitions, for which extensions of time are disfavored, is inconsistent with the "reasonable" period of time for compliance with the CID set forth in § 1080.6(a). The commenter also argued that this timeframe leaves a short period for the CID recipient to decide which documents are privileged or otherwise protected and to file a petition articulating privilege and scope objections. Another commenter noted that the analogous FTC rules do not include a provision disfavoring extensions for petitions to modify or set aside a CID. These commenters recommended that the Bureau delete the sentence related to disfavoring extensions. One commenter recommended that the rules be corrected to provide an independent review if a covered person believes a CID is without merit.

Like the Interim Final Rule, the Final Rule includes a provision disfavoring extensions of time for petitions to modify or set aside a CID. The Bureau believes its policy of disfavoring extensions is appropriate in light of its significant interest in promoting an efficient process for seeking materials through CIDs. By disfavoring extensions, the Bureau means to prompt recipients to decide within 20 days whether they intend to comply with the CID. The Final Rule also clarifies that this 20-day period should be computed with calendar days.

The Bureau notes that § 1080.6(d) of the Interim Final Rule (now § 1080.6(e) of the Final Rule) only provides the due date for a petition for an order modifying or setting aside a CID. It does not require recipients to comply fully with CIDs within 20 days. In addition, the Final Rule provides several options to recipients of CIDs that need additional time to respond. For example, the recipient may negotiate for a reasonable extension of time for compliance or a rolling document production schedule pursuant to § 1080.6(c) of the Interim Final Rule (now § 1080.6(d) of the Final Rule).

Section 1080.6(e) of the Final Rule clarifies that recipients of CIDs should not assert claims of privilege through a petition for an order modifying or setting aside a CID. Instead, when privilege is the only basis for withholding particular materials, they should utilize the procedures set forth in § 1080.8 (withholding requested material) of the Final Rule. Section 1080.6(e) of the Final Rule also lays out the authority of Bureau investigators to provide to the Director a reply to a petition seeking an order modifying or setting aside a CID. Specifically, the Final Rule states that Bureau investigators may provide the Director with a statement setting forth any factual and legal responses to a petition. The Bureau will not make these statements or any other internal deliberations part of the Bureau's public records. Section 1080.6(g) of the Final Rule clarifies that the Bureau, however, will make publicly available both the petition and the Director's order in response. Section 1080.6(g) of the Final Rule also clarifies that if a CID recipient wants to prevent the Director from making the petition public, any showing of good cause must be made no later than the time the petition is filed. The Final Rule also adds a provision clarifying how the Bureau will serve the petitioner with the Director's order.

Finally, the Bureau believes the procedures for petitions to modify or set aside a CID set forth in the Final Rule adequately protect a covered person who believes a CID is without merit, and that an additional independent review is unnecessary.

# Section 1080.7 Investigational Hearings

This section of the Interim Final Rule describes the procedures for investigational hearings initiated pursuant to a CID for oral testimony. It also lays out the roles and responsibilities of the Bureau investigator conducting the investigational hearing, which include excluding unauthorized persons from the hearing room and ensuring that the investigational hearing is transcribed, the witness is duly sworn, the transcript is a true record of the testimony, and the transcript is provided to the designated custodian.

A commenter argued that the Bureau is not authorized to conduct joint investigations with State attorneys general under the Dodd-Frank Act and, correspondingly, State attorneys general cannot attend an investigational hearing as a representative of an agency with whom the Bureau is conducting a joint investigation. The commenter argued that Congress distinguished between State attorneys general and State regulatory agencies in section 1042 of the Dodd-Frank Act and that State attorneys general are therefore not "agencies" with whom the Bureau can partner. The commenter also asserted that the Bureau cannot share a copy of the transcript of an investigational hearing with another agency without the consent of the witness.

Another commenter argued that representatives of agencies with which the Bureau is conducting a joint investigation may be present at an investigational hearing only with the witness's consent. This commenter stated that the Bureau should recognize in the rules that a witness who does not consent to the presence of a representative of another agency at an investigational hearing should not be presumed guilty.

The Dodd-Frank Act states that the Bureau "may engage in joint investigations and requests for information, as authorized under this title." This statutory language permits the Bureau to engage in joint investigations with State or Federal law enforcement agencies, including State attorneys general, with jurisdiction that overlaps with the Bureau's. The Bureau's disclosure rules also permit the Bureau to share certain confidential information, including investigational hearing transcripts, with Federal or State agencies to the extent the disclosure is relevant to the exercise of an agency's statutory or regulatory authority. See 12 CFR 1070.43(b). In addition, neither the Dodd-Frank Act nor the rules require the consent of the witness to permit a representative of an agency with which the Bureau is conducting a joint investigation to be present at the hearing. Consent is required only when people other than those listed in the rule are included.

Thus, the Bureau adopts § 1080.7 of the Interim Final Rule as the Final Rule without change.

# Section 1080.8 Withholding Requested Material

This section of the Interim Final Rule describes the procedures that apply when persons withhold material responsive to a CID. It requires the recipient of the CID to assert a privilege by the production date and, if so directed in the CID, also to submit a detailed schedule of the items withheld. Section 1080.8 also sets forth the procedures for handling the disclosure of privileged or protected information or communications.

The Bureau received no comment on § 1080.8 of the Interim Final Rule and is adopting it as the Final Rule without substantive change.

# Section 1080.9 Rights of Witnesses in Investigations

This section of the Interim Final Rule describes the rights of persons compelled to submit information or provide testimony in an investigation. It details the procedures for obtaining a copy of submitted documents or a copy of or access to a transcript of the person's testimony. This section of the Interim Final Rule also describes a witness's right to make changes to his or her transcript and the rules for signing the transcript.

Section 1080.9 of the Interim Final Rule lays out a person's right to counsel at an investigational hearing and describes his or her counsel's right to advise the witness as to any question posed for which an objection may properly be made. It also describes the witness's or counsel's rights to object to questions or requests that the witness is privileged to refuse to answer. This section of the Interim Final Rule states that counsel for the witness may not otherwise object to questions or interrupt the examination to make statements on the record but may request that the witness have an opportunity to clarify any of his or her answers. Finally, this section of the Interim Final Rule authorizes the Bureau investigator to take all necessary action during the course of the hearing to avoid delay and to prevent or restrain disorderly, dilatory, obstructionist, or contumacious conduct, or contemptuous language.

A commenter noted that under the Interim Final Rule witnesses could not object during an investigational hearing on the ground that a question was outside the scope of the investigation. The commenter argued that a covered person's inability to raise such objections might allow "a fishing expedition." The commenter recommended amending § 1080.9(b) to allow objections based on scope.

Section 1052(c)(13)(D)(iii) of the Dodd-Frank Act states, in relevant part:

[a]n objection may properly be made, received, and entered upon the record when it is claimed that such person is entitled to Federal Register/Vol. 77, No. 126/Friday, June 29, 2012/Rules and Regulations

refuse to answer the question on grounds of any constitutional or other legal right or privilege, including the privilege against selfincrimination, but the person shall not otherwise object to or refuse to answer any question, and such person or attorney shall not otherwise interrupt the oral examination.

Thus, to the extent the scope objection was grounded in a witness's constitutional or other legal right, it would be a proper objection.

The Final Rule clarifies that counsel may confer with a witness while a question is pending or instruct a witness not to answer a question only if an objection based on privilege or work product may properly be made. The Final Rule also describes counsel's limited ability to make additional objections based on other constitutional or legal rights. The Final Rule provides that if an attorney has refused to comply with his or her obligations in the rules of this part, or has allegedly engaged in disorderly, dilatory, obstructionist, or contumacious conduct, or contemptuous language during an investigational hearing, the Bureau may take further action, including action to suspend or disbar the attorney from further participation in the investigation or further practice before the Bureau pursuant to 12 CFR 1081.107(c). The Final Rule also includes other nonsubstantive changes, including clarifying that the 30-day period that the witness has to sign and submit his or her transcript should be computed using calendar days.

#### Section 1080.10 Noncompliance With Civil Investigative Demands

This section of the Interim Final Rule authorizes the Director, the Assistant Director of the Office of Enforcement, and the General Counsel to initiate an action to enforce a CID in connection with the failure or refusal of a person to comply with, or to obey, a CID. In addition, they are authorized to seek civil contempt or other appropriate relief in cases where a court order enforcing a CID has been violated.

The Bureau received no comment on § 1080.10 of the Interim Final Rule and is adopting it as the Final Rule without substantive change.

#### Section 1080.11 Disposition

This section of the Interim Final Rule explains that an enforcement action may be instituted in Federal or State court or through administrative proceedings when warranted by the facts disclosed by an investigation. It further provides that the Bureau may refer investigations to appropriate Federal, State, or foreign government agencies as appropriate. This section of the Interim Final Rule also authorizes the Assistant Director of the Office of Enforcement to close the investigation when the facts of an investigation indicate an enforcement action is not necessary or warranted in the public interest.

One commenter indicated that the Bureau's authority to refer investigations to other law enforcement agencies should be limited to circumstances when it is expressly authorized to do so by the Dodd-Frank Act, an enumerated consumer financial law, or other Federal law, because of potential risks to the confidentiality of the investigatory files.

The Bureau's ability to refer matters to appropriate law enforcement agencies is inherent in the Bureau's authority and is a corollary to the Bureau's statutorily recognized ability to conduct joint investigations. The documentary materials and tangible things obtained by the Bureau pursuant to a CID are subject to the requirements and procedures relating to disclosure of records and information in part 1070 of this title. These procedures for sharing information with law enforcement agencies provide significant and sufficient protections for these materials.

The Bureau has amended § 1080.11 to clarify that the Assistant Director and any Deputy Assistant Director of the Office of Enforcement are authorized to close investigations.

The Bureau adopts § 1080.11 of the Interim Final Rule with the changes discussed above.

#### Section 1080.12 Orders Requiring Witnesses To Testify or Provide Other Information and Granting Immunity

This section of the Interim Final Rule authorizes the Assistant Director of the Office of Enforcement to request approval from the Attorney General for the issuance of an order requiring a witness to testify or provide other information and granting immunity under 18 U.S.C. 6004. The Interim Final Rule also sets forth the Bureau's right to review the exercise of these functions and states that the Bureau will entertain an appeal from an order requiring a witness to testify or provide other information only upon a showing that a substantial question is involved, the determination of which is essential to serve the interests of justice. Finally, this section of the Interim Final Rule describes the applicable rules and time limits for such appeals.

A commenter questioned whether this section of the Interim Final Rule would permit any Bureau employee to request that the Attorney General approve the issuance of an order granting immunity under 18 U.S.C. 6004 and requiring a witness to testify or provide information. The commenter noted that the Dodd-Frank Act authorizes the Bureau, with the Attorney General's permission, to compel a witness to testify under 18 U.S.C. 6004 if the witness invokes his or her privilege against self-incrimination. The commenter argued that this section should delegate the authority to seek permission to compel testimony to a specific individual to provide accountability and ensure that information is not disclosed to the Attorney General in a manner that violates the Right to Financial Privacy Act. The commenter noted that the FTC's analogous rule specifically lists the senior agency officials who are authorized to make such requests to the Attorney General, and identifies a liaison officer through whom such requests must be made. The commenter also suggested that § 1080.12(b) of the Interim Final Rule, which provides that the Assistant Director's exercise of this authority is subject to review by "the Bureau," specify who will conduct this review.

The Final Rule provides that only the Director of the Bureau has the authority to request approval from the Attorney General for the issuance of an order requiring a witness to testify or provide other information and granting immunity under 18 U.S.C. 6004. This change addresses the concern that requests for witness immunity would be made without oversight. Limiting this authority to the Director provides sufficient accountability.

#### Section 1080.13 Custodians

This section of the Interim Final Rule describes the procedures for designating a custodian and deputy custodian for material produced pursuant to a CID in an investigation. It also states that these materials are for the official use of the Bureau, but, upon notice to the custodian, must be made available for examination during regular office hours by the person who produced them.

A commenter suggested that the Bureau should detail the particular duties of custodians designated under this section and that, without an enumerated list of duties, the custodian would not have any responsibilities regarding CID materials. The commenter noted that the FTC Act requires the custodian to take specific actions, while the Dodd-Frank Act does not. The commenter suggested specifying a series of custodial duties, including (1) taking and maintaining custody of all materials submitted pursuant to CIDs or subpoenas that the Bureau issues, including transcripts of oral testimony taken by the Bureau; (2) maintaining confidentiality of those materials as required by applicable law; (3) providing the materials to either House of Congress upon request, after ten days notice to the party that owns or submitted the materials; (4) producing any materials as required by a court of competent jurisdiction; and (5) complying at all times with the Trade Secrets Act.

Section 1052 of the Dodd-Frank Act sets forth the duties of the Bureau's custodian. Sections 1052(c)(3) through (c)(6) of the Dodd-Frank Act give the custodian responsibility for receiving documentary material, tangible things, written reports, answers to questions, and transcripts of oral testimony given by any person in compliance with any CID. Section 1052(d) of the Dodd-Frank Act, as well as the Bureau's Rules for Disclosure of Records and Information in part 1070 of this title, outline the requirements for the confidential treatment of demand material. Section 1052(g) addresses custodial control and provides that a person may file, in the district court of the United States for the judicial district within which the office of the custodian is situated, a petition for an order of such court requiring the performance by the custodian of any duty imposed upon him by section 1052 of the Dodd-Frank Act or by Bureau rule. These duties and obligations do not require additional clarification by rule.

The Final Rule clarifies that the custodian has the powers and duties of both section 1052 of the Dodd-Frank Act and 12 CFR 1070.3.

The Bureau adopts § 1080.13 of the Interim Final Rule with the changes discussed above.

#### Section 1080.14 Confidential Treatment of Demand Material and Non-Public Nature of Investigations

Section 1080.14 of the Interim Final Rule explains that documentary materials, written reports, answers to questions, tangible things, or transcripts of oral testimony received by the Bureau in any form or format pursuant to a CID are subject to the requirements and procedures relating to disclosure of records and information in part 1070 of this title. This section of the Interim Final Rule also states that investigations generally are non-public. A Bureau investigator may disclose the existence of an investigation to the extent necessary to advance the investigation.

A commenter recommended that the Bureau revise this section to mandate that Bureau investigations remain confidential. The commenter noted the potential reputation risk to an entity if an investigation is disclosed to the public. In addition, the commenter argued that failing to conduct investigations confidentially will increase litigation risk. One commenter recommended that the Bureau issue a public absolution of a company if the Bureau does not maintain the confidentiality of an investigation.

Section 1080.14 of the Interim Final Rule provides that investigations generally will not be disclosed to the public, but permits Bureau investigators to disclose the existence of an investigation when necessary to advance the investigation. The Interim Final Rule does not contemplate publicizing an investigation, but rather disclosing the existence of the investigation to, for example, a potential witness or third party with potentially relevant information when doing so is necessary to advance the investigation. This limited exception sufficiently balances the concerns expressed by the commenter with the Bureau's need to obtain information efficiently.

Thus, the Bureau adopts § 1080.14 of the Interim Final Rule as the Final Rule without change.

#### VII. Section 1022(b)(2) Provisions

In developing the Final Rule, the Bureau has considered the potential benefits, costs, and impacts, and has consulted or offered to consult with the prudential regulators, HUD, the SEC, the Department of Justice, and the FTC, including with regard to consistency with any prudential, market, or systemic objectives administered by such agencies.<sup>1</sup>

The Final Rule neither imposes any obligations on consumers nor is expected to have any appreciable impact on their access to consumer financial products or services. Rather, the Final Rule provides a clear, efficient mechanism for investigating compliance with the Federal consumer financial laws, which benefits consumers by creating a systematic process to protect them from unlawful behavior. The Final Rule imposes certain obligations on covered persons who receive CIDs in Bureau investigations. Specifically, as described above, the Final Rule sets forth the process for complying with or objecting to CIDs for documentary material, tangible things, written reports or answers to questions, and oral testimony. Most obligations in the Final Rule stem from express language in the Dodd-Frank Act and do not impose additional burdens on covered persons.

To the extent that the Final Rule includes provisions not expressly required by statute, these provisions benefit covered persons by providing clarity and certainty. In addition, the Final Rule vests the Bureau with discretion to modify CIDs or extend the time for compliance for good cause. This flexibility benefits covered persons by enabling the Bureau to assess the cost of compliance with a civil investigative demand in a particular circumstance and take appropriate steps to mitigate any unreasonable compliance burden.

Moreover, because the Final Rule is largely based on section 20 of the FTC Act and its corresponding regulations, it should present an existing, stable model of investigatory procedures to covered persons. This likely familiarity to covered persons should further reduce the compliance costs for covered persons.

The Final Rule provides that requests for extensions of time to file petitions to modify or set aside CIDs are disfavored. This may impose a burden on covered entities in some cases, but it may also lead to a more expeditious resolution of matters, reducing uncertainty. Furthermore, the Final Rule has no unique impact on insured depository institutions or insured credit unions with less than \$10 billion in assets as described in section 1026(a) of the Dodd-Frank Act. Nor does the Final Rule have a unique impact on rural consumers.

A commenter suggested that the Bureau conduct a nonpublic study of the impact of complying with a CID on the entities who have been subjected to them by other agencies, with specific focus on those that were found not to have violated the law. As the commenter implicitly recognizes, such data does not currently exist and thus was not reasonably available to the Bureau in finalizing the Interim Final Rule. Moreover, as explained above, most of the costs associated with complying with a CID result from the Dodd-Frank Act, which authorizes the Bureau to issue such demands.

A commenter asserted that disfavoring extensions of petitions to

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Section 1022(b)(2)(A) of the Dodd-Frank Act addresses the consideration of the potential benefits and costs of regulation to consumers and covered persons, including the potential reduction of access by consumers to consumer financial products or services; the impact on depository institutions and credit unions with \$10 billion or less in total assets as described in section 1026 of the Dodd-Frank Act: and the impact on consumers in rural areas. Section 1022(b)(2)(B) addresses consultation between the Bureau and other Federal agencies during the rulemaking process. The manner and extent to which these provisions apply to procedural rules and benefits, costs and impacts that are compelled by statutory changes rather than discretionary Bureau action is unclear. Nevertheless, to inform this rulemaking more fully, the Bureau performed the described analyses and consultations.

#### 39108

Federal Register/Vol. 77, No. 126/Friday, June 29, 2012/Rules and Regulations

modify or set aside CIDs will require the recipient to conduct a full review of the demanded material within the normal 20-day period in order to comply with the deadline for filing a petition. Under the Final Rule, recipients of a CID are not required to comply fully within twenty days; rather, they are required simply to decide whether they will comply with the demand at all. The Assistant Director of the Office of Enforcement and the Deputy Assistant Directors of the Office of Enforcement have the discretion to negotiate and approve the terms of satisfactory compliance with CIDs and, for good cause shown, may extend the time prescribed for compliance. Thus, the Final Rule provides reasonable steps to mitigate compliance burden while simultaneously protecting the Bureau's law enforcement interests.

Another commenter stated that the four interim final rules that the Bureau promulgated together on July 28, 2011 failed to satisfy the rulemaking requirements under section 1022 of the Dodd-Frank Act. Specifically, the commenter stated that "the CFPB's analysis of the costs and benefits of its rules does not recognize the significant costs the CFPB imposes on covered persons." The Bureau believes that it appropriately considered the benefits, costs, and impacts of the Interim Final Rule pursuant to section 1022. Notably, the commenter did not identify any specific costs to covered persons that are not discussed in Part C of the SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION to the Interim Final Rule.

#### **VIII. Procedural Requirements**

As noted in publishing the Interim Final Rule, under the Administrative Procedure Act, 5 U.S.C. 553(b), notice and comment is not required for rules of agency organization, procedure, or practice. As discussed in the preamble to the Interim Final Rule, the Bureau confirms its finding that this is a procedural rule for which notice and comment is not required. In addition, because the Final Rule relates solely to agency procedure and practice, it is not subject to the 30-day delayed effective date for substantive rules under section 553(d) of the Administrative Procedure Act, 5 U.S.C. 551 *et seq.* Because no notice of proposed rulemaking is required, the requirements of the Regulatory Flexibility Act, 5 U.S.C. 601(2) do not apply. Finally, the Bureau has determined that this Final Rule does not impose any new recordkeeping, reporting, or disclosure requirements on covered entities or members of the public that would be collections of

information requiring approval under 44 U.S.C. 3501. *et seq.* 

#### List of Subjects in 12 CFR Part 1080

Administrative practice and procedure, Banking, Banks, Consumer protection, Credit, Credit unions, Investigations, Law enforcement, National banks, Savings associations, Trade practices.

For the reasons set forth in the preamble, the Bureau of Consumer Financial Protection revises part 1080 to Chapter X in Title 12 of the Code of Federal Regulations to read as follows:

# PART 1080—RULES RELATING TO INVESTIGATIONS

Sec.

#### 1080.1 Scope. 1080.2 Definitions.

- 1080.2 Definitions.
- 1080.3 Policy as to private controversies.1080.4 Initiating and conducting investigations.
- 1080.5 Notification of purpose.
- 1080.6 Civil investigative demands.
- 1080.7 Investigational hearings.
- 1080.8 Withholding requested material.
- 1080.9 Rights of witnesses in investigations.
- 1080.10 Noncompliance with civil
- investigative demands.
- 1080.11 Disposition.
- 1080.12 Orders requiring witnesses to testify or provide other information and granting immunity.
- 1080.13 Custodians.
- 1080.14 Confidential treatment of demand material and non-public nature of investigations.

Authority: Pub. L. 111–203, Title X, 12 U.S.C. 5481 *et seq.* 

#### §1080.1 Scope.

The rules of this part apply to Bureau investigations conducted pursuant to section 1052 of the Dodd-Frank Act, 12 U.S.C. 5562.

#### §1080.2 Definitions.

For the purposes of this part, unless explicitly stated to the contrary:

Bureau means the Bureau of Consumer Financial Protection.

Bureau investigation means any inquiry conducted by a Bureau investigator for the purpose of ascertaining whether any person is or has been engaged in any conduct that is a violation.

*Bureau investigator* means any attorney or investigator employed by the Bureau who is charged with the duty of enforcing or carrying into effect any Federal consumer financial law.

*Custodian* means the custodian or any deputy custodian designated by the Bureau for the purpose of maintaining custody of information produced pursuant to this part.

*Director* means the Director of the Bureau or a person authorized to

perform the functions of the Director in accordance with the law.

Documentary material means the original or any copy of any book, document, record, report, memorandum, paper, communication, tabulation, chart, log, electronic file, or other data or data compilation stored in any medium, including electronically stored information.

*Dodd-Frank Act* means the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Financial Protection Act of 2010, as amended, Public Law 111–203 (July 21, 2010), Title X, codified at 12 U.S.C. 5481 *et seq.* 

*Electronically stored information (ESI)* means any information stored in any electronic medium from which information can be obtained either directly or, if necessary, after translation by the responding party into a reasonably usable form.

*Office of Enforcement* means the office of the Bureau responsible for enforcement of Federal consumer financial law.

*Person* means an individual, partnership, company, corporation, association (incorporated or unincorporated), trust, estate, cooperative organization, or other entity.

*Violation* means any act or omission that, if proved, would constitute a violation of any provision of Federal consumer financial law.

#### §1080.3 Policy as to private controversies.

The Bureau shall act only in the public interest and will not initiate an investigation or take other enforcement action when the alleged violation is merely a matter of private controversy and does not tend to affect adversely the public interest.

# §1080.4 Initiating and conducting investigations.

The Assistant Director of the Office of Enforcement and the Deputy Assistant Directors of the Office of Enforcement have the nondelegable authority to initiate investigations. Bureau investigations are conducted by Bureau investigators designated and duly authorized under section 1052 of the Dodd-Frank Act, 12 U.S.C. 5562, to conduct such investigations. Bureau investigators are authorized to exercise and perform their duties in accordance with the laws of the United States and the regulations of the Bureau.

#### §1080.5 Notification of purpose.

Any person compelled to furnish documentary material, tangible things, written reports or answers to questions, oral testimony, or any combination of Federal Register/Vol. 77, No. 126/Friday, June 29, 2012/Rules and Regulations

such material, answers, or testimony to the Bureau shall be advised of the nature of the conduct constituting the alleged violation that is under investigation and the provisions of law applicable to such violation.

#### §1080.6 Civil investigative demands.

(a) In general. In accordance with section 1052(c) of the Act, the Director of the Bureau, the Assistant Director of the Office of Enforcement, and the Deputy Assistant Directors of the Office of Enforcement, have the nondelegable authority to issue a civil investigative demand in any Bureau investigation directing the person named therein to produce documentary material for inspection and copying or reproduction in the form or medium requested by the Bureau; to submit tangible things; to provide a written report or answers to questions; to appear before a designated representative at a designated time and place to testify about documentary material, tangible things, or other information; and to furnish any combination of such material, things, answers, or testimony.

(1) Documentary material. (i) Civil investigative demands for the production of documentary material shall describe each class of material to be produced with such definiteness and certainty as to permit such material to be fairly identified, prescribe a return date or dates that will provide a reasonable period of time within which the material so demanded may be assembled and made available for inspection and copying or reproduction, and identify the custodian to whom such material shall be made available. Documentary material for which a civil investigative demand has been issued shall be made available as prescribed in the civil investigative demand.

(ii) Production of documentary material in response to a civil investigative demand shall be made under a sworn certificate, in such form as the demand designates, by the person to whom the demand is directed or, if not a natural person, by any person having knowledge of the facts and circumstances relating to such production, to the effect that all of the documentary material required by the demand and in the possession, custody, or control of the person to whom the demand is directed has been produced and made available to the custodian.

(2) *Tangible things.* (i) Civil investigative demands for tangible things shall describe each class of tangible things to be produced with such definiteness and certainty as to permit such things to be fairly identified, prescribe a return date or

dates which will provide a reasonable period of time within which the things so demanded may be assembled and submitted, and identify the custodian to whom such things shall be submitted.

(ii) Submissions of tangible things in response to a civil investigative demand shall be made under a sworn certificate, in such form as the demand designates, by the person to whom the demand is directed or, if not a natural person, by any person having knowledge of the facts and circumstances relating to such production, to the effect that all of the tangible things required by the demand and in the possession, custody, or control of the person to whom the demand is directed have been submitted to the custodian.

(3) Written reports or answers to questions. (i) Civil investigative demands for written reports or answers to questions shall propound with definiteness and certainty the reports to be produced or the questions to be answered, prescribe a date or dates at which time written reports or answers to questions shall be submitted, and identify the custodian to whom such reports or answers shall be submitted.

(ii) Each reporting requirement or question in a civil investigative demand shall be answered separately and fully in writing under oath. Responses to a civil investigative demand for a written report or answers to questions shall be made under a sworn certificate, in such form as the demand designates, by the person to whom the demand is directed or, if not a natural person, by any person responsible for answering each reporting requirement or question, to the effect that all of the information required by the demand and in the possession, custody, control, or knowledge of the person to whom the demand is directed has been submitted to the custodian.

(4) Oral testimony. (i) Civil investigative demands for the giving of oral testimony shall prescribe a date, time, and place at which oral testimony shall be commenced, and identify a Bureau investigator who shall conduct the investigation and the custodian to whom the transcript of such investigation shall be submitted. Oral testimony in response to a civil investigative demand shall be taken in accordance with the procedures for investigational hearings prescribed by §§ 1080.7 and 1080.9 of this part.

(ii) Where a civil investigative demand requires oral testimony from an entity, the civil investigative demand shall describe with reasonable particularity the matters for examination and the entity must designate one or more officers, directors, or managing agents, or designate other persons who consent to testify on its behalf. Unless a single individual is designated by the entity, the entity must designate the matters on which each designee will testify. The individuals designated must testify about information known or reasonably available to the entity and their testimony shall be binding on the entity.

(b) Manner and form of production of ESI. When a civil investigative demand requires the production of ESI, it shall be produced in accordance with the instructions provided by the Bureau regarding the manner and form of production. Absent any instructions as to the form for producing ESI, ESI must be produced in the form in which it is ordinarily maintained or in a reasonably usable form.

(c) Meet and confer. The recipient of a civil investigative demand shall meet and confer with a Bureau investigator within 10 calendar days after receipt of the demand or before the deadline for filing a petition to modify or set aside the demand, whichever is earlier, to discuss and attempt to resolve all issues regarding compliance with the civil investigative demand. The Assistant Director of the Office of Enforcement and the Deputy Assistant Directors of the Office of Enforcement may authorize the waiver of this requirement for routine third-party civil investigative demands or in other circumstances where he or she determines that a meeting is unnecessary. The meeting may be in person or by telephone.

(1) *Personnel.* The recipient must make available at the meeting personnel with the knowledge necessary to resolve any issues relevant to compliance with the demand. Such personnel could include individuals knowledgeable about the recipient's information or records management systems and/or the recipient's organizational structure.

(2) *ESI*. If the civil investigative demand seeks ESI, the recipient shall ensure that a person familiar with its ESI systems and methods of retrieval participates in the meeting.

(3) *Petitions.* The Bureau will not consider petitions to set aside or modify a civil investigative demand unless the recipient has meaningfully engaged in the meet and confer process described in this subsection and will consider only issues raised during the meet and confer process.

(d) *Compliance*. The Assistant Director of the Office of Enforcement and the Deputy Assistant Directors of the Office of Enforcement are authorized to negotiate and approve the terms of satisfactory compliance with civil investigative demands and, for good Federal Register/Vol. 77, No. 126/Friday, June 29, 2012/Rules and Regulations

cause shown, may extend the time prescribed for compliance.

(e) Petition for order modifying or setting aside demand—in general. Any petition for an order modifying or setting aside a civil investigative demand shall be filed with the Executive Secretary of the Bureau with a copy to the Assistant Director of the Office of Enforcement within 20 calendar days after service of the civil investigative demand, or, if the return date is less than 20 calendar days after service, prior to the return date. Such petition shall set forth all factual and legal objections to the civil investigative demand, including all appropriate arguments, affidavits, and other supporting documentation. The attorney who objects to a demand must sign any objections.

(1) *Statement*. Each petition shall be accompanied by a signed statement representing that counsel for the petitioner has conferred with counsel for the Bureau pursuant to section 1080.6(c) in a good-faith effort to resolve by agreement the issues raised by the petition and has been unable to reach such an agreement. If some of the matters in controversy have been resolved by agreement, the statement shall specify the matters so resolved and the matters remaining unresolved. The statement shall recite the date, time, and place of each such meeting between counsel, and the names of all parties participating in each such meeting.

(2) *Extensions of time.* The Assistant Director of the Office of Enforcement and the Deputy Assistant Directors of the Office of Enforcement are authorized to rule upon requests for extensions of time within which to file such petitions. Requests for extensions of time are disfavored.

(3) Bureau investigator response. Bureau investigators may, without serving the petitioner, provide the Director with a statement setting forth any factual and legal response to a petition for an order modifying or setting aside the demand.

(4) *Disposition*. The Director has the authority to rule upon a petition for an order modifying or setting aside a civil investigative demand. The order may be served on the petitioner via email, facsimile, or any other method reasonably calculated to provide notice of the order to the petitioner.

(f) *Stay of compliance period.* The timely filing of a petition for an order modifying or setting aside a civil investigative demand shall stay the time permitted for compliance with the portion challenged. If the petition is denied in whole or in part, the ruling will specify a new return date.

(g) *Public disclosure.* All such petitions and the Director's orders in response to those petitions are part of the public records of the Bureau unless the Bureau determines otherwise for good cause shown. Any showing of good cause must be made no later than the time the petition is filed.

#### §1080.7 Investigational hearings.

(a) Investigational hearings, as distinguished from hearings in adjudicative proceedings, may be conducted pursuant to a civil investigative demand for the giving of oral testimony in the course of any Bureau investigation, including inquiries initiated for the purpose of determining whether or not a respondent is complying with an order of the Bureau.

(b) Investigational hearings shall be conducted by any Bureau investigator for the purpose of hearing the testimony of witnesses and receiving documentary material, tangible things, or other information relating to any subject under investigation. Such hearings shall be under oath or affirmation and stenographically reported, and a transcript thereof shall be made a part of the record of the investigation. The Bureau investigator conducting the investigational hearing also may direct that the testimony be recorded by audio, audiovisual, or other means, in which case the recording shall be made a part of the record of the investigation as well.

(c) In investigational hearings, the Bureau investigators shall exclude from the hearing room all persons except the person being examined, his or her counsel, the officer before whom the testimony is to be taken, any investigator or representative of an agency with which the Bureau is engaged in a joint investigation, and any individual transcribing or recording such testimony. At the discretion of the Bureau investigator, and with the consent of the person being examined, persons other than those listed in this paragraph may be present in the hearing room. The Bureau investigator shall certify or direct the individual transcribing the testimony to certify on the transcript that the witness was duly sworn and that the transcript is a true record of the testimony given by the witness. A copy of the transcript shall be forwarded promptly by the Bureau investigator to the custodian designated in section 1080.13.

#### §1080.8 Withholding requested material.

(a) Any person withholding material responsive to a civil investigative demand or any other request for

production of material shall assert a claim of privilege not later than the date set for the production of material. Such person shall, if so directed in the civil investigative demand or other request for production, submit, together with such claim, a schedule of the items withheld which states, as to each such item, the type, specific subject matter, and date of the item; the names, addresses, positions, and organizations of all authors and recipients of the item; and the specific grounds for claiming that the item is privileged. The person who submits the schedule and the attorney stating the grounds for a claim that any item is privileged must sign it.

(b) A person withholding material solely for reasons described in this subsection shall comply with the requirements of this subsection in lieu of filing a petition for an order modifying or setting aside a civil investigative demand pursuant to section 1080.6(e).

(c) Disclosure of privileged or protected information or communications produced pursuant to a civil investigative demand shall be handled as follows:

(1) The disclosure of privileged or protected information or communications shall not operate as a waiver with respect to the Bureau if:

(i) The disclosure was inadvertent;

(ii) The holder of the privilege or protection took reasonable steps to prevent disclosure; and

(iii) The holder promptly took reasonable steps to rectify the error, including notifying a Bureau investigator of the claim of privilege or protection and the basis for it.

(2) After being notified, the Bureau investigator must promptly return, sequester, or destroy the specified information and any copies; must not use or disclose the information until the claim is resolved; must take reasonable steps to retrieve the information if he or she disclosed it before being notified; and, if appropriate, may sequester such material until such time as a hearing officer or court rules on the merits of the claim of privilege or protection. The producing party must preserve the information until the claim is resolved.

(3) The disclosure of privileged or protected information or communications shall waive the privilege or protection with respect to the Bureau as to undisclosed information or communications only if:

(i) The waiver is intentional; (ii) The disclosed and undisclosed

information or communications concern the same subject matter; and

(iii) They ought in fairness to be considered together.

# § 1080.9 Rights of witnesses in investigations.

(a) Any person compelled to submit documentary material, tangible things, or written reports or answers to questions to the Bureau, or to testify in an investigational hearing, shall be entitled to retain a copy or, on payment of lawfully prescribed costs, request a copy of the materials, things, reports, or written answers submitted, or a transcript of his or her testimony. The Bureau, however, may for good cause deny such a request and limit the witness to inspection of the official transcript of the testimony. Upon completion of transcription of the testimony of the witness, the witness shall be offered an opportunity to read the transcript of his or her testimony. Any changes by the witness shall be entered and identified upon the transcript by the Bureau investigator with a statement of the reasons given by the witness for making such changes. The transcript shall then be signed by the witness and submitted to the Bureau unless the witness cannot be found, is ill, waives in writing his or her right to signature, or refuses to sign. If the signed transcript is not submitted to the Bureau within 30 calendar days of the witness being afforded a reasonable opportunity to review it, the Bureau investigator, or the individual transcribing the testimony acting at the Bureau investigator's direction, shall sign the transcript and state on the record the fact of the waiver, illness, absence of the witness, or the refusal to sign, together with any reasons given for the failure to sign.

(b) Any witness compelled to appear in person at an investigational hearing may be accompanied, represented, and advised by counsel as follows:

(1) Counsel for a witness may advise the witness, in confidence and upon the initiative of either counsel or the witness, with respect to any question asked of the witness where it is claimed that a witness is privileged to refuse to answer the question. Counsel may not otherwise consult with the witness while a question directed to the witness is pending.

(2) Any objections made under the rules in this part shall be made only for the purpose of protecting a constitutional or other legal right or privilege, including the privilege against self-incrimination. Neither the witness nor counsel shall otherwise object or refuse to answer any question. Any objection during an investigational hearing shall be stated concisely on the record in a nonargumentative and nonsuggestive manner. Following an objection, the examination shall proceed and the testimony shall be taken, except for testimony requiring the witness to divulge information protected by the claim of privilege or work product.

(3) Counsel for a witness may not, for any purpose or to any extent not allowed by paragraphs (b)(1) and (2) of this section, interrupt the examination of the witness by making any objections or statements on the record. Petitions challenging the Bureau's authority to conduct the investigation or the sufficiency or legality of the civil investigative demand shall be addressed to the Bureau in advance of the hearing in accordance with § 1080.6(e). Copies of such petitions may be filed as part of the record of the investigation with the Bureau investigator conducting the investigational hearing, but no arguments in support thereof will be allowed at the hearing.

(4) Following completion of the examination of a witness, counsel for the witness may, on the record, request that the Bureau investigator conducting the investigational hearing permit the witness to clarify any of his or her answers. The grant or denial of such request shall be within the sole discretion of the Bureau investigator conducting the hearing.

(5) The Bureau investigator conducting the hearing shall take all necessary action to regulate the course of the hearing to avoid delay and to prevent or restrain disorderly, dilatory, obstructionist, or contumacious conduct, or contemptuous language. Such Bureau investigator shall, for reasons stated on the record, immediately report to the Bureau any instances where an attorney has allegedly refused to comply with his or her obligations under the rules in this part, or has allegedly engaged in disorderly, dilatory, obstructionist, or contumacious conduct, or contemptuous language in the course of the hearing. The Bureau will thereupon take such further action, if any, as the circumstances warrant, including actions consistent with those described in 12 CFR 1081.107(c) to suspend or disbar the attorney from further practice before the Bureau or exclude the attorney from further participation in the particular investigation.

# § 1080.10 Noncompliance with civil investigative demands.

(a) In cases of failure to comply in whole or in part with Bureau civil investigative demands, appropriate action may be initiated by the Bureau, including actions for enforcement.

(b) The Director, the Assistant Director of the Office of Enforcement, and the General Counsel of the Bureau are authorized to:

(1) Institute, on behalf of the Bureau, an enforcement proceeding in the district court of the United States for any judicial district in which a person resides, is found, or transacts business, in connection with the failure or refusal of such person to comply with, or to obey, a civil investigative demand in whole or in part if the return date or any extension thereof has passed; and

(2) Seek civil contempt or other appropriate relief in cases where a court order enforcing a civil investigative demand has been violated.

#### §1080.11 Disposition.

(a) When the facts disclosed by an investigation indicate that an enforcement action is warranted, further proceedings may be instituted in Federal or State court or pursuant to the Bureau's administrative adjudicatory process. Where appropriate, the Bureau also may refer investigations to appropriate Federal, State, or foreign governmental agencies.

(b) When the facts disclosed by an investigation indicate that an enforcement action is not necessary or would not be in the public interest, the investigational file will be closed. The matter may be further investigated, at any time, if circumstances so warrant.

(c) The Assistant Director of the Office of Enforcement and the Deputy Assistant Directors of the Office of Enforcement are authorized to close Bureau investigations.

# § 1080.12 Orders requiring witnesses to testify or provide other information and granting immunity.

The Director has the nondelegable authority to request approval from the Attorney General of the United States for the issuance of an order requiring a witness to testify or provide other information and granting immunity under 18 U.S.C. 6004.

#### §1080.13 Custodians.

(a) The Bureau shall designate a custodian and one or more deputy custodians for material to be delivered pursuant to a civil investigative demand in an investigation. The custodian shall have the powers and duties prescribed by 12 CFR 1070.3 and section 1052 of the Act, 12 U.S.C. 5562. Deputy custodians may perform all of the duties assigned to custodians.

(b) Material produced pursuant to a civil investigative demand, while in the custody of the custodian, shall be for the official use of the Bureau in accordance with the Act; but such material shall upon reasonable notice to the custodian be made available for examination by the person who produced such material, or his or her duly authorized representative, during regular office hours established for the Bureau.

# § 1080.14 Confidential treatment of demand material and non-public nature of investigations.

(a) Documentary materials, written reports, answers to questions, tangible things or transcripts of oral testimony the Bureau receives in any form or format pursuant to a civil investigative demand are subject to the requirements and procedures relating to the disclosure of records and information set forth in part 1070 of this title.

(b) Bureau investigations generally are non-public. Bureau investigators may disclose the existence of an investigation to potential witnesses or third parties to the extent necessary to advance the investigation.

Dated: June 4, 2012.

**Richard Cordray**,

Director, Bureau of Consumer Financial Protection.

[FR Doc. 2012–14047 Filed 6–28–12; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4810–AM–P

#### BUREAU OF CONSUMER FINANCIAL PROTECTION

#### 12 CFR Part 1082

[Docket No. CFPB-2011-0005]

#### RIN 3170-AA02

#### **State Official Notification Rule**

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Consumer Financial Protection.

### ACTION: Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Financial Protection Act of 2010 (Dodd-Frank Act) requires the Bureau of Consumer Financial Protection (Bureau) to prescribe rules establishing procedures that govern the process by which State Officials notify the Bureau of actions undertaken pursuant to the authority granted to the States to enforce the Dodd-Frank Act or regulations prescribed thereunder. This final State Official Notification Rule (Final Rule) sets forth the procedures to govern this process.

**DATES:** The Final Rule is effective June 29, 2012.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Veronica Spicer, Office of Enforcement, Consumer Financial Protection Bureau, 1700 G Street NW., Washington, DC 20552, at (202) 435–7545. SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### I. Background

The Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Financial Protection Act of 2010 (Dodd-Frank Act) was signed into law on July 21, 2010. Title X of the Dodd-Frank Act established the Bureau to regulate the offering and provision of consumer financial products or services under the Federal consumer financial laws. Section 1042 of the Dodd-Frank Act, 12 U.S.C. 5552, governs the enforcement powers of the States under the Dodd-Frank Act. Under section 1042(a), a State attorney general or regulator (State Official) may bring an action to enforce Title X of the Dodd-Frank Act and regulations issued thereunder. Prior to initiating any such action, the State Official is required to provide notice of the action to the Bureau and the prudential regulator, if any, pursuant to section 1042(b) of the Dodd-Frank Act. Section 1042(b) further authorizes the Bureau to intervene in the State Official's action as a party, remove the action to a Federal district court, and appeal any order or judgment.

Pursuant to section 1042(c) of the Dodd-Frank Act, the Bureau is required to issue regulations implementing the requirements of section 1042. On July 28, 2011, the Bureau promulgated the State Official Notification Rule (Interim Final Rule) with a request for comment. The comment period for the Interim Final Rule ended on September 26, 2011. After reviewing and considering the issues raised by the comments, the Bureau now promulgates the Final Rule establishing a procedure for the timing and content of the notice required to be provided by State Officials pursuant to section 1042(b) of the Dodd-Frank Act, 12 U.S.C. 5552(b).

#### **II. Summary of the Final Rule**

Like the Interim Final Rule, the Final Rule implements a procedure for the timing and content of the notice required by section 1042(b), sets forth the responsibilities of the recipients of the notice, and specifies the rights of the Bureau to participate in actions brought by State Officials under section 1042(a) of the Dodd-Frank Act. In drafting the Final Rule, the Bureau endeavored to create a process that would provide both the Bureau and, where applicable, the prudential regulators with timely notice of pending actions and account for the investigation and litigation needs of State regulators and law enforcement agencies. In keeping with this approach, the Final Rule provides for a default notice period of at least ten calendar days, with exceptions for emergencies and other extenuating circumstances,

and requires substantive notice that is both straightforward and comprehensive. The Final Rule further makes clear that the Bureau can intervene as a party in an action brought by a State Official under Title X of the Dodd-Frank Act or a regulation prescribed thereunder, provides for the confidential treatment of non-public information contained in the notice if a State so requests, and provides that provision of notice shall not be deemed a waiver of any applicable privilege. In addition, the Final Rule specifies that the notice provisions do not create any procedural or substantive rights for parties in litigation against the United States or against a State that brings an action under Title X of the Dodd-Frank Act or a regulation prescribed thereunder.

#### **III. Legal Authority**

Section 1042(c) of the Dodd-Frank Act authorizes the Bureau to prescribe regulations implementing the requirements of section 1042(b). In addition, the Bureau has general rulemaking authority pursuant to section 1022(b)(1) of the Dodd-Frank Act to prescribe rules to enable the Bureau to administer and carry out the purposes and objectives of the Federal consumer financial laws and to prevent evasions thereof.

#### **IV. Overview of Comments Received**

In response to the Interim Final Rule, the Bureau received several comments. Four letters were received from associations representing the financial industry, two letters were received from financial industry regulators and supervisors, and one letter was received from an individual consumer. The Bureau also received a comment letter from a financial industry regulator in response to its Federal Register notification of November 21, 2011, regarding the information collection requirements associated with the Interim Final Rule pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA), Public Law 104-13. All of the comments are available for review on www.regulations.gov.

The financial industry associations' comments fell into several general categories. Several comments expressed concerns about the Bureau's ability to maintain confidentiality for notification materials received by the Bureau. Other commenters requested clarity as to the type of actions for which the Bureau requires notification. One commenter requested that the Bureau require uniform interpretation by States of all Federal law within the Bureau's jurisdiction. Case 7:20-cv-03240-UA Document 6-4 Filed 04/27/20 Page 1 of 51

# EXHIBIT B



June 23, 2017

Via USPS Certified Mail

Law Offices of Crystal Moroney, P.C. 17 Squadron Blvd. Suite 303 New City, NY 10956

Re: Civil Investigative Demand served on the Law Offices of Crystal Moroney, P.C. on June 23, 2017

Dear Ms. Moroney:

Attached is a civil investigative demand (CID) issued to the Law Offices of Crystal Moroney, P.C. by the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau (Bureau) under 12 C.F.R. § 1080.6 and section 1052(c) of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act, 12 U.S.C. § 5562.

Rule 1080.6(c) of the Bureau's Rules Relating to Investigations requires that you contact me as soon as possible to schedule a meeting (by telephone or in person) to be held within ten (10) calendar days of receipt of this CID in order to discuss and attempt to resolve all issues regarding timely compliance with this demand. 12 C.F.R. § 1080.6(c); see also Instruction B. The rule requires that you make available at this meeting personnel with the knowledge necessary to resolve any such issues. Please be prepared to discuss your planned compliance schedule, and whether it is possible to tier your production by providing portions of the response prior to the due date.

Please contact me immediately to schedule a meeting, which must be held within ten (10) days of the date of issue of this CID. My telephone number is **202-435-7688**. I look forward to your call.

Sincerely,

E. Vanessa Assae-Bille Enforcement Attorney

Attachment

# Case 7:20-cv-03240-UA Document 6-4 Filed 04/27/20 Page 3 of 51



United States of America Consumer Financial Protection Bureau

# **Civil Investigative Demand**

To Law Offices of Crystal Moroney, P.C. c/o Crystal Moroney 17 Squadron Blvd., Suite 303 New City, NY 10956 This demand is issued pursuant to Section 1052 of the Consumer Financial Protection Act of 2010 and 12 C.F.R. Part 1080 to determine whether there is or has been a violation of any laws enforced by the Bureau of Consumer Financial Protection.

#### **Action Required** (choose all that apply)

Location of Investigational Hearing	Date and Time of Investigational Hearing	
	Bureau Investigators	

Provide Written Reports and/or Answers to Questions, as set forth in the attached document, by the following date 07/21/2017

#### Notification of Purpose Pursuant to 12 C.F.R. § 1080.5

The purpose of this investigation is to determine whether debt collectors, furnishers, or other persons in connection with collection of debt and furnishing of information have engaged or are engaging in unfair, deceptive, or abusive acts or practices in violation of §§ 1031 and 1036 of the Consumer Financial Protection Act of 2010, 12 U.S.C. §§ 5531, 5536; or have violated the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692 et seq.; or the Fair Credit Reporting Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1681 et seq. and its implementing regulation. The purpose of this investigation is also to determine whether Bureau action to obtain legal or equitable relief would be in the public interest.

Custodian / Deput	ty Custodian	Bureau Counsel
Deborah Morris / Chelsea Peter Consumer Financial Protection Bureau 1625 Eye St, NW ATTN: Office of Enforcement Washington, DC 20006		E. Vanessa Assae-Bille / Jehan Patterson Consumer Financial Protection Bureau 1625 Eye St, NW ATTN: Office of Enforcement Washington, DC 20006
Date Issued 06/23/2017	Signature Deborah Morris Digitally signed by Deborah Morris Date: 2017.06.23 11:52:29 -04'00'	
	Name / Title Deborah Morris / Deputy Enforcement Director	

#### Service

The delivery of this demand to you by any method prescribed by the Consumer Financial Protection Act of 2010, 12 U.S.C. § 5562, is legal service. If you fail to comply with this demand, the Bureau may seek a court order requiring your compliance.

#### **Travel Expenses**

Request a travel voucher to claim compensation to which you are entitled as a witness before the Bureau pursuant to Section 1052 of the Consumer Financial Protection Act of 2010, 12 U.S.C. § 5562.

#### **Right to Regulatory Enforcement Fairness**

The CFPB is committed to fair regulatory enforcement. If you are a small business under Small Business Administration standards, you have a right to contact the Small Business Administration's National Ombudsman at 1-888-REGFAIR (1-888-734-3247) or www.sba.gov/ombudsman regarding the fairness of the compliance and enforcement activities of the agency. You should understand, however, that the National Ombudsman cannot change, stop, or delay a federal agency enforcement action.

#### Paperwork Reduction Act

This demand does not require approval by OMB under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1980.

### CIVIL INVESTIGATIVE DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS, TANGIBLE THINGS, WRITTEN REPORTS, AND ANSWERS TO INTERROGATORIES

### I. Requests.

### **Interrogatories**

- 1. Identify all Persons who participated in responding to this CID, describe the specific tasks performed by each Person, and identify the response for which they performed each task.
- 2. Describe the Company's organizational structure, including:
  - a. the Company's legal name and principal place of business;
  - b. the date and jurisdiction in which it was incorporated or organized;
  - c. all names under which the Company has done business;
  - d. the Company's leadership including the principals, directors, owners;
  - e. each state in which the Company has done business; and
  - f. the time period during which it did business in each state.
- 3. Describe each of the Company's business activities (e.g., debt collection, court filings, etc.) and provide the Company's annual revenue for each of those business activities.
- 4. Describe the Company's business model, including:
  - a. the type(s) of Debt the Company obtains (e.g., medical, utilities, schoolbook rentals, etc.);
  - b. the age range of Debt the Company obtains;
  - c. the method(s) by which the Company obtains Debt accounts (e.g., assignment, or portfolio purchase);
  - d. whether the Company obtains Debt accounts after the accounts become delinquent or are in default;
  - e. whether the Company collects Debts owed or asserted to be owed to another party;
  - f. the type(s) of data and records the Company receives with each Debt account it obtains; and
  - g. the method(s) by which the Company removes Debt accounts from its portfolio (e.g., settlements, referrals to law firms, conveyance to the creditor or third-party, or sale to Debt buyer(s)).
- 5. Identify each alternative name(s) or alias(es) the Company has used to identify itself when contacting consumers in connection with its Debt Collection Activities. Provide the date range during which the Company used the alternative name(s) or alias(es).

- 6. Describe the Company's compensation structure for its employees and agents performing Debt Collection Activities, including but not limited to the Company's wage structure, the Company's bonus structure, criteria considered and formulas applied to determine the award of bonuses and other rewards.
- 7. Provide the total number of:
  - a. employees or agents engaged in Debt Collection activities (excluding attorneys identified in response to Interrogatory 7(b)) working for the Company; and
  - b. licensed attorneys working for the Company.
- 8. For each licensed attorney who formerly worked for the Company, state:
  - a. their full legal name;
  - b. their title(s) held at the Company;
  - c. the month and year they began and ceased working for the Company; and
  - d. whether they were engaged in Debt Collection Activities.
- 9. For each year during the Applicable Period, provide:
  - a. the total number of lawsuits the Company filed in connection with its Debt Collection Activities; and
  - b. the total number of court judgments the Company obtained against Debtors.
- 10. Identify any former employees and agents (excluding attorneys identified in response to Interrogatory 7(b)) who worked for the Company for a minimum of 90 calendar days. For each, provide:
  - a. the former employee's or agent's official title at the Company;
  - b. the former employee's or agent's department at the Company;
  - c. the month and year the former employee or agent began and ceased working at or for the Company; and
  - d. the reason the former employee or agent separated from the Company.
- 11. Identify each Person (including the dates of employment and any titles or positions held) responsible for:
  - a. creating or implementing the Company's training and guidance materials (including telephone scripts) relating to Debt Collection Activities;
  - b. creating or implementing the Company's policies and procedures for complying with laws relating to Debt Collection Activities, including the FDCPA, FCRA, state and federal laws prohibiting unfair, deceptive, or

abusive acts and practices, and any other Federal consumer financial law; and

- c. creating or implementing the Company's policies and procedures for receiving, logging, investigating, and responding to complaints and disputes relating to Debt Collection Activities, including recording and responding to cease-and-desist requests.
- 12. Identify each creditor or third-party for which the Company has performed Debt Collection Activities. For each creditor or third-party, and for each year during the Applicable Period, specify:
  - a. the Company's contact Person;
  - b. the period of the Company's services;
  - c. the services the Company provided;
  - d. the total number of Debt(s) the Company attempted to collect in any way;
  - e. the dollar amount of Debt the Company attempted to collect in any way; and
  - f. the Company's total revenue from Debt Collection Activities.

13. For each year during the Applicable Period, provide:

- a. the total number of oral consumer disputes of Debt received by the Company;
- b. the total number of written consumer disputes of Debt received by the Company;
- c. the number of written verification right notices the Company provided to consumers;
- d. the number of written requests for verification of debt the Company received;
- e. the number of oral requests for verification of debt the Company received;
- f. the number of written and oral notifications the Company received from consumers, informing the Company that the consumer's alleged Debt was incurred as a result of identification theft; and
- g. the number of written cease-and-desist requests the Company received from consumers.
- 14. Describe how the Company generated the information produced in response to each subsection of Interrogatory 13.
- 15. Identify all systems the Company has used to conduct Debt Collection Activities (whether the system is in-house, hosted, or used by a vendor) and provide system diagrams for each system identified, including:
  - a. a data flow diagram;
  - b. a systems architecture diagram; and
  - c. a network diagram.

- 16. For each system identified in response to Interrogatory 15, identify or provide:
  - a. the operating system, hardware configuration;
  - b. the users with administrative access, and categories of users with general access;
  - c. the point of contact (e.g., the employee most knowledgeable about the system);
  - d. the backup plan for the full system's data; and
  - e. the software resident on the system (beyond standard software), including:
    - i. the name, vendor ,and version; and
    - ii. if the software is custom, the language(s) used to build it and the source control methodology;
  - f. the time period during which the system was or is in use.
- 17. Identify all databases the Company has used—whether in-house, hosted, or by used by a vendor on the Company's behalf—to conduct Debt Collection Activities. For each database, identify or provide the following information:
  - a. the employee(s) most knowledgeable about the database;
  - b. the database system name, commercial software name (if different from the system name), version, technology platform, and computing model (e.g., client, server, or multi-tier);
  - c. the time period during which the database is or was in use;
  - d. the names and descriptions of the data fields contained in the database;
  - e. the data type (e.g., date, time, integer, or text) in each data field;
  - f. the business purpose for which it is used;
  - g. a description of the process by which or for which it is used;
  - h. a description of each category of Persons with access to any part(s) of the database, the identity of the part(s) to which each category of Persons has access, and for what purpose;
  - i. the timeframe for which information in each data field is stored or maintained;
  - j. a description of how the database is populated with data or information and by whom;
  - k. a description of how the database interacts with other Company systems, (e.g., file systems or other databases);
  - 1. a description of any processes used to assure the accuracy of data in each database, including any internal controls, internal audits, or quality assurance programs performed on the database;
  - m. whether the database holds attachments (e.g., image, audio, or PDF files), and a description of those attachments;
  - n. a description of the reporting capabilities of the database;
  - o. a description of any regular or standard reports generated from the database, and the frequency with which such reports are generated;

- p. whether the data stored in the database can be exported to Microsoft Excel or other readily available spreadsheet or database programs; and
- q. a description of the frequency with which the database is archived or backed up, and the method by which it is archived or backed up.
- 18. For each database identified in response to Interrogatory 17, provide a data dictionary. For each data field, provide the following information:

Data Element Terms	Data Element Definitions
Field Name	Unique name
Definition	Description of the meaning of the data element
Data Type	Type of data (e.g., date, numeric, text, memo, floating point)
Data Size	Maximum field length that will be accepted
Data Format	Format of data (e.g., YYYYMMDD, MM/DD/YYYY)
Field Constraints: Data Element is a required field (Y/N)	Required fields (Y) must be populated
Enumeration (if applicable)	If a field can only take certain values or codes (e.g. A, B, or C), list those values and an explanation of their meaning
Special, Dummy, Test Values	Include a narrative description (e.g., for calls to 555-555-5555, describe that number as being used for internal testing, or for dates populated as 1/1/1900, specify what that value means)
Formula	If the field is calculated, provide the formula for the calculation.

19. For each Document the Company produces in response to Requests for Documents 1 through 11, provide the effective dates that each Document was in use, and a description of the purpose(s) for which it was used. Provide this information in the following table format:

Document Request No.	Bates No.	Title	Purpose	End Effective Date

20.If, for any Interrogatory that calls for identification of a Person, there is no identifiable Person for the Applicable Period, identify the most recent identifiable Person, including Persons no longer affiliated with or employed by the Company. For each, specify the dates of affiliation or employment and any titles or positions held. 21. If, for any request, there are Documents that would be responsive but that are now unavailable, identify each Document and its last known location or custodian, and explain why the Document cannot be produced.

### **Requests for Written Reports**

Produce the following data in tab-delimited text files, using double-quote-escaped text fields when necessary. Where data derives from separate tables or dimensions, use a separate text file for data elements along each separate dimension. This should comply with at least the first normal form (1NF). Include both the unique identifiers and foreign keys (as well as indicators of their function) in each file expressing the relationship between these files. When data is available for some records and not others, leave the unavailable data items blank. Omissions due to unavailability should be described in narrative with the production. Individual records should never contain a varying number of fields. Where information exists at the record level requested but is not included in the individual Written Report Request, include this information in additional columns in your response to the Written Report Report.

- 1. For each type of Debt in the Company's portfolio, and for each year during the Applicable Period, provide:
  - a. the unique identifier of Debt type;
  - b. the total number of Debts the Company attempted to collect in any way;
  - c. the total dollar amount of the Debts the Company attempted to collect in any way; and
  - d. the Company's total revenue.
- 2. For each consumer complaint or credit report dispute the Company received directly from a Consumer Reporting Agency during the Applicable Period, provide:
  - a. the name of the Consumer Reporting Agency that submitted the complaint or dispute;
  - b. the unique identifier by which the Company identifies the Debt account subject of the complaint or dispute;
  - c. the date that the Company received the complaint or dispute;
  - d. a brief description of the nature of the complaint or dispute (e.g., debt resulting from identification theft, debt paid off, debtor's mistaken identity, etc.);
  - e. the response code;
  - f. the dispute code(s) (in separate fields);
  - g. any notes, codes, or history associated with the investigation of the complaint or dispute;
  - h. the date of resolution; and

- i. the Company's reason for closing the complaint or dispute.
- 3. For each complaint or credit report dispute the Company received from all Persons other than Consumer Reporting Agencies (excluding cease-and-desist requests, and actions or proceedings identified in response to Requests for Written Reports 4 and 5) during the Applicable Period, provide:
  - a. the name of the Person who submitted the complaint or dispute, and their:
    - i. street address;
    - ii. city;
    - iii. state;
    - iv. zip code;
    - v. telephone number; and
    - vi. email address;
  - b. the unique identifier by which the Company identifies the Debt account subject of the Person's complaint or dispute;
  - c. the date that the Company received the complaint or dispute;
  - d. a brief description of the nature of the complaint or dispute (e.g., debt resulting from identification theft, debt paid off, debtor's mistaken identity, etc.);
  - e. any notes, codes, or history associated with the investigation of the complaint or dispute;
  - f. the response code;
  - g. the dispute code(s) (in separate fields);
  - h. the date of resolution; and
  - i. an explanation of the resolution of complaints or disputes related to identification theft.
- 4. For each cease-and-desist request the Company received during the Applicable Period, provide:
  - a. the name of the Person who submitted the request, and their:
    - i. street address;
    - ii. city;
    - iii. state;
    - iv. zip code;
    - v. telephone number; and
    - vi. email address;
  - b. the unique identifier by which the Company identifies the Debt account subject of the Person's cease-and-desist request;
  - c. any notes, codes, or history associated with the investigation of the complaint or dispute;
  - d. the date that the Company received the cease-and-desist request; and
  - e. the date(s) of any contact initiated by the Company with the Person subsequent to the Company's receipt of the cease-and-desist request.

- 5. For each legal action or administrative proceeding filed against the Company or its principals relating to the Company's Debt collection or Information Furnishing activities during the Applicable Period, provide:
  - a. the plaintiff(s) bringing the action or proceeding;
  - b. the defendant(s) in the action or proceeding;
  - c. the case number;
  - d. the name and location of the court or administrative body;
  - e. the date the action or proceeding was filed;
  - f. the date of disposition;
  - g. the final outcome of the action or proceeding; and
  - h. one copy of each unique complaint filed against the Company.
- 6. Identify each telephone number the Company has used to contact consumers in connection with its Debt Collection Activities. Provide the date range during which the Company used the phone number, and whether the telephone number is associated with a fixed landline, a cellular telephone, or switched/digital or other telephone type.
- 7. Identify all Debt Collection telephone calls during the Applicable Period, including all associated elements as stored in your or your providers' databases (e.g., Customer Relations Management systems and call recording systems) at a call level, including:
  - a. account number associated with the call;
  - b. unique identifier for the call;
  - c. file reference for call recording, .wav file or similar;
  - d. date and time of call;
  - e. telephone number called;
  - f. duration of call;
  - g. unique operator ID associated with call;
  - h. any call-type codes, disposition codes, resolution codes, product codes, or similar associated with the call (use separate columns);
  - i. notes or comments associated with the call; and
  - j. any other data unique to the call.

### **Requests for Documents**

- 1. One copy of each unique version of all your policies and procedures related to Debt Collection Activities, including but not limited to Debt Collection notices and calls, skip tracing, investigation, use of telephone line(s) or service(s) not controlled by the Company, the decision to file a lawsuit, and logging of complaints or disputes concerning identity theft submitted by consumers and Consumer Reporting Agencies.
- 2. One copy of each unique version of all your telephone scripts that the Company has used when attempting to collect a Debt.

- 3. One copy of each unique version of all your policies and procedures related to Information Furnishing Activities, including but not limited to providing an address for consumers to submit disputes, correcting and updating consumer information to be furnished, and logging of complaints or disputes concerning identity theft submitted by consumers and Consumer Reporting Agencies.
- 4. One copy of each unique version of all your policies and procedures for receiving, logging, investigating, and responding to consumers' complaints or disputes.
- 5. One copy of each unique version of all your policies and procedures for complying with laws relating to Debt Collection Activities and credit Information Furnishing Activities, including the FDCPA, FCRA, state and federal laws prohibiting unfair, deceptive, or abusive acts and practices, and any other Federal consumer financial law.
- 6. One copy of each unique version of all your technical and employee manuals, handbooks, guidance, and training materials relating to Debt Collection Activities.
- 7. One copy of each unique version of all your technical and employee manuals, handbooks, guidance, and training materials relating to credit Information Furnishing Activities.
- 8. One copy of each unique version of all templates, models, or form Documents that the Company has used to provide consumers notice of their Debt verification rights.
- 9. One copy of each unique version of all templates, models, or form Documents that the Company has used to respond to consumers' oral or written Debt validation requests.
- 10. One copy of each unique version of all templates, models, or form Documents that the Company has used to respond to consumer complaints or disputes, including cease-and desist requests.
- 11. One copy of each unique version of all other templates, models, or form Documents or letters that the Company has used in Debt Collection.
- 12. One copy of each unique version of all service contracts, agreements, or retainers signed by the Company and Parties identified in response to Interrogatory 12.
- 13. One copy of each unique version of all documents indicating the outcome of all investigations of alleged or potential violations of the FDCPA, FCRA, state and federal laws prohibiting unfair, deceptive, or abusive acts and practices, or any other Federal consumer financial law, including but not limited to reports from

internal or external auditors, meeting minutes, presentations, and whistleblower complaints.

- 14. All audits relating to the Company's Debt Collection Activities, including but not limited to quality-assurance and compliance reviews of the Company's compliance with the Company's policies and procedures, the FDCPA, FCRA, state and federal laws prohibiting unfair, deceptive, or abusive acts and practices, or any other Federal consumer financial law.
- 15. Audited financial statements, including the corresponding footnote disclosures, balance sheets, income statements, statements of cash flows, and statements of changes in owners' equity for the Applicable Period through the latest month available for 2017. If no audited financial statements exist, unaudited financial statements along with the corresponding footnote disclosures.

# **Requests for Tangible Things**

- 1. Metadata from call systems and related systems, including call notes, for all telephone calls relating to the collection of a Debt.
- 2. Recordings of all telephone calls relating to the collection of a Debt between the Company and any Consumer.
- 3. Recordings of all telephone calls relating to the collection of a Debt between the Company and any third-party.
- 4. For each account for which the Company made a call responsive to Requests for Tangible Things 2 and 3, identify all phone numbers authorized for or associated with the account. Provide each phone number as a separate observation, with fields for the original account number associated with the phone number and any notes regarding the type of number (e.g., home, work, cell, or spouse work).

### II. Definitions.

A. **"And**" and "**or**" must be construed both conjunctively and disjunctively.

B. **"Any**" includes **"all**," and **"all**" includes **"any**."

C. **"CID**" means the Civil Investigative Demand, including the Requests, Topics for Hearing, Definitions, and Instructions.

D. **"CFPB**" or "**Bureau**" means the Bureau of Consumer Financial Protection.

E. **"Company**" or "**you**" or "**your**" means the Law Offices of Crystal Moroney, P.C., and any successor in interest.

# Case 7:20-cv-03240-UA Document 6-4 Filed 04/27/20 Page 14 of 51

F. **"Consumer**" or **"Debtor"** means any natural person obligated or allegedly obligated to pay any Debt as defined in the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3).

G. **"Consumer Reporting Agency**" means "consumer reporting agency" as defined in the Fair Credit Reporting Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1681a(f).

H. **"Debt**" means any obligation or alleged obligation of a consumer to pay money arising out of a transaction in which the money, property, insurance, or services which are the subject of the transaction are primarily for personal, family, or household purposes, whether or not such obligation has been reduced to judgment as defined in the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(5).

I. "**Debt Collection Activities**" means all activities related to efforts to collect a Debt, either directly or indirectly.

J. "**Demand Letter**" means any document sent to a Consumer in an effort to collect a Debt.

K. **"Deputy Enforcement Director**" refers to a Deputy Assistant Director of the Office of Enforcement.

L. **"Document**" means any written matter of every type and description, including electronically stored information. "Document" includes any non-identical copy (such as a draft or annotated copy) of another document.

M. "Each" includes "every," and "every" includes "each."

N. **"Electronically Stored Information**," or "**ESI**," means the complete original and any non-identical copy (whether different from the original because of notations, different metadata, or otherwise) of any electronically created or stored information, including but not limited to e-mail, instant messaging, videoconferencing, SMS, MMS, or other text messaging, and other electronic correspondence (whether active, archived, unsent, or in a sent or deleted-items folder), word-processing files, spreadsheets, databases, unorganized data, document metadata, presentation files, and sound recordings, regardless of how or where the information is stored, including if it is on a mobile device.

O. **"Enforcement Director**" refers to the Assistant Director of the Office of Enforcement.

P. **"Fair Credit Reporting Act**" or "**FCRA**" means the Fair Credit Reporting Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1681 *et seq*.

Q. **"Fair Debt Collection Practices Act**" or "**FDCPA**" means the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 *et seq*.

R. "**Identify**" means to provide: (a) for natural persons, their name, title or position, present business affiliation, present business address, e-mail address, and telephone number, or if a present business affiliation or present business address is not known, the last known business address, home address, e-mail address, and telephone number; (b) for businesses or other organizations, the name, address, identities of officers, directors, or managers of the business or organization, and contact persons with e-mail addresses and telephone numbers, where applicable; and (c) for documents, the title, date, authors, recipients, Bates numbers, if applicable, type of document or some other means of identifying the document, and the present or last known location or custodian.

S. **"Information Furnishing Activities"** means all activities related to efforts to furnish consumer information to a Consumer Reporting Agency, either directly or indirectly.

T. **"Person**" means an individual, partnership, company, corporation, association (incorporated or unincorporated), trust, estate, cooperative organization, or other entity.

# III. Instructions.

A. **Sharing of Information:** This CID relates to a nonpublic, law-enforcement investigation being conducted by the Bureau. The Bureau may make its files available to other civil and criminal federal, state, or local law-enforcement agencies under 12 C.F.R. §§ 1070.43(b)(1) and 1070.45(a)(5). Information you provide may be used in any civil or criminal proceeding by the Bureau or other agencies. As stated in 12 C.F.R. § 1080.14, information you provide in response to this CID is subject to the requirements and procedures relating to the disclosure of records and information set forth in 12 C.F.R. pt. 1070.

B. **Meet and Confer:** As stated in 12 C.F.R. § 1080.6(c), you must contact Enforcement Attorney E. Vanessa Assae-Bille at (202) 435-7688 as soon as possible to schedule a meeting (telephonic or in person) to discuss your response to the CID. The meeting must be held within 10 calendar days after you receive this CID or before the deadline for filing a petition to modify or set aside the CID, whichever is earlier.

C. **Applicable Period for Responsive Materials:** Unless otherwise directed, the applicable period for the request is from **January 1, 2014**, **until the date of this CID ("Applicable Period").** 

D. **Privilege Claims:** If any material responsive to this CID is withheld on the grounds of privilege, you must make the privilege claim no later than the date set for the production of the material. As stated in 12 C.F.R. § 1080.8(a), any such claim must include a schedule of the documents, information, or tangible things withheld that

states, for each:

- 1. its type, specific subject matter, and date;
- 2. the names, addresses, positions, and organizations of all authors and direct or indirect recipients;
- 3. the specific grounds for claiming the privilege;
- 4. the request to which the privileged document, information, or thing is responsive; and
- 5. its Bates number or range.

In addition, the person who submits the schedule and the attorney stating the grounds for the privilege must sign it. A person withholding material solely based on a claim of privilege must comply with the requirements of 12 C.F.R. § 1080.8 rather than file a petition for an order modifying or setting aside a demand under 12 C.F.R. § 1080.6(e). Please follow the enclosed Document Submission Standards for further instructions about producing redacted privileged documents.

E. **Document Retention:** Until you are notified otherwise, you are required to retain all documents and other tangible things that you used or relied on in responding to this CID. In addition, you must retain, and suspend any procedures that may result in the destruction of, documents, information, or tangible things that are in any way relevant to the investigation, as described in the CID's Notification of Purpose. You are required to prevent the destruction of relevant material irrespective of whether you believe such material is protected from future disclosure or discovery by privilege or otherwise. *See* 18 U.S.C. §§ 1505, 1519.

F. **Modification Requests:** If you believe that the scope of the search or response required by this CID can be narrowed consistent with the Bureau's need for documents or information, you are encouraged to discuss such possible modifications, including modifications of the requirements of these instructions, with Enforcement Attorney E. **Vanessa Assae-Bille** at **(202) 435-7688**. Modifications must be agreed to in writing by the Enforcement Director or a Deputy Enforcement Director. 12 C.F.R. § 1080.6(d).

G. **Petition for Order Modifying or Setting Aside Demand:** Under 12 U.S.C. § 5562(f) and 12 C.F.R. § 1080.6(e), you may petition the Bureau for an order modifying or setting aside this CID. To file a petition, you must send it by e-mail to the Bureau's Executive Secretary at <u>ExecSec@cfpb.gov</u>, copying the Enforcement Director at <u>Enforcement@cfpb.gov</u>, within **20** calendar days of service of the CID or, if the return date is less than 20 calendar days after service, before the return date. The subject line of the e-mail must say "Petition to Modify or Set Aside Civil Investigative Demand." If a request for confidential treatment is filed, you must file a redacted public petition in addition to the unredacted petition. All requests for confidential treatment must be supported by a showing of good cause in light of applicable statutes, rules, Bureau orders, court orders, or other relevant authority.

H. **Certification:** The person to whom the CID is directed or, if it is directed to an entity, any person having knowledge of the facts and circumstances relating to the production, must certify that the response to this CID is true and complete. This certification must be made on the form declaration included with this CID.

I. **Scope of Search and Investigational Hearing:** This CID covers materials and information in your possession, custody, or control, including but not limited to documents in the possession, custody, or control of your attorneys, accountants, other agents or consultants, directors, officers, and employees.

J. **Procedures Governing Hearing:** This CID is issued under section 1052 of the Consumer Financial Protection Act, 12 U.S.C. § 5562. The taking of oral testimony pursuant to this CID will be conducted in conformity with that section and 12 C.F.R. §§ 1080.6(a)(4), 1080.7, and 1080.9.

K. **Designation of a Witness:** This CID requires oral testimony from an entity. Under 12 C.F.R. § 1080.6(a)(4)(ii), you must designate one or more officers, directors, or managing agents, or designate other persons who consent to testify on your behalf. The individuals designated must testify about information known or reasonably available to you, and their testimony is binding on you. Your failure to designate a witness competent to testify about the topics described will be considered a failure to comply with this CID.

L. **Document Production:** The Bureau encourages the electronic production of all material responsive to this CID; please follow the enclosed Document Submission Standards.

All productions sent by U.S. Postal Service should be addressed to:

Consumer Financial Protection Bureau 1700 G Street, NW ATTN: Chelsea Peter, SEFL, Office of Enforcement, Seat 4059A Washington, DC 20552

All productions sent by FedEx, UPS, or other courier should be addressed to:

Consumer Financial Protection Bureau 1625 Eye Street NW ATTN: Chelsea Peter, SEFL, Office of Enforcement, Seat 4059A Washington, DC 20006

Please provide your intended method of production and any tracking numbers by e-mail or telephone to Enforcement Attorney **E. Vanessa Assae-Bille** at **Elisabeth.Assae**-

Bille@cfpb.gov and (202) 435-7688.

M. **Document Identification:** Documents that may be responsive to more than one request of this CID need not be submitted more than once. All documents responsive to this CID must be accompanied by an index that identifies: (i) the name of each custodian of each responsive document; (ii) the corresponding Bates number or range used to identify that person's documents; and (iii) the request or requests to which each document responds.

N. Sensitive Personally Identifiable Information: If any material called for by these requests contains sensitive personally identifiable information, or sensitive health information of any individual, please contact Enforcement Attorney E. Vanessa Assae-Bille at (202) 435-7688 before sending those materials to discuss ways to protect the information during production. You must encrypt electronic copies of such materials with encryption software acceptable to the Bureau. When submitting encrypted material, you must provide the encryption key, certificate, or passcode in a separate communication.

For purposes of this CID, sensitive personally identifiable information includes an individual's Social Security number alone or an individual's name, address, or phone number *in combination with* one or more of the following: date of birth, Social Security number, driver's-license number or other state-identification number, or a foreign country equivalent, passport number, financial-account number, credit-card number, or debit-card number. Sensitive health information includes medical records and other individually identifiable health information relating to the past, present, or future physical or mental health or conditions of an individual, the provision of health care to an individual, or the past, present, or future payment for the provision of health care to an individual.

O. **Information Identification:** Each request for a written report or interrogatory in this CID must be answered separately and fully in writing under oath. All information submitted must clearly and precisely identify the request or requests to which it is responsive.

P. **Declaration Certifying Records of Regularly Conducted Business Activity:** Attached is a Declaration Certifying Records of Regularly Conducted Business Activity, which may limit the need to subpoena you to testify at future proceedings to establish the admissibility of documents produced in response to this CID. Please execute this Declaration and provide it with your response.

Q. All references to "**year**" or "**annual**" refer to the calendar year. Where information is requested "for each year," provide it separately for each year; where yearly data is not available, provide responsive information for the calendar year to date, unless otherwise instructed.

R. **Duty to Estimate**: If you are unable to answer any interrogatory fully, supply

such information as is available. Explain why such answer is incomplete, the efforts you made to obtain the information, and the source from which the complete answer may be obtained. If books and records that provide accurate answers are not available, enter best estimates and describe how the estimates were derived, including the sources or bases of such estimates. Estimated data should be followed by the notation "est." If there is no reasonable way to make an estimate, provide an explanation.

### **CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE WITH RFPA**

The Right to Financial Privacy Act of 1978 (RFPA) does not apply to the disclosure of financial records or information to the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau (CFPB) "in the exercise of its authority with respect to a financial institution." 12 U.S.C. § 3413(r). This civil investigative demand is also issued in connection with an investigation within the meaning of section 3413(h)(1)(A) of the RFPA. Therefore, in accordance with section 3403(b) of the RFPA, the undersigned certifies that, to the extent applicable, the provisions of the RFPA have been complied with as to the Civil Investigative Demand issued to the Law Offices of Crystal Moroney, P.C., to which this Certificate is attached.

The information obtained will be used to determine whether the persons named or referred to in the attached Civil Investigative Demand are in compliance with laws administered by the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau. The information may be transferred to another department or agency consistent with the RFPA.

Under the RFPA, good faith reliance on this certificate relieves the recipient and its employees and agents of any liability to customers in connection with the requested disclosures of financial records of these customers. *See* 12 U.S.C. § 3417(c).

Deborah Morris Date: 2017.06.23 11:51:19 -04'00'

Deborah Morris Consumer Financial Protection Bureau Deputy Director, Office of Enforcement

### Case 7:20-cv-03240-UA Document 6-4 Filed 04/27/20 Page 21 of 51

### DECLARATION CERTIFYING RECORDS OF REGULARLY CONDUCTED BUSINESS ACTIVITY Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746

I, \_\_\_\_\_, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746, declare that:

- I am employed by \_\_\_\_\_\_ as \_\_\_\_\_
   and by reason of my position am authorized and qualified to certify the authenticity of the records produced by the Law Offices of Crystal Moroney, P.C. and submitted with this Declaration.
- 2. The documents produced and submitted with this Declaration by the Law Offices of Crystal Moroney, P.C. are true copies of records of regularly conducted activity that were:
  - made at or near the time of the occurrence of the matters set forth, by, or from information transmitted by, a person with knowledge of those matters;
  - b. kept in the course of the regularly conducted business activity; and
- c. made by the regularly conducted business activity as a regular practice.

I certify under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on

\_\_\_\_\_, 2017.

Signature

### **CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE – DOCUMENTS**

I, \_\_\_\_\_, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746, declare that:

- 1. I have confirmed that a diligent inquiry has been made of all persons who likely have possession of responsive documents and information, and I have confirmed that a diligent search has been made of all of the locations and files that likely contained responsive documents and information in the possession, custody, or control of the Law Offices of Crystal Moroney, P.C.
- 2. All of the documents and information identified through the search described in paragraph 1 above required by the Civil Investigative Demand dated June 23, 2017 that are within the possession, custody, or control of the Law Offices of Crystal Moroney, P.C. have been submitted to the Bureau custodian or deputy custodian identified in this Civil Investigative Demand.
- 3. If a document or tangible thing responsive to this Civil Investigative Demand has not been submitted, a claim of privilege in compliance with 12 C.F.R. § 1080.8 has been submitted.

I certify under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on

Signature

### <u>CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE – INTERROGATORY ANSWERS AND</u> <u>REPORTS</u>

, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746,

declare that:

I,

- I have confirmed that, in preparation of all answers and reports in response to the enclosed Civil Investigative Demand, a diligent inquiry has been made of all persons who likely have possession of responsive documents and information, and I have confirmed that a diligent search has been made of all of the locations and files that likely contained responsive documents and information within the possession, custody, control, or knowledge of the Law Offices of Crystal Moroney, P.C.
- 2. Based on the information identified through the search described in paragraph 1 above, all answers and reports prepared in response to the enclosed required by the Civil Investigative Demand dated June 23, 2017 are true, correct, and complete.
- 3. If an interrogatory or a portion of an interrogatory has not been fully answered or a report or a portion of a report has not been completed, a claim of privilege in compliance with 12 C.F.R. § 1080.8 has been submitted.

I certify under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on

Signature

#### CONSUMER FINANCIAL PROTECTION BUREAU Washington, D.C. 20552

#### Notice to Persons Supplying Information

You have been asked to supply information or speak voluntarily, or directed to provide sworn testimony, documents, or answers to questions in response to a civil investigative demand (CID) from the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau (Bureau). This notice discusses certain legal rights and responsibilities. Unless stated otherwise, the information below applies whether you are providing information voluntarily or in response to a CID.

A. False Statements; Perjury

False Statements. Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code provides as follows:

[W]hoever, in any matter within the jurisdiction of the executive ... branch of the Government of the United States, knowingly and willfully-- (1) falsifies, conceals, or covers up by any trick, scheme, or device a material fact; (2) makes any materially false, fictitious, or fraudulent statement or representation; or (3) makes or uses any false writing or document knowing the same to contain any materially false, fictitious, or fraudulent statement or entry; shall be fined under this title ...[or] imprisoned not more than 5 years ..., or both.

Perjury. Section 1621 of Title 18 of the United States Code provides as follows:

Whoever ... having taken an oath before a competent tribunal, officer, or person, in any case in which a law of the United States authorizes an oath to be administered, that he will testify, declare, depose, or certify truly or that any written testimony, declaration, deposition, or certificate by him subscribed, is true willfully and contrary to such oath states or subscribes any material matter which he does not believe to be true ... is guilty of perjury and shall, except as otherwise expressly provided by law, be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than five years, or both. This section is applicable whether the statement or subscription is made within or without the United States.

#### B. The Fifth Amendment; Your Right to Counsel

*Fifth Amendment*. Information you provide may be used against you in any federal, state, local or foreign administrative, civil or criminal proceeding brought by the Bureau or any other agency. If you are an individual, you may refuse, in accordance with the rights guaranteed to you by the Fifth Amendment to the Constitution of the United States, to give any information that may tend to incriminate you or subject you to criminal liability, including fine, penalty or forfeiture.

*Counsel.* You have the right to be accompanied, represented and advised by counsel of your choice. For further information, you should consult Bureau regulations at 12 C.F.R. § 1080.9(b).

### C. Effect of Not Supplying Information

*Persons Directed to Supply Information Pursuant to CID.* If you fail to comply with the CID, the Bureau may seek a court order requiring you to do so. If such an order is obtained and you still fail to supply the information, you may be subject to civil and criminal sanctions for contempt of court.

*Persons Requested to Supply Information Voluntarily.* There are no sanctions for failing to provide all or any part of the requested information. If you do not provide the requested information, the Bureau may choose to send you a CID or subpoena.

#### D. Privacy Act Statement

The information you provide will assist the Bureau in its determinations regarding violations of Federal consumer financial laws. The information will be used by and disclosed to Bureau personnel and contractors or other agents who need the information to assist in activities related to enforcement of Federal consumer financial laws. The information may also be disclosed for statutory or regulatory purposes, or pursuant to the Bureau's published Privacy Act system of records notice, to:

- a court, magistrate, administrative tribunal, or a party in litigation;
- another federal or state agency or regulatory authority;
- a member of Congress; and
- others as authorized by the Bureau to receive this information.

This collection of information is authorized by 12 U.S.C. §§ 5511, 5562.

# Civil Investigative Demand Document Submission Standards

**CFPB** Office of Enforcement

1 CONSUMER FINANCIAL PROTECTION BUREAU – DOCUMENT SUBMISSION STANDARDS

# **CID Document Submission Standards**

This describes the technical requirements for producing electronic document collections to the Consumer Finance Protection Bureau ("the Bureau")'s Office of Enforcement. All documents shall be produced in complete form, in color when necessary to interpret the document, unredacted unless privileged, and shall not be edited, cut, or expunged. These standards must be followed for all documents you submit in response to the CID. Any proposed file formats other than those described below must be discussed with the legal and technical staff of the Bureau's Office of Enforcement prior to submission.

2 CONSUMER FINANCIAL PROTECTION BUREAU – DOCUMENT SUBMISSION STANDARDS

# **Table of Contents**

CID	Document Submission Standards	2
Tabl	e of Contents	3
A.	Transmittal Instructions	Ŀ,
В.	Delivery Formats	6
1)	General ESI Standards	.6
2)	Native and Image Production	7
	a) Metadata File	.7
	b) Document Text	.9
	c) Linked Native Files1	
	d) Images1	
	e) Image Cross Reference File	.0
3)		
4)	Transactional Data	1
5)	Audio/Video/Electronic Phone Records1	2
С.	Production of Partially Privileged Documents1	Ą

# **A. Transmittal Instructions**

- 1) A cover letter should be included with each production. The following information should be included in the letter:
  - a) Name of the party making the production and the date of the CID to which the submission is responsive.
  - b) List of each piece of media (hard drive, thumb drive, DVD or CD) included in the production (refer to the media by the unique number assigned to it, see  $\P$  4)
  - c) List of custodians, identifying:
    - i) The Bates Range (and any gaps therein) for each custodian,
    - ii) Total number of images for each custodian, and
    - iii) Total number of native files for each custodian
  - d) List of fields in the order in which they are listed in the metadata load file.
  - e) Time zone in which emails were standardized during conversion (email collections only).
  - f) The specification(s) or portions thereof of the CID to which the submission is responsive.
- 2) Documents created or stored electronically MUST be produced in their original electronic format, not converted to another format such as PDF.
- 3) Data may be produced on CD, DVD, USB thumb drive, or hard drive; use the media requiring the least number of deliverables.
  - a) Magnetic media shall be carefully packed to avoid damage and must be clearly marked on the outside of the shipping container:
    - i) "MAGNETIC MEDIA DO NOT USE METAL DETECTOR"
    - ii) "MAY BE OPENED FOR POSTAL INSPECTION"
  - b) CD-R CD-ROMs should be formatted to ISO 9660 specifications;
  - c) DVD-ROMs for Windows-compatible personal computers are acceptable;
  - d) USB 2.0 thumb drives for Windows-compatible personal computers are acceptable;
- 4 CONSUMER FINANCIAL PROTECTION BUREAU DOCUMENT SUBMISSION STANDARDS

- e) USB 3.0 or USB 3.0/eSATA external hard disk drives, formatted in a Microsoft Windows-compatible file system (FAT32 or NTFS), uncompressed data are acceptable.
- 4) Label all media with the following:
  - a) Production date
  - b) Bates range
  - c) Disk number (1 of X), if applicable
  - d) Name of producing party
  - e) A unique production number identifying each production
- 5) All productions must be produced free of computer viruses. Infected productions may affect the timing of your compliance with the CID.
- 6) All produced media must be encrypted. Encryption format must be agreed upon prior to production.
  - a) Data deliveries should be encrypted at the disc level.
  - b) Decryption keys should be provided separately from the data delivery via email or phone.
- 7) Passwords for documents, files, and compressed archives should be provided separately either via email or in a separate cover letter from the data.

# **B.** Delivery Formats

#### 1) General ESI Standards

Before submitting any Electronically Stored Information ("ESI") or any other documents submitted in electronic form that do not conform completely to the listed specifications, you must confirm with the Bureau that the proposed formats and media types that contain such ESI will be acceptable. You are encouraged to discuss your specific form of submission, and any related questions with the Bureau as soon as is practicable and not later than the Meet and Confer required pursuant to 12 C.F.R. § 1080.6(c).

All productions must follow the specifications outlined below:

#### **De-duplication**

De-duplication of documents should be applied across custodians (global); each custodian should be identified in the Custodian field in the metadata load file separated by semi-colon. The first name in the Custodian list should represent the original holder of the document.

#### **Bates Numbering Documents**

The Bates number must be a unique, sequential, consistently formatted identifier, i.e., an alpha prefix unique to each producing party along with a fixed length number, i.e., ABC0000001. This format must remain consistent across all productions. There should be no space in between the prefix and the number. The number of digits in the numeric portion of the format should not change in subsequent productions, nor should hyphens or other separators be added or deleted.

#### Document Retention / Preservation of Metadata

The recipient of this CID should use reasonable measures to maintain the original native source documents in a manner so as to preserve the metadata associated with these

electronic materials as it existed at the time of the original creation.

2) Native and Image Production

In general, and subject to the specific instructions below: (1) produce electronic documents in their complete native/original format along with corresponding bateslabeled single page TIFF images; (2) scan and process all paper documents into single page TIFF images, OCR the images, and apply bates numbers to each page of the image; (3) produce fully searchable document level text for every produced document; and (4) produce metadata for every produced document in a data file that conforms to the specific instructions below.

a) Metadata File

All produced documents, regardless of their original file format, must be produced with the below-described metadata fields in a data file (.DAT).

- i) The first line of the .DAT file must be a header row identifying the field names.
- ii) The .DAT file must use the following default delimiters:

#### TABLE 1: DAT FILE DELIMITERS

Comma	q	ASCII character (020)
Quote	þ	ASCII character (254)
Newline	®	ASCII character (174)

- iii) Date fields should be provided in the format: mm/dd/yyyy
- iv) All attachments should sequentially follow the parent document/email.
- v) All documents shall be produced in both their native/original form and as a corresponding bates-labeled single page TIFF image; provide the link to the original/native document in the NATIVELINK field.
- vi) Produce extracted metadata for each document in the form of a .DAT file, and include these fields (fields should be listed but left blank if not applicable):

# TABLE 2: DAT FILE FIELDS

Field Name	Description	
BATES_BEGIN	First Bates number of native file document/email	
BATES_END	Last Bates number of native file document/email **The BATES_END field should be populated for single page documents/emails	
ATTACH_BEGIN	First Bates number of attachment/family range	
ATTACH_END	Last Bates number of attachment/family range	
GROUP_ID	A unique family identifier used to link documents/emails and attachments	
PRIV	Indicate "YES" if document has a Privilege claim	
ROG_NUM	Indicate Interrogatory number(s) document is responsive to. (ROG ##) If multiple, separate by semi-colon	
DR_NUM	Indicate Document Request document is responsive to. (DR ##) If multiple, separate by semi-colon	
RECORDTYPE	Email: Populate field as "E-Mail" Attachment: Populate field as "Attachment" Email Attachment: Populate field as "Attachment (E-mail)" Loose Native: Populate field as "E-Document" Scanned Paper: Populate field as "Paper"	
CUSTODIAN	Individual(s) or department(s) from which the record originated **semi-colon should be used to separate multiple entries	
FROM	Email: Sender of email Non-email: (empty) **semi-colon should be used to separate multiple entries	
ТО	Email: Recipient(s) of email **semi-colon should be used to separate multiple entries	
СС	Carbon copy recipient(s) **semi-colon should be used to separate multiple entries	
BCC	Blind carbon copy recipient(s) **semi-colon should be used to separate multiple entries	
SUBJECT	Subject line of the email	
DATE_SENT	Email: Date the email was sent	
TIME_SENT	Email: Time the email was sent **This data must be a separate field and cannot be combined with the DATE_SENT field	

8 CONSUMER FINANCIAL PROTECTION BUREAU – DOCUMENT SUBMISSION STANDARDS

### Case 7:20-cv-03240-UA Document 6-4 Filed 04/27/20 Page 34 of 51

DATE_RECVD	Email: Date the email was received	
TIME_RECVD	Email: Time the email was received	
NATIVELINK	Hyperlink to the email or native file document **The linked file must be named per the BATES_BEGIN Number	
FILE_EXT	The file extension representing the email or native file document	
AUTHOR	Email: (empty) Non-email: Author of the document	
DATE_CREATED	The date the electronic file was created	
TIME_CREATED	The time the electronic file was created	
DATE_MOD	Date an electronic file was last modified	
TIME_MOD	Time an electronic file was last modified	
PRINT_DATE	Date the document was last printed	
PRINT_TIME	Time the document was last printed	
FILE_SIZE	Size of native file document/email in KB	
PGCOUNT	Number of pages in document/email	
SOURCE	Email: Path to email container and email container name Non-email: Original path to source archive folder or files	
FOLDERPATH	Email: Folder path within email container Non-email: Folder path to file	
FILENAME	Email: Filename of loose email or subject of non-loose email Non-email: original file name	
MD5HASH	The 32 digit value representing each unique document	
ТЕХТРАТН	Contains path to OCR/Extracted text file that is titled after the document BATES_BEGIN	

#### b) Document Text

Searchable text of the entire document must be provided for every record, at the document level.

i) Extracted text must be provided for all documents that originated in electronic format.

Note: Any document in which text cannot be extracted must be OCR'd.

- ii) For documents redacted on the basis of any privilege, provide the OCR text for unredacted/unprivileged portions.
- iii) The text should be delivered in the following method: As multi-page ASCII text
- 9 CONSUMER FINANCIAL PROTECTION BUREAU DOCUMENT SUBMISSION STANDARDS

files with the files named the same as the Bates\_Begin field. Text files can be placed in a separate folder or included with the .TIFF files.

c) Linked Native Files

Copies of original email and native file documents/attachments must be included for all electronic productions.

- Native file documents must be named per the BATES\_BEGIN number (the original file name should be preserved and produced in the FILENAME metadata field).
- ii) The full path of the native file must be provided in the .DAT file in the NATIVELINK field.
- d) Images
  - i) Images should be single-page, Group IV TIFF files, scanned at 300 dpi.
  - ii) File names should be titled per endorsed bates number.
  - iii) Color should be preserved when necessary to interpret the document.
  - iv) Bates numbers should be endorsed on the lower right corner of all images.
  - v) For documents partially redacted on the basis of any privilege, ensure the redaction box is clearly labeled "REDACTED".
- e) Image Cross Reference File
  - i) The image cross-reference file is needed to link the images to the database. It is a comma-delimited file consisting of seven fields per line. There must be a line in the cross-reference file for every image in the database.

TABLE 3:	IMAGE CROSS	REFERENCE	FILE FIELDS
----------	-------------	-----------	-------------

Field Title	Description	
imageID	The unique designation use to identify an image.	
	<b>Note:</b> This imageID key <b>must</b> be a unique and fixed length number. This number will be used in the.DAT file as the ImageID field that links the database to the images. The format of this image key must be consistent across all productions. We recommend that the format be an eight digit number to allow for the possible increase in the size of a production.	
VolumeLabel	Optional	
ImageFilePath	The full path to the image file.	
DocumentBreak	The letter "Y" denotes the first page of a document. If this field is blank, then the page is not the first page of a document.	
FolderBreak	Leave empty	

10 CONSUMER FINANCIAL PROTECTION BUREAU – DOCUMENT SUBMISSION STANDARDS

### Case 7:20-cv-03240-UA Document 6-4 Filed 04/27/20 Page 36 of 51

BoxBreak	Leave empty	
PageCount	Optional	
	*This file should not contain a header row.	

#### SAMPLE:

IMG0000001,OPTIONALVOLUMENAME,E:\001\IMG0000001.TIF,Y,,,3 IMG0000002,OPTIONALVOLUMENAME,E:\001\IMG0000002.TIF,,,, IMG0000003,OPTIONALVOLUMENAME,E:\001\IMG0000003.TIF,,,, IMG0000004,OPTIONALVOLUMENAME,E:\001\IMG0000003.TIF,Y,,,1 IMG0000005,OPTIONALVOLUMENAME,E:\001\IMG0000003.TIF,Y,,,2 IMG0000006,OPTIONALVOLUMENAME,E:\001\IMG0000003.TIF,Y,,,2

#### 3) PDF File Production

When approved, Adobe PDF files may be produced in lieu of TIFF images for scanned paper productions (metadata must also be produced in accordance with the instructions above):

- a) PDF files should be produced in separate folders named by the Custodian.
- b) All PDFs must be unitized at the document level, i.e. each PDF should represent a discrete document; a single PDF cannot contain multiple documents.
- c) All attachments should sequentially follow the parent document.
- d) All PDF files must contain embedded text that includes all discernible words within the document, not selected text only. This requires all layers of the PDF to be flattened first.
- e) If PDF files are Bates endorsed, the PDF files must be named by the Bates range
- f) The metadata load file listed in 2.a. should be included.
- 4) Transactional Data

If transactional data must be produced, further discussion must be had to ensure the intended export is properly composed. If available, a data dictionary should accompany the production, if unavailable; a description of fields should accompany transactional data productions. The following formats are acceptable:

- •SQL Backup file
- MS Access

●XML

•CSV

TSV

Excel (with prior approval)

- 5) Audio/Video/Electronic Phone Records
  - a) Audio files must be produced in a format that is playable using Microsoft Windows Media Player. Types of audio files that will be accepted include:

•Nice Systems audio files (.aud). AUD files offer efficient compression and would be preferred over both NMF and WAV files.

Nice Systems audio files (.nmf),

•WAV Files

•MP3, MP4

•WMA

AIF

Produced audio files must be in a separate folder compared to other data in the production.

Additionally, the call information (metadata) related to each audio recording must be produced if it exists. The metadata file must be produced in delimited text format (DAT, CSV, or TXT), using a tab or pipe delimiter. Field names must be included in the first row of the metadata file. Please note that the field names are case sensitive and should be created as listed below. The metadata must include, if available, the following fields:

#### TABLE 4: AUDIO METADATA FIELDS

Field Name	Description	
AgentName	Name of agent/employee	
AgentId	Unique identifier of agent/employee	
Group	Name for a collection of agents	
Supervisor	Name of the Agent's supervisor	
Site	Location of call facility	
DNIS	Dialed Number Identification Service, identifies the number that was originally called	

# Case 7:20-cv-03240-UA Document 6-4 Filed 04/27/20 Page 38 of 51

Extension	Extension where call was routed	
CallDirection	Identifies whether the call was inbound, outbound, or internal	
CallType	Purpose of the call	
DURATION	Duration of call	
CustomerId	Customer's identification number	
CustomerCity	Customer's city of residence	
CustomerState	Customer's state of residence	
CallDateTime	Date and start time of call (MM/DD/YYYY	
	HH:MM:SS)	
CUSTOMERNAME	Name of person called	
FileName	Filename of audio file	
BATES BEGIN	Unique number of the audio file	
CALLEDPARTYNUMBER	The call center or phone number called	
CALLSIZE	File size of audio file	
CALLSERVICE	Call service code	
MD5HASH	The 32 digit value representing each unique document	
DOC_REQ	Document request number to which the file is responsive	
CUSTODIAN	Individual(s) or department(s) from which the recording originated	
FOLDERPATH	Folder path of the audio file in the original source	
SOURCE	Original path to where the source file resided	
TIMEZONE	The time zone of the original call	
GROUPID	A unique group identifier for grouping multiple calls	
CODEC	Encoding/decoding of the audio digital stream	
BITRATE The number of bits that are conveyed o processed per unit of time		

Supported Date Format	Example
mm/dd/yyyy hh:mm:ss am/pm	01/25/1996 10:45:15 am

The filename is used to link the metadata to the produced audio file. The file name in the metadata and the file name used to identify the corresponding audio file must match exactly.

b) Video files must be produced in a format that is playable using Microsoft Windows

13 CONSUMER FINANCIAL PROTECTION BUREAU – DOCUMENT SUBMISSION STANDARDS

Media Player along with any available metadata. If it is known that the video files do not contain associated audio, indicate this in the accompanying transmittal letter. Types of video files accepted include: • MPG

• AVI • WMV • MOV • FLV

# C. Production of Partially Privileged Documents

If a portion of any material called for by this CID is withheld based on a claim of privilege, those portions may be redacted from the responsive material as long as the following conditions are met.

- a) If originally stored as native electronic files, the image(s) of the unredacted portions are submitted in a way that preserves the same appearance as the original without the redacted material (i.e., in a way that depicts the size and location of the redactions). The OCR text will be produced from the redacted image(s). Any redacted, privileged material should be clearly labeled to show the redactions on the tiff image(s). Any metadata not being withheld for privilege should be produced in the DAT file; any content (e.g., PowerPoint speaker notes, Word comments, Excel hidden rows, sheets or columns) contained within the native and not being withheld for privilege should be tiffed and included in the production.
- b) If originally in hard copy form, the unredacted portions are submitted in a way that depicts the size and location of the redactions; for example, if all of the content on a particular page is privileged, a blank, sequentially numbered page should be included in the production where the responsive material, had it not been privileged, would have been located.

14 CONSUMER FINANCIAL PROTECTION BUREAU – DOCUMENT SUBMISSION STANDARDS



Federal Register/Vol. 77, No. 126/Friday, June 29, 2012/Rules and Regulations

#### §1081.405 Decision of the Director.

(a) Upon appeal from or upon further review of a recommended decision, the Director will consider such parts of the record as are cited or as may be necessary to resolve the issues presented and, in addition, will, to the extent necessary or desirable, exercise all powers which he or she could have exercised if he or she had made the recommended decision. In proceedings before the Director, the record shall consist of all items part of the record below in accordance with § 1081.306; any notices of appeal or order directing review; all briefs, motions, submissions, and other papers filed on appeal or review; and the transcript of any oral argument held. Review by the Director of a recommended decision may be limited to the issues specified in the notice(s) of appeal or the issues, if any, specified in the order directing further briefing. On notice to all parties, however, the Director may, at any time prior to issuance of his or her decision, raise and determine any other matters that he or she deems material, with opportunity for oral or written argument thereon by the parties.

(b) Decisional employees may advise and assist the Director in the consideration and disposition of the case.

(c) In rendering his or her decision, the Director will affirm, adopt, reverse, modify, set aside, or remand for further proceedings the recommended decision and will include in the decision a statement of the reasons or basis for his or her actions and the findings of fact upon which the decision is predicated.

(d) At the expiration of the time permitted for the filing of reply briefs with the Director, the Office of Administrative Adjudication will notify the parties that the case has been submitted for final Bureau decision. The Director will issue and the Office of Administrative Adjudication will serve the Director's final decision and order within 90 days after such notice, unless within that time the Director orders that the adjudication proceeding or any aspect thereof be remanded to the hearing officer for further proceedings.

(e) Copies of the final decision and order of the Director shall be served upon each party to the proceeding, upon other persons required by statute, and, if directed by the Director or required by statute, upon any appropriate State or Federal supervisory authority. The final decision and order will also be published on the Bureau's Web site or as otherwise deemed appropriate by the Bureau.

#### §1081.406 Reconsideration.

Within 14 days after service of the, Director's final decision and order, any party may file with the Director a petition for reconsideration, briefly and specifically setting forth the relief desired and the grounds in support thereof. Any petition filed under this section must be confined to new questions raised by the final decision or final order and upon which the petitioner had no opportunity to argue, in writing or orally, before the Director. No response to a petition for reconsideration shall be filed unless requested by the Director, who will request such response before granting any petition for reconsideration. The filing of a petition for reconsideration shall not operate to stay the effective date of the final decision or order or to toll the running of any statutory period affecting such decision or order unless specifically so ordered by the Director.

# § 1081.407 Effective date; stays pending judicial review.

(a) Other than consent orders, which shall become effective at the time specified therein, an order to cease and desist or for other affirmative action under section 1053(b) of the Dodd-Frank Act becomes effective at the expiration of 30 days after the date of service pursuant to § 1081.113(d)(2), unless the Director agrees to stay the effectiveness of the order pursuant to this section.

(b) Any party subject to a final decision and order, other than a consent order, may apply to the Director for a stay of all or part of that order pending judicial review.

(c) A motion for stay shall state the reasons a stay is warranted and the facts relied upon, and shall include supporting affidavits or other sworn statements, and a copy of the relevant portions of the record. The motion shall address the likelihood of the movant's success on appeal, whether the movant will suffer irreparable harm if a stay is not granted, the degree of injury to other parties if a stay is granted, and why the stay is in the public interest.

(d) A motion for stay shall be filed within 30 days of service of the order on the party. Any party opposing the motion may file a response within five days after receipt of the motion. The movant may file a reply brief, limited to new matters raised by the response, within three days after receipt of the response.

(e) The commencement of proceedings for judicial review of a final decision and order of the Director does not, unless specifically ordered by the Director or a reviewing court, operate as a stay of any order issued by the Director. The Director may, in his or her discretion, and on such terms as he or she finds just, stay the effectiveness of all or any part of an order pending a final decision on a petition for judicial review of that order.

Dated: June 4, 2012.

#### Richard Cordray,

Director, Bureau of Consumer Financial Protection.

[FR Doc. 2012–14061 Filed 6–28–12; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4810–AM–P

# BUREAU OF CONSUMER FINANCIAL PROTECTION

#### 12 CFR Part 1080

[Docket No.: CFPB-2011-0007]

RIN 3170-AA03

**Rules Relating to Investigations** 

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Consumer Financial Protection.

#### **ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** After considering the public comments on its interim final rule for the Rules Relating to Investigations, the Bureau of Consumer Financial Protection (Bureau), pursuant to the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act of 2010 (Dodd-Frank Act), is making revisions to its procedures for investigations under section 1052 of the Dodd-Frank Act.

**DATES:** The final rule is effective June **29**, 2012.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Peter G. Wilson, Office of the General Counsel, Consumer Financial Protection Bureau, 1700 G Street NW., Washington, DC 20552, (202) 435–7585.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### I. Background

The Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act of 2010 (Dodd-Frank Act) was signed into law on July 21, 2010. Title X of the Dodd-Frank Act established the Bureau of Consumer Financial Protection (Bureau) to regulate the offering and provision of consumer financial products or services under the Federal consumer financial laws. The Dodd-Frank Act transferred to the Bureau the consumer financial protection functions formerly carried out by the Federal banking agencies, as well as certain authorities formerly carried out by the Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) and the Federal Trade Commission (FTC). As required by section 1062 of the Dodd-Frank Act, 12 U.S.C. 5582, the Secretary of the Treasury selected a

designated transfer date and the Federal banking agencies' functions and authorities transferred to the Bureau on July 21, 2011.

The Dodd-Frank Act authorizes the Bureau to conduct investigations to ascertain whether any person is or has been engaged in conduct that, if proved, would constitute a violation of any provision of Federal consumer financial law. Section 1052 of the Dodd-Frank Act sets forth the parameters that govern these investigations. 12 U.S.C. 5562. Section 1052 became effective immediately upon transfer on July 21, 2011 and did not require rules to implement its provisions. On July 28, 2011, the Bureau issued the interim final rule for the Rules Relating to Investigations (Interim Final Rule) to provide parties involved in Bureau investigations with clarification on how to comply with the statutory requirements relating to Bureau investigations.

#### II. Summary of the Final Rule

Consistent with section 1052 of the Dodd-Frank Act, the final rule for the Rules Relating to Investigations (Final Rule) describes a number of Bureau policies and procedures that apply in an investigational, nonadjudicative setting. Among other things, the Final Rule sets forth (1) the Bureau's authority to conduct investigations, and (2) the rights of persons from whom the Bureau seeks to compel information in investigations.

Like the Interim Final Rule, the Final Rule is modeled on investigative procedures of other law enforcement agencies. For guidance, the Bureau reviewed the procedures currently used by the FTC, the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC), and the prudential regulators, as well as the FTC's recently proposed amendments to its nonadjudicative procedures. In light of the similarities between section 1052 of the Dodd-Frank Act and section 20 of the Federal Trade Commission Act (FTC Act), 15 U.S.C. 41 et seq., the Bureau drew most heavily from the FTC's nonadjudicative procedures in constructing the rules.

The Final Rule lays out the Bureau's authority to conduct investigations before instituting judicial or administrative adjudicatory proceedings under Federal consumer financial law. The Final Rule authorizes the Director, the Assistant Director of the Office of Enforcement, and the Deputy Assistant Directors of the Office of Enforcement to issue civil investigative demands (CIDs) for documentary material, tangible things, written reports, answers to questions, or oral testimony. The demands may be enforced in district court by the Director, the General Counsel, or the Assistant Director of the Office of Enforcement. The Final Rule also details the authority of the Bureau's investigators to conduct investigations and hold investigational hearings pursuant to civil investigative demands for oral testimony.

Furthermore, the Final Rule sets forth the rights of persons from whom the Bureau seeks to compel information in an investigation. Specifically, the Final Rule describes how such persons should be notified of the purpose of the Bureau's investigation. It also details the procedures for filing a petition for an order modifying or setting aside a CID, which the Director is authorized to rule upon. And it describes the process by which persons may obtain copies of or access to documents or testimony they have provided in response to a civil investigative demand. In addition, the Final Rule describes a person's right to counsel at investigational hearings.

#### **III. Legal Authority**

As noted above, section 1052 of the Dodd-Frank Act outlines how the Bureau will conduct investigations and describes the rights of persons from whom the Bureau seeks information in investigations. This section became effective immediately upon the designated transfer date, July 21, 2011, without any requirement that the Bureau first issue procedural rules. Nevertheless, the Bureau believes that the legislative purpose of section 1052 will be furthered by the issuance of rules that specify the manner in which persons can comply with its provisions.

Section 1022 of the Dodd-Frank Act authorizes the Director to prescribe rules as may be necessary or appropriate for the Bureau to administer and carry out the purposes and objectives of Federal consumer financial laws and to prevent evasion of those laws. 12 U.S.C. 5512. The Bureau believes that the Final Rule will effectuate the purpose of section 1052 and facilitate compliance with Bureau investigations.

IV. Overview of Public Comments on the Interim Final Rule

After publication of the Interim Final Rule on July 28, 2011, the Bureau accepted public comments until September 26, 2011. During the comment period, the Bureau received seven comments. Two of the comments were submitted by individual consumers. Four trade associations and a mortgage company also submitted comments. The trade associations represent credit unions, banks, consumer credit companies, members of the real estate finance industry, and other financial institutions.

The commenters generally support the Interim Final Rule. Most sections of the Interim Final Rule received no comment and are being finalized without change. The comments did, however, contain questions and recommendations for the Bureau.

Several of the commenters expressed concern that the Interim Final Rule appeared to provide staff-level Bureau employees with unchecked authority to initiate investigations and issue CIDs, or that the Interim Final Rule otherwise did not provide sufficient oversight for particular actions.

A number of commenters expressed concern about sections of the Interim Final Rule that relate to CIDs. One trade association recommended that a statement of "the purpose and scope" of a Bureau investigation—in addition to a notification of the nature of the conduct constituting the alleged violation under investigation and the applicable provisions of law-be included in CIDs. A commenter suggested that the Bureau require a conference between CID recipients and the Assistant Director of the Office of Enforcement to negotiate the terms of compliance with the demand. Three of the trade associations noted concern with the statement that extensions of time are disfavored for petitions to modify or set aside CIDs. Two commenters questioned who would rule on such petitions without a confirmed Director. One trade association commented that witnesses should be permitted to object to questions demanding information outside of the scope of the investigation during an investigational hearing pursuant to a CID for oral testimony.

A number of commenters expressed concern about maintaining the confidentiality of demand material, sharing information with other State and Federal agencies, and the duties of the custodians of those materials. For example, one trade association and the mortgage company recommended that investigations should remain confidential in all circumstances. Another trade association asserted that the Bureau is not permitted to engage in joint investigations with State attorneys general.

The Bureau reviewed all of the comments on its Interim Final Rule thoroughly and addresses the significant issues they raise herein. Although most sections of the Interim Final Rule received no comment and are being finalized without change, the Bureau has made several changes to the Interim Final Rule based on the comments it received. The comments and these Federal Register/Vol. 77, No. 126/Friday, June 29, 2012/Rules and Regulations

changes are discussed in more detail in parts V and VI of the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION**.

#### **V. General Comments**

Some comments on the Interim Final Rule were not directed at a specific section but rather concerned issues of general applicability. The Bureau addresses those comments in this section and addresses comments related to specific sections of the Interim Final Rule in part VI.

One commenter asked the Bureau to specify who would rule on petitions to set aside or modify CIDs while the Bureau lacked a Director. This commenter also asked who would review requests to the Attorney General under § 1080.12 for authority to immunize witnesses and to order them to testify or provide other information. The President appointed a Director of the Bureau on January 4, 2012. Therefore, both questions posed by this commenter are moot. The Director or any official to whom the Director has delegated his authority pursuant to 12 U.S.C. 5492(b) will rule on petitions to set aside or modify CIDs. Furthermore, the Bureau has revised § 1080.12 to clarify that only the Director has the authority to request approval from the Attorney General for the issuance of an order immunizing witnesses.

A commenter asserted that section 1052(c)(1) of the Dodd-Frank Act prohibits the Bureau from issuing CIDs after the institution of any proceedings under Federal consumer financial laws, including proceedings initiated by a State or a private party. The commenter argued that a CID should be accompanied by a certification that the demand will have no bearing on any ongoing proceeding. Section 1052(c)(1) provides, in relevant part, that "the Bureau may, before the institution of any proceedings under the Federal consumer financial law, issue in writing, and cause to be served upon such person, a civil investigative demand." The language "before the institution of any proceeding under Federal consumer financial law" refers to the institution of proceedings by the Bureau. It does not limit the Bureau's authority to issue CIDs based upon the commencement of a proceeding by other parties.

Another commenter requested that the Bureau exempt all credit unions from Bureau investigations. The Bureau believes that granting an exemption from the Bureau's enforcement authority through the Final Rule would be inappropriate and that there is an insufficient record to support such an exemption.

A commenter recommended that covered persons be allowed to recover attorneys' fees and costs incurred by defending against an investigation that is shown to be without merit. The Dodd-Frank Act does not provide the right to recover fees and costs by defending against an investigation. Further, as explained below, the Bureau believes that the procedures for petitioning to modify or set aside a CID set forth in § 1080.6(d) of the Interim Final Rule (now 1080.6(e) of the Final Rule) provide sufficient protections to a recipient of a demand it believes lacks merit.

#### **VI. Section-by-Section Summary**

#### Section 1080.1 Scope

This section describes the scope of the Interim Final Rule. It makes clear that these rules only apply to investigations under section 1052 of the Dodd-Frank Act. The Bureau received no comment on § 1080.1 of the Interim Final Rule and is adopting it as the Final Rule without change.

#### Section 1080.2 Definitions

This section of the Interim Final Rule defines several terms used throughout the rules. Many of these definitions also may be found in section 1051 of the Dodd-Frank Act.

A commenter questioned the breadth of the definition of the term "Assistant Director of the Division of Enforcement." The commenter argued that because that term was defined to include "any Bureau employee to whom the Assistant Director of the Division of Enforcement has delegated authority to act under this part," the Interim Final Rule could give Bureau employees inappropriately broad authority to take certain actions, such as issuing CIDs.

The Bureau has revised the Final Rule in response to these comments. The Final Rule identifies those with authority to take particular actions under each section of the Final Rule. Sections 1080.4 (initiating and conducting investigations) and 1080.6 (civil investigative demands) of the Final Rule clarify that the authority to initiate investigations and issue CIDs cannot be delegated by the identified officials. The Final Rule also changes the defined term "Division of Enforcement" to reflect the Bureau's current organizational structure.

# Section 1080.3 Policy as to Private Controversies

This section of the Interim Final Rule states the Bureau's policy of pursuing investigations that are in the public interest. Section 1080.3 is consistent with the Bureau's mission to protect consumers by investigating potential violations of Federal consumer financial law. The Bureau received no comments on § 1080.3 of the Interim Final Rule and is adopting it as the Final Rule without change.

# Section 1080.4 Initiating and Conducting Investigations

This section of the Interim Final Rule explains that Bureau investigators are authorized to conduct investigations pursuant to section 1052 of the Dodd-Frank Act.

A commenter observed that this section of the Interim Final Rule did not explicitly provide a procedure for senior agency officials to authorize the opening of an investigation. The commenter argued that only senior agency officials should decide whether to initiate investigations. The commenter questioned whether staff-level employees could open investigations and issue CIDs without sufficient supervision, and noted that the FTC's analogous rule specifically lists the senior officials to whom the Commission has delegated, without power of redelegation, the authority to initiate investigations.

A commenter also expressed concern that the FTC's analogous rule explicitly provides that FTC investigators must comply with the laws of the United States and FTC regulations. According to the commenter, such language is necessary to ensure that the Bureau complies with the Right to Financial Privacy Act (RFPA) to the extent that statute applies to the Bureau. The commenter also believes that this language is needed to guard against investigations undertaken for what the commenter characterized as the impermissible purpose of aiding State attorneys general or State regulators. The commenter suggested that the Bureau add a statement to this section of the Interim Final Rule similar to the FTC's rule requiring compliance with Federal law and agency regulations.

The Final Rule clarifies that only the Assistant Director or any Deputy Assistant Director of the Office of Enforcement has the authority to initiate investigations. The Bureau has significant discretion to determine whether and when to open an investigation, and the public benefits from a process whereby the Bureau can open and close investigations efficiently. But the Bureau did not intend its rules to be interpreted so broadly as to suggest that any staff-level employee could unilaterally open an investigation or issue a CID. The Final Rule also provides that Bureau investigators will perform their duties in accordance with Federal law and Bureau regulations.

#### Section 1080.5 Notification of Purpose

This section of the Interim Final Rule specifies that a person compelled to provide information to the Bureau or to testify in an investigational hearing must be advised of the nature of the conduct constituting the alleged violation under investigation and the applicable provisions of law. This section of the Interim Final Rule implements the requirements for CIDs described in section 1052(c)(2) of the Dodd-Frank Act.

Commenters noted that although the Dodd-Frank Act and the FTC Act both require CIDs to state "the nature of the conduct constituting the alleged violation which is under investigation and the provision of law applicable to such violation," the two agencies' implementing regulations on this topic differ. Both agencies' regulations require a statement of the nature of the conduct at issue and the relevant provisions of law, but the FTC rule also requires that the recipient of the CID be advised of "the purpose and scope" of the investigation. Commenters argued that the Bureau should add this phrase to its rule because excluding it would lead to requests for materials outside the scope of an investigation. One commenter argued that only senior agency officials should authorize investigations to ensure that CIDs are relevant to the purpose and scope of the Bureau's investigations.

The language in § 1080.5 of the Interim Final Rule mirrors the language of the Dodd-Frank Act, which provides that "[e]ach civil investigative demand shall state the nature of the conduct constituting the alleged violation which is under investigation and the provision of law applicable to such violation.' The Bureau believes that the information covered by this statutory language provides sufficient notice to recipients of CIDs. As discussed above, § 1080.4 (initiating and conducting investigations) of the Final Rule limits the authority to open investigations to the Assistant Director or any Deputy Assistant Director of the Office of Enforcement. Similarly, § 1080.6 of the Final Rule (civil investigative demands) limits the authority to issue CIDs to the Director of the Bureau, the Assistant Director of the Office of Enforcement, and the Deputy Assistant Directors of the Office of Enforcement. Thus, one of these identified officials will review and approve the initiation of all investigations and the issuance of all

CIDs. In addition, to the extent recipients of CIDs consider the demands to be for an unauthorized purpose or outside the scope of the investigation, they will have an opportunity to negotiate the terms of compliance pursuant to § 1080.6(c) of the Interim Final Rule (now § 1080.6(d) of the Final Rule) or to petition to set aside or modify the demand pursuant to § 1080.6(d) of the Interim Final Rule (now § 1080.6(e) of the Final Rule).

The Bureau therefore adopts this section of the Interim Final Rule as the Final Rule without change.

## Section 1080.6 Civil Investigative Demands

This section of the Interim Final Rule lays out the Bureau's procedures for issuing CIDs. It authorizes the Assistant Director of the Office of Enforcement to issue CIDs for documentary material, tangible things, written reports, answers to questions, and oral testimony. This section of the Interim Final Rule details the information that must be included in CIDs and the requirement that responses be made under a sworn certificate. Section 1080.6 of the Interim Final Rule also authorizes the Assistant Director of the Office of Enforcement to negotiate and approve the terms of compliance with CIDs and grant extensions for good cause. Finally, this section of the Interim Final Rule describes the procedures for seeking an order to modify or set aside a CID, which the Director is authorized to rule upon.

One commenter argued that § 1080.6(a) permits almost any Bureau employee to issue CIDs without sufficient supervision. The commenter stated that this lack of oversight is problematic and does not reflect Congress' intent when it enacted the Act.

Section 1080.6(a) of the Final Rule limits the authority to issue CIDs to the Director, the Assistant Director of the Office of Enforcement, and the Deputy Assistant Directors of the Office of Enforcement. This change to the Final Rule balances the efficiency of the Bureau's investigative process with appropriate supervision and oversight.

A commenter suggested that the Bureau require a conference between the CID recipient and the Assistant Director of the Office of Enforcement within ten days of service of the CID to negotiate and approve the terms of compliance. The commenter envisioned a conference analogous to a discovery planning conference under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, during which the parties could discuss requests for information, appropriate limitations on the scope of requests, issues related to electronically stored information (ESI), issues related to privilege and confidential information, and a reasonable time for compliance. The commenter stated that this type of conference would better ensure prompt and efficient production of material and information related to the investigation.

The Bureau agrees that a conference between the parties within ten calendar days of serving a CID is likely to improve the efficiency of investigations, and § 1080.6(c) of the Final Rule provides for such a conference. The Final Rule does not, however, adopt the suggestion that the Assistant Director of the Office of Enforcement preside over all such conferences.

Several commenters also noted concern with the statement in § 1080.6(d) of the Interim Final Rule disfavoring extensions of time for petitioning for an order modifying or setting aside CIDs. One commenter argued that the 20-day period to file petitions, for which extensions of time are disfavored, is inconsistent with the "reasonable" period of time for compliance with the CID set forth in § 1080.6(a). The commenter also argued that this timeframe leaves a short period for the CID recipient to decide which documents are privileged or otherwise protected and to file a petition articulating privilege and scope objections. Another commenter noted that the analogous FTC rules do not include a provision disfavoring extensions for petitions to modify or set aside a CID. These commenters recommended that the Bureau delete the sentence related to disfavoring extensions. One commenter recommended that the rules be corrected to provide an independent review if a covered person believes a CID is without merit.

Like the Interim Final Rule, the Final Rule includes a provision disfavoring extensions of time for petitions to modify or set aside a CID. The Bureau believes its policy of disfavoring extensions is appropriate in light of its significant interest in promoting an efficient process for seeking materials through CIDs. By disfavoring extensions, the Bureau means to prompt recipients to decide within 20 days whether they intend to comply with the CID. The Final Rule also clarifies that this 20-day period should be computed with calendar days.

The Bureau notes that § 1080.6(d) of the Interim Final Rule (now § 1080.6(e) of the Final Rule) only provides the due date for a petition for an order modifying or setting aside a CID. It does not require recipients to comply fully

with CIDs within 20 days. In addition, the Final Rule provides several options to recipients of CIDs that need additional time to respond. For example, the recipient may negotiate for a reasonable extension of time for compliance or a rolling document production schedule pursuant to § 1080.6(c) of the Interim Final Rule (now § 1080.6(d) of the Final Rule).

Section 1080.6(e) of the Final Rule clarifies that recipients of CIDs should not assert claims of privilege through a petition for an order modifying or setting aside a CID. Instead, when privilege is the only basis for withholding particular materials, they should utilize the procedures set forth in § 1080.8 (withholding requested material) of the Final Rule. Section 1080.6(e) of the Final Rule also lays out the authority of Bureau investigators to provide to the Director a reply to a petition seeking an order modifying or setting aside a CID. Specifically, the Final Rule states that Bureau investigators may provide the Director with a statement setting forth any factual and legal responses to a petition. The Bureau will not make these statements or any other internal deliberations part of the Bureau's public records. Section 1080.6(g) of the Final Rule clarifies that the Bureau, however, will make publicly available both the petition and the Director's order in response. Section 1080.6(g) of the Final Rule also clarifies that if a CID recipient wants to prevent the Director from making the petition public, any showing of good cause must be made no later than the time the petition is filed. The Final Rule also adds a provision clarifying how the Bureau will serve the petitioner with the Director's order.

Finally, the Bureau believes the procedures for petitions to modify or set aside a CID set forth in the Final Rule adequately protect a covered person who believes a CID is without merit, and that an additional independent review is unnecessary.

## Section 1080.7 Investigational Hearings

This section of the Interim Final Rule describes the procedures for investigational hearings initiated pursuant to a CID for oral testimony. It also lays out the roles and responsibilities of the Bureau investigator conducting the investigational hearing, which include excluding unauthorized persons from the hearing room and ensuring that the investigational hearing is transcribed, the witness is duly sworn, the transcript is a true record of the testimony, and the transcript is provided to the designated custodian.

A commenter argued that the Bureau is not authorized to conduct joint investigations with State attorneys general under the Dodd-Frank Act and, correspondingly, State attorneys general cannot attend an investigational hearing as a representative of an agency with whom the Bureau is conducting a joint investigation. The commenter argued that Congress distinguished between State attorneys general and State regulatory agencies in section 1042 of the Dodd-Frank Act and that State attorneys general are therefore not ''agencies'' with whom the Bureau can partner. The commenter also asserted that the Bureau cannot share a copy of the transcript of an investigational hearing with another agency without the consent of the witness.

Another commenter argued that representatives of agencies with which the Bureau is conducting a joint investigation may be present at an investigational hearing only with the witness's consent. This commenter stated that the Bureau should recognize in the rules that a witness who does not consent to the presence of a representative of another agency at an investigational hearing should not be presumed guilty.

The Dodd-Frank Act states that the Bureau "may engage in joint investigations and requests for information, as authorized under this title." This statutory language permits the Bureau to engage in joint investigations with State or Federal law enforcement agencies, including State attorneys general, with jurisdiction that overlaps with the Bureau's. The Bureau's disclosure rules also permit the Bureau to share certain confidential information, including investigational hearing transcripts, with Federal or State agencies to the extent the disclosure is relevant to the exercise of an agency's statutory or regulatory authority. See 12 CFR 1070.43(b). In addition, neither the Dodd-Frank Act nor the rules require the consent of the witness to permit a representative of an agency with which the Bureau is conducting a joint investigation to be present at the hearing. Consent is required only when people other than those listed in the rule are included.

Thus, the Bureau adopts § 1080.7 of the Interim Final Rule as the Final Rule without change.

## Section 1080.8 Withholding Requested Material

This section of the Interim Final Rule describes the procedures that apply when persons withhold material responsive to a CID. It requires the recipient of the CID to assert a privilege by the production date and, if so directed in the CID, also to submit a detailed schedule of the items withheld. Section 1080.8 also sets forth the procedures for handling the disclosure of privileged or protected information or communications.

The Bureau received no comment on § 1080.8 of the Interim Final Rule and is adopting it as the Final Rule without substantive change.

## Section 1080.9 Rights of Witnesses in Investigations

This section of the Interim Final Rule describes the rights of persons compelled to submit information or provide testimony in an investigation. It details the procedures for obtaining a copy of submitted documents or a copy of or access to a transcript of the person's testimony. This section of the Interim Final Rule also describes a witness's right to make changes to his or her transcript and the rules for signing the transcript.

Section 1080.9 of the Interim Final Rule lays out a person's right to counsel at an investigational hearing and describes his or her counsel's right to advise the witness as to any question posed for which an objection may properly be made. It also describes the witness's or counsel's rights to object to questions or requests that the witness is privileged to refuse to answer. This section of the Interim Final Rule states that counsel for the witness may not otherwise object to questions or interrupt the examination to make statements on the record but may request that the witness have an opportunity to clarify any of his or her answers. Finally, this section of the Interim Final Rule authorizes the Bureau investigator to take all necessary action during the course of the hearing to avoid delay and to prevent or restrain disorderly, dilatory, obstructionist, or contumacious conduct, or contemptuous language

A commenter noted that under the Interim Final Rule witnesses could not object during an investigational hearing on the ground that a question was outside the scope of the investigation. The commenter argued that a covered person's inability to raise such objections might allow "a fishing expedition." The commenter recommended amending § 1080.9(b) to allow objections based on scope.

Section 1052(c)(13)(D)(iii) of the Dodd-Frank Act states, in relevant part:

[a]n objection may properly be made, received, and entered upon the record when it is claimed that such person is entitled to refuse to answer the question on grounds of any constitutional or other legal right or privilege, including the privilege against selfincrimination, but the person shall not otherwise object to or refuse to answer any question, and such person or attorney shall not otherwise interrupt the oral examination.

Thus, to the extent the scope objection was grounded in a witness's constitutional or other legal right, it would be a proper objection.

The Final Rule clarifies that counsel may confer with a witness while a question is pending or instruct a witness not to answer a question only if an objection based on privilege or work product may properly be made. The Final Rule also describes counsel's limited ability to make additional objections based on other constitutional or legal rights. The Final Rule provides that if an attorney has refused to comply with his or her obligations in the rules of this part, or has allegedly engaged in disorderly, dilatory, obstructionist, or contumacious conduct, or contemptuous language during an investigational hearing, the Bureau may take further action, including action to suspend or disbar the attorney from further participation in the investigation or further practice before the Bureau pursuant to 12 CFR 1081.107(c). The Final Rule also includes other nonsubstantive changes, including clarifying that the 30-day period that the witness has to sign and submit his or her transcript should be computed using calendar days.

#### Section 1080.10 Noncompliance With Civil Investigative Demands

This section of the Interim Final Rule authorizes the Director, the Assistant Director of the Office of Enforcement, and the General Counsel to initiate an action to enforce a CID in connection with the failure or refusal of a person to comply with, or to obey, a CID. In addition, they are authorized to seek civil contempt or other appropriate relief in cases where a court order enforcing a CID has been violated.

The Bureau received no comment on § 1080.10 of the Interim Final Rule and is adopting it as the Final Rule without substantive change.

#### Section 1080.11 Disposition

This section of the Interim Final Rule explains that an enforcement action may be instituted in Federal or State court or through administrative proceedings when warranted by the facts disclosed by an investigation. It further provides that the Bureau may refer investigations to appropriate Federal, State, or foreign government agencies as appropriate. This section of the Interim Final Rule also authorizes the Assistant Director of the Office of Enforcement to close the investigation when the facts of an investigation indicate an enforcement action is not necessary or warranted in the public interest.

One commenter indicated that the Bureau's authority to refer investigations to other law enforcement agencies should be limited to circumstances when it is expressly authorized to do so by the Dodd-Frank Act, an enumerated consumer financial law, or other Federal law, because of potential risks to the confidentiality of the investigatory files.

The Bureau's ability to refer matters to appropriate law enforcement agencies is inherent in the Bureau's authority and is a corollary to the Bureau's statutorily recognized ability to conduct joint investigations. The documentary materials and tangible things obtained by the Bureau pursuant to a CID are subject to the requirements and procedures relating to disclosure of records and information in part 1070 of this title. These procedures for sharing information with law enforcement agencies provide significant and sufficient protections for these materials.

The Bureau has amended § 1080.11 to clarify that the Assistant Director and any Deputy Assistant Director of the Office of Enforcement are authorized to close investigations.

The Bureau adopts § 1080.11 of the Interim Final Rule with the changes discussed above.

#### Section 1080.12 Orders Requiring Witnesses To Testify or Provide Other Information and Granting Immunity

This section of the Interim Final Rule authorizes the Assistant Director of the Office of Enforcement to request approval from the Attorney General for the issuance of an order requiring a witness to testify or provide other information and granting immunity under 18 U.S.C. 6004. The Interim Final Rule also sets forth the Bureau's right to review the exercise of these functions and states that the Bureau will entertain an appeal from an order requiring a witness to testify or provide other information only upon a showing that a substantial question is involved, the determination of which is essential to serve the interests of justice. Finally, this section of the Interim Final Rule describes the applicable rules and time limits for such appeals.

A commenter questioned whether this section of the Interim Final Rule would permit any Bureau employee to request that the Attorney General approve the issuance of an order granting immunity under 18 U.S.C. 6004 and requiring a witness to testify or provide information. The commenter noted that the Dodd-Frank Act authorizes the Bureau, with the Attorney General's permission, to compel a witness to testify under 18 U.S.C. 6004 if the witness invokes his or her privilege against self-incrimination. The commenter argued that this section should delegate the authority to seek permission to compel testimony to a specific individual to provide accountability and ensure that information is not disclosed to the Attorney General in a manner that violates the Right to Financial Privacy Act. The commenter noted that the FTC's analogous rule specifically lists the senior agency officials who are authorized to make such requests to the Attorney General, and identifies a liaison officer through whom such requests must be made. The commenter also suggested that § 1080.12(b) of the Interim Final Rule, which provides that the Assistant Director's exercise of this authority is subject to review by "the Bureau," specify who will conduct this review.

The Final Rule provides that only the Director of the Bureau has the authority to request approval from the Attorney General for the issuance of an order requiring a witness to testify or provide other information and granting immunity under 18 U.S.C. 6004. This change addresses the concern that requests for witness immunity would be made without oversight. Limiting this authority to the Director provides sufficient accountability.

#### Section 1080.13 Custodians

This section of the Interim Final Rule describes the procedures for designating a custodian and deputy custodian for material produced pursuant to a CID in an investigation. It also states that these materials are for the official use of the Bureau, but, upon notice to the custodian, must be made available for examination during regular office hours by the person who produced them.

A commenter suggested that the Bureau should detail the particular duties of custodians designated under this section and that, without an enumerated list of duties, the custodian would not have any responsibilities regarding CID materials. The commenter noted that the FTC Act requires the custodian to take specific actions, while the Dodd-Frank Act does not. The commenter suggested specifying a series of custodial duties, including (1) taking and maintaining custody of all materials submitted pursuant to CIDs or subpoenas that the Bureau issues, including transcripts of oral testimony taken by the Bureau; (2) maintaining confidentiality of those materials as required by applicable law; (3) providing the materials to either House of Congress upon request, after ten days notice to the party that owns or submitted the materials; (4) producing any materials as required by a court of competent jurisdiction; and (5) complying at all times with the Trade Secrets Act.

Section 1052 of the Dodd-Frank Act sets forth the duties of the Bureau's custodian. Sections 1052(c)(3) through (c)(6) of the Dodd-Frank Act give the custodian responsibility for receiving documentary material, tangible things, written reports, answers to questions, and transcripts of oral testimony given by any person in compliance with any CID. Section 1052(d) of the Dodd-Frank Act, as well as the Bureau's Rules for Disclosure of Records and Information in part 1070 of this title, outline the requirements for the confidential treatment of demand material. Section 1052(g) addresses custodial control and provides that a person may file, in the district court of the United States for the judicial district within which the office of the custodian is situated, a petition for an order of such court requiring the performance by the custodian of any duty imposed upon him by section 1052 of the Dodd-Frank Act or by Bureau rule. These duties and obligations do not require additional clarification by rule.

The Final Rule clarifies that the custodian has the powers and duties of both section 1052 of the Dodd-Frank Act and 12 CFR 1070.3.

The Bureau adopts § 1080.13 of the Interim Final Rule with the changes discussed above.

#### Section 1080.14 Confidential Treatment of Demand Material and Non-Public Nature of Investigations

Section 1080.14 of the Interim Final Rule explains that documentary materials, written reports, answers to questions, tangible things, or transcripts of oral testimony received by the Bureau in any form or format pursuant to a CID are subject to the requirements and procedures relating to disclosure of records and information in part 1070 of this title. This section of the Interim Final Rule also states that investigations generally are non-public. A Bureau investigator may disclose the existence of an investigation to the extent necessary to advance the investigation.

A commenter recommended that the Bureau revise this section to mandate that Bureau investigations remain confidential. The commenter noted the potential reputation risk to an entity if an investigation is disclosed to the public. In addition, the commenter argued that failing to conduct investigations confidentially will increase litigation risk. One commenter recommended that the Bureau issue a public absolution of a company if the Bureau does not maintain the confidentiality of an investigation.

Section 1080.14 of the Interim Final Rule provides that investigations generally will not be disclosed to the public, but permits Bureau investigators to disclose the existence of an investigation when necessary to advance the investigation. The Interim Final Rule does not contemplate publicizing an investigation, but rather disclosing the existence of the investigation to, for example, a potential witness or third party with potentially relevant information when doing so is necessary to advance the investigation. This limited exception sufficiently balances the concerns expressed by the commenter with the Bureau's need to obtain information efficiently.

Thus, the Bureau adopts § 1080.14 of the Interim Final Rule as the Final Rule without change.

#### VII. Section 1022(b)(2) Provisions

In developing the Final Rule, the Bureau has considered the potential benefits, costs, and impacts, and has consulted or offered to consult with the prudential regulators, HUD, the SEC, the Department of Justice, and the FTC, including with regard to consistency with any prudential, market, or systemic objectives administered by such agencies.<sup>1</sup>

The Final Rule neither imposes any obligations on consumers nor is expected to have any appreciable impact on their access to consumer financial products or services. Rather, the Final Rule provides a clear, efficient mechanism for investigating compliance with the Federal consumer financial laws, which benefits consumers by creating a systematic process to protect them from unlawful behavior. The Final Rule imposes certain obligations on covered persons who receive CIDs in Bureau investigations. Specifically, as described above, the Final Rule sets forth the process for complying with or objecting to CIDs for documentary material, tangible things, written reports or answers to questions, and oral testimony. Most obligations in the Final Rule stem from express language in the Dodd-Frank Act and do not impose additional burdens on covered persons.

To the extent that the Final Rule includes provisions not expressly required by statute, these provisions benefit covered persons by providing clarity and certainty. In addition, the Final Rule vests the Bureau with discretion to modify CIDs or extend the time for compliance for good cause. This flexibility benefits covered persons by enabling the Bureau to assess the cost of compliance with a civil investigative demand in a particular circumstance and take appropriate steps to mitigate any unreasonable compliance burden.

Moreover, because the Final Rule is largely based on section 20 of the FTC Act and its corresponding regulations, it should present an existing, stable model of investigatory procedures to covered persons. This likely familiarity to covered persons should further reduce the compliance costs for covered persons.

The Final Rule provides that requests for extensions of time to file petitions to modify or set aside CIDs are disfavored. This may impose a burden on covered entities in some cases, but it may also lead to a more expeditious resolution of matters, reducing uncertainty. Furthermore, the Final Rule has no unique impact on insured depository institutions or insured credit unions with less than \$10 billion in assets as described in section 1026(a) of the Dodd-Frank Act. Nor does the Final Rule have a unique impact on rural consumers.

A commenter suggested that the Bureau conduct a nonpublic study of the impact of complying with a CID on the entities who have been subjected to them by other agencies, with specific focus on those that were found not to have violated the law. As the commenter implicitly recognizes, such data does not currently exist and thus was not reasonably available to the Bureau in finalizing the Interim Final Rule. Moreover, as explained above, most of the costs associated with complying with a CID result from the Dodd-Frank Act, which authorizes the Bureau to issue such demands.

A commenter asserted that disfavoring extensions of petitions to

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Section 1022(b)(2)(A) of the Dodd-Frank Act addresses the consideration of the potential benefits and costs of regulation to consumers and covered persons, including the potential reduction of access by consumers to consumer financial products or services; the impact on depository institutions and credit unions with \$10 billion or less in total assets as described in section 1026 of the Dodd-Frank Act; and the impact on consumers in rural areas. Section 1022(b)(2)(B) addresses consultation between the Bureau and other Federal agencies during the rulemaking process. The manner and extent to which these provisions apply to procedural rules and benefits, costs and impacts that are compelled by statutory changes rather than discretionary Bureau action is unclear. Nevertheless, to inform this rulemaking more fully, the Bureau performed the described analyses and consultations.

39108

Federal Register/Vol. 77, No. 126/Friday, June 29, 2012/Rules and Regulations

modify or set aside CIDs will require the recipient to conduct a full review of the demanded material within the normal 20-day period in order to comply with the deadline for filing a petition. Under the Final Rule, recipients of a CID are not required to comply fully within twenty days; rather, they are required simply to decide whether they will comply with the demand at all. The Assistant Director of the Office of Enforcement and the Deputy Assistant Directors of the Office of Enforcement have the discretion to negotiate and approve the terms of satisfactory compliance with CIDs and, for good cause shown, may extend the time prescribed for compliance. Thus, the Final Rule provides reasonable steps to mitigate compliance burden while simultaneously protecting the Bureau's law enforcement interests.

Another commenter stated that the four interim final rules that the Bureau promulgated together on July 28, 2011 failed to satisfy the rulemaking requirements under section 1022 of the Dodd-Frank Act. Specifically, the commenter stated that "the CFPB's analysis of the costs and benefits of its rules does not recognize the significant costs the CFPB imposes on covered persons." The Bureau believes that it appropriately considered the benefits, costs, and impacts of the Interim Final Rule pursuant to section 1022. Notably, the commenter did not identify any specific costs to covered persons that are not discussed in Part C of the SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION to the Interim Final Rule.

#### **VIII. Procedural Requirements**

As noted in publishing the Interim Final Rule, under the Administrative Procedure Act, 5 U.S.C. 553(b), notice and comment is not required for rules of agency organization, procedure, or practice. As discussed in the preamble to the Interim Final Rule, the Bureau confirms its finding that this is a procedural rule for which notice and comment is not required. In addition, because the Final Rule relates solely to agency procedure and practice, it is not subject to the 30-day delayed effective date for substantive rules under section 553(d) of the Administrative Procedure Act, 5 U.S.C. 551 et seq. Because no notice of proposed rulemaking is required, the requirements of the Regulatory Flexibility Act, 5 U.S.C. 601(2) do not apply. Finally, the Bureau has determined that this Final Rule does not impose any new recordkeeping, reporting, or disclosure requirements on covered entities or members of the public that would be collections of

information requiring approval under 44 U.S.C. 3501. et seq.

#### List of Subjects in 12 CFR Part 1080

Administrative practice and procedure, Banking, Banks, Consumer protection, Credit, Credit unions, Investigations, Law enforcement, National banks, Savings associations, Trade practices.

For the reasons set forth in the preamble, the Bureau of Consumer Financial Protection revises part 1080 to Chapter X in Title 12 of the Code of Federal Regulations to read as follows:

#### PART 1080-RULES RELATING TO **INVESTIGATIONS**

Sec.

- 1080.1 Scope.
- 1080.2 Definitions.
- Policy as to private controversies. 1080.3
- 1080.4 Initiating and conducting
- investigations. 1080.5
- Notification of purpose.
- Civil investigative demands. 1080.6
- 1080.7 Investigational hearings. 1080.8 Withholding requested material.
- 1080.9 Rights of witnesses in investigations.
- 1080.10 Noncompliance with civil investigative demands.
- 1080.11 Disposition.
- Orders requiring witnesses to 1080.12 testify or provide other information and granting immunity.
- 1080.13 Custodians.
- 1080.14 Confidential treatment of demand material and non-public nature of investigations

Authority: Pub. L. 111–203, Title X, 12 U.S.C. 5481 et seq.

#### §1080.1 Scope.

The rules of this part apply to Bureau investigations conducted pursuant to section 1052 of the Dodd-Frank Act, 12 U.S.C. 5562.

#### § 1080.2 Definitions.

For the purposes of this part, unless explicitly stated to the contrary: Bureau means the Bureau of

**Consumer Financial Protection.** Bureau investigation means any inquiry conducted by a Bureau investigator for the purpose of ascertaining whether any person is or has been engaged in any conduct that is

a violation. Bureau investigator means any attorney or investigator employed by the Bureau who is charged with the duty of enforcing or carrying into effect any Federal consumer financial law.

Custodian means the custodian or any deputy custodian designated by the Bureau for the purpose of maintaining custody of information produced pursuant to this part.

Director means the Director of the Bureau or a person authorized to

perform the functions of the Director in accordance with the law.

Documentary material means the original or any copy of any book, document, record, report, memorandum, paper, communication, tabulation, chart, log, electronic file, or other data or data compilation stored in any medium, including electronically stored information.

Dodd-Frank Act means the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Financial Protection Act of 2010, as amended, Public Law 111-203 (July 21, 2010), Title X, codified at 12 U.S.C. 5481 et seq.

Electronically stored information (ESI) means any information stored in any electronic medium from which information can be obtained either directly or, if necessary, after translation by the responding party into a reasonably usable form.

Office of Enforcement means the office of the Bureau responsible for enforcement of Federal consumer financial law.

Person means an individual, partnership, company, corporation, association (incorporated or unincorporated), trust, estate, cooperative organization, or other entity.

Violation means any act or omission that, if proved, would constitute a violation of any provision of Federal consumer financial law.

#### §1080.3 Policy as to private controversies.

The Bureau shall act only in the public interest and will not initiate an investigation or take other enforcement action when the alleged violation is merely a matter of private controversy and does not tend to affect adversely the public interest.

#### §1080.4 Initiating and conducting investigations.

The Assistant Director of the Office of Enforcement and the Deputy Assistant Directors of the Office of Enforcement have the nondelegable authority to initiate investigations. Bureau investigations are conducted by Bureau investigators designated and duly authorized under section 1052 of the Dodd-Frank Act, 12 U.S.C. 5562, to conduct such investigations. Bureau investigators are authorized to exercise and perform their duties in accordance with the laws of the United States and the regulations of the Bureau.

#### §1080.5 Notification of purpose.

Any person compelled to furnish documentary material, tangible things, written reports or answers to questions, oral testimony, or any combination of

such material, answers, or testimony to the Bureau shall be advised of the nature of the conduct constituting the alleged violation that is under investigation and the provisions of law applicable to such violation.

#### §1080.6 Civil investigative demands.

(a) In general. In accordance with section 1052(c) of the Act, the Director of the Bureau, the Assistant Director of the Office of Enforcement, and the Deputy Assistant Directors of the Office of Enforcement, have the nondelegable authority to issue a civil investigative demand in any Bureau investigation directing the person named therein to produce documentary material for inspection and copying or reproduction in the form or medium requested by the Bureau; to submit tangible things; to provide a written report or answers to questions; to appear before a designated representative at a designated time and place to testify about documentary material, tangible things, or other information; and to furnish any combination of such material, things, answers, or testimony.

(1) Documentary material. (i) Civil investigative demands for the production of documentary material shall describe each class of material to be produced with such definiteness and certainty as to permit such material to be fairly identified, prescribe a return date or dates that will provide a reasonable period of time within which the material so demanded may be assembled and made available for inspection and copying or reproduction, and identify the custodian to whom such material shall be made available. Documentary material for which a civil investigative demand has been issued shall be made available as prescribed in the civil investigative demand.

(ii) Production of documentary material in response to a civil investigative demand shall be made under a sworn certificate, in such form as the demand designates, by the person to whom the demand is directed or, if not a natural person, by any person having knowledge of the facts and circumstances relating to such production, to the effect that all of the documentary material required by the demand and in the possession, custody, or control of the person to whom the demand is directed has been produced and made available to the custodian.

(2) *Tangible things*. (i) Civil investigative demands for tangible things shall describe each class of tangible things to be produced with such definiteness and certainty as to permit such things to be fairly identified, prescribe a return date or

dates which will provide a reasonable period of time within which the things so demanded may be assembled and submitted, and identify the custodian to whom such things shall be submitted.

(ii) Submissions of tangible things in response to a civil investigative demand shall be made under a sworn certificate, in such form as the demand designates, by the person to whom the demand is directed or, if not a natural person, by any person having knowledge of the facts and circumstances relating to such production, to the effect that all of the tangible things required by the demand and in the possession, custody, or control of the person to whom the demand is directed have been submitted to the custodian.

(3) Written reports or answers to questions. (i) Civil investigative demands for written reports or answers to questions shall propound with definiteness and certainty the reports to be produced or the questions to be answered, prescribe a date or dates at which time written reports or answers to questions shall be submitted, and identify the custodian to whom such reports or answers shall be submitted.

(ii) Each reporting requirement or question in a civil investigative demand shall be answered separately and fully in writing under oath. Responses to a civil investigative demand for a written report or answers to questions shall be made under a sworn certificate, in such form as the demand designates, by the person to whom the demand is directed or, if not a natural person, by any person responsible for answering each reporting requirement or question, to the effect that all of the information required by the demand and in the possession, custody, control, or knowledge of the person to whom the demand is directed has been submitted to the custodian.

(4) Oral testimony. (i) Civil investigative demands for the giving of oral testimony shall prescribe a date, time, and place at which oral testimony shall be commenced, and identify a Bureau investigator who shall conduct the investigation and the custodian to whom the transcript of such investigation shall be submitted. Oral testimony in response to a civil investigative demand shall be taken in accordance with the procedures for investigational hearings prescribed by §§ 1080.7 and 1080.9 of this part.

(ii) Where a civil investigative demand requires oral testimony from an entity, the civil investigative demand shall describe with reasonable particularity the matters for examination and the entity must designate one or more officers, directors, or managing agents, or designate other persons who consent to testify on its behalf. Unless a single individual is designated by the entity, the entity must designate the matters on which each designee will testify. The individuals designated must testify about information known or reasonably available to the entity and their testimony shall be binding on the entity.

(b) Manner and form of production of ESI. When a civil investigative demand requires the production of ESI, it shall be produced in accordance with the instructions provided by the Bureau regarding the manner and form of production. Absent any instructions as to the form for producing ESI, ESI must be produced in the form in which it is ordinarily maintained or in a reasonably usable form.

(c) Meet and confer. The recipient of a civil investigative demand shall meet and confer with a Bureau investigator within 10 calendar days after receipt of the demand or before the deadline for filing a petition to modify or set aside the demand, whichever is earlier, to discuss and attempt to resolve all issues regarding compliance with the civil investigative demand. The Assistant Director of the Office of Enforcement and the Deputy Assistant Directors of the Office of Enforcement may authorize the waiver of this requirement for routine third-party civil investigative demands or in other circumstances where he or she determines that a meeting is unnecessary. The meeting may be in person or by telephone.

(1) *Personnel.* The recipient must make available at the meeting personnel with the knowledge necessary to resolve any issues relevant to compliance with the demand. Such personnel could include individuals knowledgeable about the recipient's information or records management systems and/or the recipient's organizational structure.

(2) *ESI*. If the civil investigative demand seeks ESI, the recipient shall ensure that a person familiar with its ESI systems and methods of retrieval participates in the meeting.

(3) *Petitions.* The Bureau will not consider petitions to set aside or modify a civil investigative demand unless the recipient has meaningfully engaged in the meet and confer process described in this subsection and will consider only issues raised during the meet and confer process.

(d) *Compliance.* The Assistant Director of the Office of Enforcement and the Deputy Assistant Directors of the Office of Enforcement are authorized to negotiate and approve the terms of satisfactory compliance with civil investigative demands and, for good

cause shown, may extend the time prescribed for compliance.

(e) Petition for order modifying or setting aside demand—in general. Any petition for an order modifying or setting aside a civil investigative demand shall be filed with the Executive Secretary of the Bureau with a copy to the Assistant Director of the Office of Enforcement within 20 calendar days after service of the civil investigative demand, or, if the return date is less than 20 calendar days after service, prior to the return date. Such petition shall set forth all factual and legal objections to the civil investigative demand, including all appropriate arguments, affidavits, and other supporting documentation. The attorney who objects to a demand must sign any objections.

(1) Statement. Each petition shall be accompanied by a signed statement representing that counsel for the petitioner has conferred with counsel for the Bureau pursuant to section 1080.6(c) in a good-faith effort to resolve by agreement the issues raised by the petition and has been unable to reach such an agreement. If some of the matters in controversy have been resolved by agreement, the statement shall specify the matters so resolved and the matters remaining unresolved. The statement shall recite the date, time, and place of each such meeting between counsel, and the names of all parties participating in each such meeting.

(2) *Extensions of time.* The Assistant Director of the Office of Enforcement and the Deputy Assistant Directors of the Office of Enforcement are authorized to rule upon requests for extensions of time within which to file such petitions. Requests for extensions of time are disfavored.

(3) Bureau investigator response. Bureau investigators may, without serving the petitioner, provide the Director with a statement setting forth any factual and legal response to a petition for an order modifying or setting aside the demand.

(4) *Disposition.* The Director has the authority to rule upon a petition for an order modifying or setting aside a civil investigative demand. The order may be served on the petitioner via email, facsimile, or any other method reasonably calculated to provide notice of the order to the petitioner.

(f) *Stay of compliance period*. The timely filing of a petition for an order modifying or setting aside a civil investigative demand shall stay the time permitted for compliance with the portion challenged. If the petition is denied in whole or in part, the ruling will specify a new return date.

(g) *Public disclosure.* All such petitions and the Director's orders in response to those petitions are part of the public records of the Bureau unless the Bureau determines otherwise for good cause shown. Any showing of good cause must be made no later than the time the petition is filed.

#### § 1080.7 Investigational hearings.

(a) Investigational hearings, as distinguished from hearings in adjudicative proceedings, may be conducted pursuant to a civil investigative demand for the giving of oral testimony in the course of any Bureau investigation, including inquiries initiated for the purpose of determining whether or not a respondent is complying with an order of the Bureau.

(b) Investigational hearings shall be conducted by any Bureau investigator for the purpose of hearing the testimony of witnesses and receiving documentary material, tangible things, or other information relating to any subject under investigation. Such hearings shall be under oath or affirmation and stenographically reported, and a transcript thereof shall be made a part of the record of the investigation. The Bureau investigator conducting the investigational hearing also may direct that the testimony be recorded by audio, audiovisual, or other means, in which case the recording shall be made a part of the record of the investigation as well.

(c) In investigational hearings, the Bureau investigators shall exclude from the hearing room all persons except the person being examined, his or her counsel, the officer before whom the testimony is to be taken, any investigator or representative of an agency with which the Bureau is engaged in a joint investigation, and any individual transcribing or recording such testimony. At the discretion of the Bureau investigator, and with the consent of the person being examined, persons other than those listed in this paragraph may be present in the hearing room. The Bureau investigator shall certify or direct the individual transcribing the testimony to certify on the transcript that the witness was duly sworn and that the transcript is a true record of the testimony given by the witness. A copy of the transcript shall be forwarded promptly by the Bureau investigator to the custodian designated in section 1080.13.

**§ 1080.8** Withholding requested material. (a) Any person withholding material responsive to a civil investigative demand or any other request for

production of material shall assert a claim of privilege not later than the date set for the production of material. Such person shall, if so directed in the civil investigative demand or other request for production, submit, together with such claim, a schedule of the items withheld which states, as to each such item, the type, specific subject matter, and date of the item; the names, addresses, positions, and organizations of all authors and recipients of the item; and the specific grounds for claiming that the item is privileged. The person who submits the schedule and the attorney stating the grounds for a claim that any item is privileged must sign it.

(b) A person withholding material solely for reasons described in this subsection shall comply with the requirements of this subsection in lieu of filing a petition for an order modifying or setting aside a civil investigative demand pursuant to section 1080.6(e).

(c) Disclosure of privileged or protected information or communications produced pursuant to a civil investigative demand shall be handled as follows:

(1) The disclosure of privileged or protected information or communications shall not operate as a waiver with respect to the Bureau if:

(i) The disclosure was inadvertent; (ii) The holder of the privilege or protection took reasonable steps to prevent disclosure; and

(iii) The holder promptly took reasonable steps to rectify the error, including notifying a Bureau investigator of the claim of privilege or protection and the basis for it.

(2) After being notified, the Bureau investigator must promptly return, sequester, or destroy the specified information and any copies; must not use or disclose the information until the claim is resolved; must take reasonable steps to retrieve the information if he or she disclosed it before being notified; and, if appropriate, may sequester such material until such time as a hearing officer or court rules on the merits of the claim of privilege or protection. The producing party must preserve the information until the claim is resolved.

(3) The disclosure of privileged or protected information or communications shall waive the privilege or protection with respect to the Bureau as to undisclosed information or communications only if:

(i) The waiver is intentional;

(ii) The disclosed and undisclosed information or communications concern the same subject matter; and

(iii) They ought in fairness to be considered together.

## § 1080.9 Rights of witnesses in investigations.

(a) Any person compelled to submit documentary material, tangible things, or written reports or answers to questions to the Bureau, or to testify in an investigational hearing, shall be entitled to retain a copy or, on payment of lawfully prescribed costs, request a copy of the materials, things, reports, or written answers submitted, or a transcript of his or her testimony. The Bureau, however, may for good cause deny such a request and limit the witness to inspection of the official transcript of the testimony. Upon completion of transcription of the testimony of the witness, the witness shall be offered an opportunity to read the transcript of his or her testimony. Any changes by the witness shall be entered and identified upon the transcript by the Bureau investigator with a statement of the reasons given by the witness for making such changes. The transcript shall then be signed by the witness and submitted to the Bureau unless the witness cannot be found, is ill, waives in writing his or her right to signature, or refuses to sign. If the signed transcript is not submitted to the Bureau within 30 calendar days of the witness being afforded a reasonable opportunity to review it, the Bureau investigator, or the individual transcribing the testimony acting at the Bureau investigator's direction, shall sign the transcript and state on the record the fact of the waiver, illness, absence of the witness, or the refusal to sign, together with any reasons given for the failure to sign.

(b) Any witness compelled to appear in person at an investigational hearing may be accompanied, represented, and advised by counsel as follows:

(1) Counsel for a witness may advise the witness, in confidence and upon the initiative of either counsel or the witness, with respect to any question asked of the witness where it is claimed that a witness is privileged to refuse to answer the question. Counsel may not otherwise consult with the witness while a question directed to the witness is pending.

(2) Any objections made under the rules in this part shall be made only for the purpose of protecting a constitutional or other legal right or privilege, including the privilege against self-incrimination. Neither the witness nor counsel shall otherwise object or refuse to answer any question. Any objection during an investigational hearing shall be stated concisely on the record in a nonargumentative and nonsuggestive manner. Following an objection, the examination shall proceed and the testimony shall be taken, except for testimony requiring the witness to divulge information protected by the claim of privilege or work product.

(3) Counsel for a witness may not, for any purpose or to any extent not allowed by paragraphs (b)(1) and (2) of this section, interrupt the examination of the witness by making any objections or statements on the record. Petitions challenging the Bureau's authority to conduct the investigation or the sufficiency or legality of the civil investigative demand shall be addressed to the Bureau in advance of the hearing in accordance with § 1080.6(e). Copies of such petitions may be filed as part of the record of the investigation with the Bureau investigator conducting the investigational hearing, but no arguments in support thereof will be allowed at the hearing.

(4) Following completion of the examination of a witness, counsel for the witness may, on the record, request that the Bureau investigator conducting the investigational hearing permit the witness to clarify any of his or her answers. The grant or denial of such request shall be within the sole discretion of the Bureau investigator conducting the hearing.

(5) The Bureau investigator conducting the hearing shall take all necessary action to regulate the course of the hearing to avoid delay and to prevent or restrain disorderly, dilatory, obstructionist, or contumacious conduct, or contemptuous language. Such Bureau investigator shall, for reasons stated on the record, immediately report to the Bureau any instances where an attorney has allegedly refused to comply with his or her obligations under the rules in this part, or has allegedly engaged in disorderly, dilatory, obstructionist, or contumacious conduct, or contemptuous language in the course of the hearing. The Bureau will thereupon take such further action, if any, as the circumstances warrant, including actions consistent with those described in 12 CFR 1081.107(c) to suspend or disbar the attorney from further practice before the Bureau or exclude the attorney from further participation in the particular investigation.

## § 1080.10 Noncompliance with civil investigative demands.

(a) In cases of failure to comply in whole or in part with Bureau civil investigative demands, appropriate action may be initiated by the Bureau, including actions for enforcement.

(b) The Director, the Assistant Director of the Office of Enforcement, and the General Counsel of the Bureau are authorized to:

(1) Institute, on behalf of the Bureau, an enforcement proceeding in the district court of the United States for any judicial district in which a person resides, is found, or transacts business, in connection with the failure or refusal of such person to comply with, or to obey, a civil investigative demand in whole or in part if the return date or any extension thereof has passed; and

(2) Seek civil contempt or other appropriate relief in cases where a court order enforcing a civil investigative demand has been violated.

#### §1080.11 Disposition.

(a) When the facts disclosed by an investigation indicate that an enforcement action is warranted, further proceedings may be instituted in Federal or State court or pursuant to the Bureau's administrative adjudicatory process. Where appropriate, the Bureau also may refer investigations to appropriate Federal, State, or foreign governmental agencies.

(b) When the facts disclosed by an investigation indicate that an enforcement action is not necessary or would not be in the public interest, the investigational file will be closed. The matter may be further investigated, at any time, if circumstances so warrant.

(c) The Assistant Director of the Office of Enforcement and the Deputy Assistant Directors of the Office of Enforcement are authorized to close Bureau investigations.

## § 1080.12 Orders requiring witnesses to testify or provide other information and granting immunity.

The Director has the nondelegable authority to request approval from the Attorney General of the United States for the issuance of an order requiring a witness to testify or provide other information and granting immunity under 18 U.S.C. 6004.

#### §1080.13 Custodians.

(a) The Bureau shall designate a custodian and one or more deputy custodians for material to be delivered pursuant to a civil investigative demand in an investigation. The custodian shall have the powers and duties prescribed by 12 CFR 1070.3 and section 1052 of the Act, 12 U.S.C. 5562. Deputy custodians may perform all of the duties assigned to custodians.

(b) Material produced pursuant to a civil investigative demand, while in the custody of the custodian, shall be for the official use of the Bureau in accordance with the Act; but such material shall upon reasonable notice to the custodian

be made available for examination by the person who produced such material, or his or her duly authorized representative, during regular office hours established for the Bureau.

§ 1080.14 Confidential treatment of demand material and non-public nature of investigations.

(a) Documentary materials, written reports, answers to questions, tangible things or transcripts of oral testimony the Bureau receives in any form or format pursuant to a civil investigative demand are subject to the requirements and procedures relating to the disclosure of records and information set forth in part 1070 of this title.

(b) Bureau investigations generally are non-public. Bureau investigators may disclose the existence of an investigation to potential witnesses or third parties to the extent necessary to advance the investigation.

Dated: June 4, 2012.

Richard Cordray,

Director, Bureau of Consumer Financial Protection.

[FR Doc. 2012–14047 Filed 6–28–12; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4810–AM–P

## BUREAU OF CONSUMER FINANCIAL PROTECTION

#### 12 CFR Part 1082

[Docket No. CFPB-2011-0005]

#### RIN 3170-AA02

**State Official Notification Rule** 

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Consumer Financial Protection.

#### ACTION: Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Financial Protection Act of 2010 (Dodd-Frank Act) requires the Bureau of Consumer Financial Protection (Bureau) to prescribe rules establishing procedures that govern the process by which State Officials notify the Bureau of actions undertaken pursuant to the authority granted to the States to enforce the Dodd-Frank Act or regulations prescribed thereunder. This final State Official Notification Rule (Final Rule) sets forth the procedures to govern this process.

**DATES:** The Final Rule is effective June 29, 2012.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Veronica Spicer, Office of Enforcement, Consumer Financial Protection Bureau, 1700 G Street NW., Washington, DC 20552, at (202) 435–7545. SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### I. Background

The Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Financial Protection Act of 2010 (Dodd-Frank Act) was signed into law on July 21, 2010. Title X of the Dodd-Frank Act established the Bureau to regulate the offering and provision of consumer financial products or services under the Federal consumer financial laws. Section 1042 of the Dodd-Frank Act, 12 U.S.C. 5552, governs the enforcement powers of the States under the Dodd-Frank Act. Under section 1042(a), a State attorney general or regulator (State Official) may bring an action to enforce Title X of the Dodd-Frank Act and regulations issued thereunder. Prior to initiating any such action, the State Official is required to provide notice of the action to the Bureau and the prudential regulator, if any, pursuant to section 1042(b) of the Dodd-Frank Act. Section 1042(b) further authorizes the Bureau to intervene in the State Official's action as a party, remove the action to a Federal district court, and appeal any order or judgment.

Pursuant to section 1042(c) of the Dodd-Frank Act, the Bureau is required to issue regulations implementing the requirements of section 1042. On July 28, 2011, the Bureau promulgated the State Official Notification Rule (Interim Final Rule) with a request for comment. The comment period for the Interim Final Rule ended on September 26, 2011. After reviewing and considering the issues raised by the comments, the Bureau now promulgates the Final Rule establishing a procedure for the timing and content of the notice required to be provided by State Officials pursuant to section 1042(b) of the Dodd-Frank Act, 12 U.S.C. 5552(b).

#### **II. Summary of the Final Rule**

Like the Interim Final Rule, the Final Rule implements a procedure for the timing and content of the notice required by section 1042(b), sets forth the responsibilities of the recipients of the notice, and specifies the rights of the Bureau to participate in actions brought by State Officials under section 1042(a) of the Dodd-Frank Act. In drafting the Final Rule, the Bureau endeavored to create a process that would provide both the Bureau and, where applicable, the prudential regulators with timely notice of pending actions and account for the investigation and litigation needs of State regulators and law enforcement agencies. In keeping with this approach, the Final Rule provides for a default notice period of at least ten calendar days, with exceptions for emergencies and other extenuating circumstances,

and requires substantive notice that is both straightforward and comprehensive. The Final Rule further makes clear that the Bureau can intervene as a party in an action brought by a State Official under Title X of the Dodd-Frank Act or a regulation prescribed thereunder, provides for the confidential treatment of non-public information contained in the notice if a State so requests, and provides that provision of notice shall not be deemed a waiver of any applicable privilege. In addition, the Final Rule specifies that the notice provisions do not create any procedural or substantive rights for parties in litigation against the United States or against a State that brings an action under Title X of the Dodd-Frank Act or a regulation prescribed thereunder.

#### **III. Legal Authority**

Section 1042(c) of the Dodd-Frank Act authorizes the Bureau to prescribe regulations implementing the requirements of section 1042(b). In addition, the Bureau has general rulemaking authority pursuant to section 1022(b)(1) of the Dodd-Frank Act to prescribe rules to enable the Bureau to administer and carry out the purposes and objectives of the Federal consumer financial laws and to prevent evasions thereof.

#### **IV. Overview of Comments Received**

In response to the Interim Final Rule, the Bureau received several comments. Four letters were received from associations representing the financial industry, two letters were received from financial industry regulators and supervisors, and one letter was received from an individual consumer. The Bureau also received a comment letter from a financial industry regulator in response to its Federal Register notification of November 21, 2011, regarding the information collection requirements associated with the Interim Final Rule pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA), Public Law 104-13. All of the comments are available for review on www.regulations.gov.

The financial industry associations' comments fell into several general categories. Several comments expressed concerns about the Bureau's ability to maintain confidentiality for notification materials received by the Bureau. Other commenters requested clarity as to the type of actions for which the Bureau requires notification. One commenter requested that the Bureau require uniform interpretation by States of all Federal law within the Bureau's jurisdiction. Case 7:20-cv-03240-UA Document 6-5 Filed 04/27/20 Page 1 of 19

## **EXHIBIT C**

Case 7:20-cv-03240-4

2019-MISC-Law Offices of Crystal Morone



**4855 RIVER GREEN PARKWAY** 

**SUITE 310** 

DULUTH, GEORGIA 30096

678.253.1871 www.bedardlawgroup.com

**Elled** 04/27/20 Page 2 of 19 Received 12/5/19 at 5:42 a.m.

MICHAEL K. CHAPMAN Licensed Only In GA

**JONATHAN K. AUST** Licensed Only In GA and NC

> DAVID A. KLEBER Licensed Only In GA

### PETITION TO SET ASIDE CIVIL INVESTIGATIVE DEMAND DATED NOVEMBER 14, 2019 OR IN THE ALTERNATIVE TO MODIFY SAME

## **BY PETITIONER**

## LAW OFFICES OF CRYSTAL MORONEY, P.C.

**December 5, 2019** 

### **Filed This Date Via E-mail**

ExecSec@cfpb.gov Enforcement@cfpb.gov

Attn: Executive Secretary **Consumer Financial Protection Bureau** 1700 G. Street NW Washington, DC 20552

### **PROCEDURAL INTRODUCTION**

This petition is made pursuant to Section 1052(f) of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act ("Dodd-Frank") and 12 C.F.R. 1080.6(e) within 20 days following service of the Civil Investigative Demand dated November 14, 2019 (received on November 18, 2019) hereinafter referred to as the "Second CID."

### Certification of Good Faith Pursuant to 12 C.F.R. §1080.6(e)(1).

The Petitioner respectfully challenges the enforceability of 12 C.F.R. §1080.6 for the reasons described below. Notwithstanding, and without waiving its challenge, the undersigned counsel certifies that he has made a good faith effort to resolve the issues identified herein with the CFPB's enforcement attorney handling this CID, Vanessa Assae-Bille. No agreement was reached and the undersigned was specifically advised that the enforcement attorney did not have authority to set aside the CID notwithstanding any

JOHN H. BEDARD, JR. Licensed Only In GA and TN

**RONALD S. CANTER** OF COUNSEL Licensed Only In DC, FL, MD, PA, VA



Executive Secretary Page 2 of 23

agreement. No matters contained in this petition were resolved by agreement. This petition is made in good faith based on case law which fairly bears upon the issues raised in this petition. The petition is not made for the purpose of delay or with contumacious intent.

## Compliance Period Return Date Pursuant to 12 C.F.R. §1080.6(f).

Petitioner respectfully challenges the enforceability of 12 C.F.R. §1080.6 for the reasons described below. Notwithstanding, and without waiving its challenge, Petitioner expressly challenges the entirety of the CID. To the extent any portion of this petition is denied, Petitioner respectfully requests 21 days from the date of service of the order on Petitioner as the new return date for the CID.

## Compliance with 12 C.F.R. §1080.6(c)(3).

Petitioner respectfully challenges the enforceability of 12 C.F.R. §1080.6 for the reasons described below. Notwithstanding, and without waiving its challenge, Petitioner addresses the requirement to have raised these issues at the meet and confer stage of the process.

12 C.F.R. §1080.6(c)(3) states:

(3) Petitions. The Bureau will not consider petitions to set aside or modify a civil investigative demand unless the recipient has meaningfully engaged in the meet and confer process described in this subsection and will consider only issues raised during the meet and confer process.

Petitioner meaningfully participated in the meet and confer process on December 2, 2019, via telephone and with the consent of the Enforcement Attorney. A representative of Petitioner, Crystal Moroney, participated in the call along with the undersigned and three representatives of the Bureau participated in the call which lasted approximately 71 minutes. During the call, Petitioner raised objections to numerous provisions of the CID and the parties thereafter engaged in meaningful and productive discussion of each. No agreement was reached on any subject, save and except the Bureau's commitment to review Petitioner's forthcoming request to modify the CID. Petitioner has complied with \$1080.6(c)(3).



Executive Secretary Page **3** of **23** 

## FACTUAL INTRODUCTION

The Law Offices of Crystal Moroney, P.C. ("Petitioner" or "Law Firm") is a law firm. Crystal Moroney, the firm's majority shareholder, is an attorney licensed to practice law in New York and New Jersey. The law firm represents clients and provides legal advice on a myriad of topics, including compliance with consumer financial protection laws, evaluating legal claims against third parties (including consumers), bankruptcy process and procedure, and the collection of unpaid debt obligations.

This investigation began with the service of a Civil Investigative Demand on or about June 23, 2017 (the "First CID"). A copy of the First CID is attached hereto as Exhibit A. The parties conducted a meet and confer pursuant to 12 C.F.R. 1080.6(c) and reached agreement on certain objections to the First CID. Pursuant to that informal agreement, Petitioner formally requested modification of certain items in the First CID on July 14, 2017. On July 25, 2017, the Bureau responded to the modification request by extending certain deadlines, narrowing some CID requests, and rejecting certain other modification requests. Agreement was not reached on an important issue – the application of the New York and New Jersey Rules of Professional Conduct applicable to licensed attorneys, including Rule 1.6.

The Bureau extended certain deadlines to create a rolling production schedule over the following 10 weeks. By October 2, 2017, the Law Firm provided written responses, supplemental responses, and amended responses to the First CID and produced thousands of pages of documents and data. The Law Firm did not produce (and expressly withheld) documents and information protected by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work-product privilege, and all documents and information protected by Rule 1.6 of the New York and New Jersey Rules of Professional Conduct applicable to attorneys. The Law Firm withheld complete responses to Interrogatory Number 12, Requests for Written Reports Nos. 1-5 and 7, Document Requests Nos. 2, 6, 12, and 14, and Requests for Tangible Things Nos. 1-4 contained in the First CID.

On January 9, 2018, the Bureau informed the Law Firm that "Enforcement staff has recommended enforcement of the CID." The Bureau invited the Law Firm to reconsider its position on the application of privilege and Rule 1.6 else face legal action. Six days later, on January 15, 2018, the Law Firm advised the Bureau that its position on both issues had not changed and that, despite the Law Firm's request, no client of the firm consented to releasing its protected information to the Bureau. As a result, the Law Firm reaffirmed its commitment



Executive Secretary Page 4 of 23

to complying with the restraints surrounding disclosure of protected information and documents. Nothing happened in the following 13 month period.

On February 25, 2019, the Bureau filed a Petition to Enforce Civil Investigative Demand in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York, Civil Action No.: 7:19-cv-01732-NSR (the "Petition"). The Bureau did not serve the Petition on the Law Firm. On September 10, 2019, the Honorable Nelson S. Román issued an Order To Show Cause setting a hearing on the Bureau's petition for November 8, 2019 and ordering the Bureau to properly serve the Law Firm with the Petition. After 7 months of waiting, the Bureau served the Law Firm with the Petition 2 days later, on September 12, 2019.

On October 3, 2019, the Law Firm filed its brief in opposition to the Petition. The Law Firm's primary challenge to the Petition asserted a constitutional defect in the Bureau's structure, rendering the Bureau without legal authority. After granting the Bureau's second request for an extension of time to respond to the Law Firm's opposition, the Court ordered the Bureau to respond on or before November 4, 2019, just 4 days before oral argument on the Petition. On the evening of its deadline, November 4, 2019, and nearly 3 years after the commencement of the Bureau's investigation, the Bureau unilaterally withdrew the First CID and filed a Suggest of Mootness asking the Court to dismiss its own Petition. Three days later, on November 7, 2019, the Court cancelled the oral argument hearing, denied the Petition as moot, and closed the case. The Bureau successfully avoided scrutiny of its behavior by the federal court.

Within hours of the Court's order denying the Petition and closing the case, the Bureau announced its intention to serve another CID on the Law Firm. On November 14, 2019 the Bureau issued another CID (the "Second CID") which was received by the Law Firm on November 18, 2019. The Second CID, attached hereto as Exhibit B, is virtually identical to the First CID. The requests contained in the Second CID mirror the requests in the First CID verbatim, save and except some non-substantive wording changes. This petition asks the Bureau to set aside the Second CID for the reasons that follow.



Executive Secretary Page 5 of 23

### **ARGUMENT**

## I. THE BUREAU'S CONSTITUTIONAL DEFECT PROHIBITS ITS EXERCISE OF ENFORCEMENT AUTHORITY AND THE SECOND CID SHOULD BE SET ASIDE IN ITS ENTIRETY.

## A. <u>The CFPB's Structure Violates Article II Of The Constitution Which Vests</u> <u>Executive Power In The President Of The United States.</u>

This matter concerns the extent and reach of the executive power under Article II of the Constitution. Under this primary law, all federal government power derives from the people. U.S. Const. pmbl. *See, McCulloch v. Maryland,* 17 U .S. (4 Wheat.) 316, 405, 4 L.Ed. 579 (1 819). To prevent tyranny and protect individual liberty, the Framers of the Constitution separated the legislative, executive, and judicial powers of the new national government. The Framers insisted upon accountability for the exercise of executive power by conferring full responsibility for that power in a single person, the President of the United States, who is elected by and accountable to the people. The first 15 words of Article II speak with unmistakable clarity: "The executive Power shall be vested in a President of the United States of America." U.S. Const. art. II, § 1, cl. 1. Article II also assigns to the President the exclusive and plenary authority and responsibility to "take Care that the Laws be faithfully executed." *Id.* § 3.

The CFPB was created by Title X of Dodd-Frank as an "independent bureau" within the Federal Reserve System, 12 U.S.C. § 5491(a). Because the law establishing the CFPB insulates it from requisite checks and balances by the Executive and Legislative branches, the Bureau's structure cannot be reconciled with the Constitution's separation of powers principles. For this reason, and as explained in detail below, the Bureau should follow the ruling in *RD Legal* and grant this petition to set aside the Second CID. An unconstitutional federal agency, and the tainted rules and regulations it creates, cannot operate to exert executive power over the People, who did not confer such power on the legislature, but instead conferred it exclusively in the President.



Executive Secretary Page 6 of 23

## B. <u>The Dodd-Frank Act Confers Overly Broad Executive Authority On</u> <u>The Director Of The Bureau.</u>

# 1. The Dodd-Frank Act Impermissibly Shields The CFPB's Director From Presidential Oversight And Democratic Accountability.

The Framers "consciously decid[ed] to vest Executive authority in one person rather than several. They did so in order to focus, rather than spread, Executive responsibility thereby facilitating accountability." *Clinton v. Jones,* 520 U.S. 681, 712 (1997) (Breyer, J., concurring). "[I]f any power whatsoever is in its nature Executive, it is the power of appointing, overseeing, and controlling those who execute the laws." *Free Enter. Fund v. Pub. Co. Accounting Oversight Bd.,* 561 U.S. 477, 492 (2010), *quoting* 1 Annals of Cong. 463 (1789). "Since 1789, the Constitution has been understood to empower the President to keep these officers accountable – by removing them from office, if necessary." *Id.* at 483.

Dodd-Frank violates these bedrock principles by vesting unfettered and wide ranging power in one person – the Director of the CFPB – whom the President may remove only "for inefficiency, neglect of duty, or malfeasance in office." 12 U.S.C. § 549 l (c)(3). Congress also erected impermissible structural features in Dodd-Frank to insulate the Director from the President's control, including: (1) limiting the President's ability to control the CFPB's legislative recommendations, testimony or comments on legislation submitted to Congress ( 12 U.S.C. § 5492(c)(4)); (2) precluding the President from overruling the Director's interpretation of a consumer protection statute where that law is administered by both the Bureau and another agency (12 U.S.C. § 5512(b)(4)); and (3) precluding the Executive branch from exercising any oversight with respect to the Bureau's financial operating plans and forecasts. 12 U.S.C. § 5497(a)(4)(E). Moreover, the Director exercises all this power over a five-year term, one year more than the term of an elected President, which term can be extended indefinitely if the Senate does not confirm a successor. 12 U.S.C. §§ 549 1(c)(I) and (c)(2).

In *Free Enterprise Fund, supra.*, the Supreme Court reaffirmed the principle that Article II of the Constitution forbids Congress from limiting the President's discretionary authority to hold executive officers accountable through removal from office. There, the Supreme Court addressed the structure of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board ("PCAOB"), a five- member board with "expansive powers to govern [the] entire" securities



Executive Secretary Page 7 of 23

industry, whose members could be removed only by the Securities and Exchange Commission - not the President - and only for cause. *Free Enterprise Fund*, at 484-86. The Court observed that the PCAOB presented a "new situation," *id.*, at 483, and that "[p]erhaps the most telling indication of the severe constitutional problem with the PCAOB is the lack of historical precedent for this entity." *Id.* at 505 (internal quotation omitted). The Court held that this "novel" structure "subverts the President's ability to ensure that the laws are faithfully executed" and therefore is "incompatible with the Constitution's separation of powers." *Id.* at 496 and 498.

The CFPB presents an analogous "novel" structure that lacks historical precedent and that improperly limits the President's discretionary authority to remove subordinate officers. Because the President cannot "take Care that" consumer protection laws "be faithfully executed if he cannot oversee the faithfulness of the officers who execute them," *id.,* at 484 (internal quotation mark omitted), the CFPB's structure is constitutionally flawed.

## 2. The CFPB Is Unlike Other Independent Agencies That Have Passed Constitutional Muster.

The Supreme Court has recognized only two limited exceptions to the general prohibition against restricting a President 's removal power : (1) a multi-member "body of experts," *Humphrey's Executor v. United States*, 295 U.S. 602, 624-26 (1935), and (2) certain inferior officers with "limited jurisdiction and tenure," *Morrison v. Olson*, 487 U.S. 654, 691 (1988). Neither exception applies to the CFPB.

**Exception One Does Not Apply**. In *Humphrey's Executor, supra,* the Court addressed the "good cause" removal provision that applies to the members of the Federal Trade Commission, who hold seven-year terms and who cannot be removed by the President except for "inefficiency, neglect of duty, or malfeasance in office." 295 U.S. at 620, *citing* 15 U .S.C. § 41. The Court upheld this removal provision on the grounds that the FTC is a "non[-]partisan," multimember group acting in a "quasi[-]judicial and quasi[-]legislative" rather than "purely executive" capacity. *Id.* at 624, 627-29. The Court's conclusion rested not only on the FTC's functions, but also on its features as an "administrative body" comprised of multiple members "called upon to exercise the trained judgment of a bod y of experts." *Id.* at 624 and 628.



Executive Secretary Page 8 of 23

In contrast, the CFPB is headed not by a non-executive and non-partisan "body of experts" but by a single, autonomous, partisan Director empowered to enforce nineteen consumer protection laws. Unlike the FTC, the Director is not accountable to a multimember commission, which creates an institutional barrier to unilateral action. *See, Recent Legislation, Dodd-Frank Act Creates the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau,* 124 Harv. L. Rev. 2 123, 2 128 (20 11) ("presence of dissenters" in agency proceedings "provides new information and forces the proponent to articulate a coherent rationale, thus acting as a constraining force"). Little similarity exists between the structure of the CFPB and the structure of the FTC contemplated by the court in *Humphrey's Executor*.

*Structure* protects Liberty. The cumulative *structural* protections built into the FTC comprising appropriations restrictions, a five member commission protected from partisan dominance, the collective expertise of commissioners (and dissenters), and protections against unilateral exercise of executive power gave the *Humphrey's Executor* Court comfort that the legislature had not invaded the executive function and that the Liberty protected by the Constitution's separation of powers remained preserved. These *structural* attributes serve as hallmarks for the protection of Liberty and distinguish the CFPB from the federal agency structure contemplated by *Humphrey's Executor*. For these reasons, *Humphrey's Executor* is distinguishable and does not provide a basis to uphold the provisions of the Dodd-Frank Act that created the Bureau.

**Exception Two Does Not Apply**. In *Morrison, supra,* the Court upheld a statute that permitted an independent counsel to be removed by the Attorney General only for "good cause" because the appointed counsel had "limited jurisdiction and tenure" and "lack[ed] policymaking or significant administrative authority." 487 U.S. at 691. In contrast to this limited role, the Director wields wide-ranging and unchecked executive power to enforce nineteen consumer protection laws (12 U.S.C. § 5481(12)); serves a lengthy five-year term (12 U.S.C. § 549 1 (c)(1)); and, as this matter illustrates, has significant policymaking authority, including the decision to seek through subpoena power information subject to the "attorney-client privilege …the oldest of the privileges for confidential communications known to the common law." *Upjohn Co. v. US.*, 449 U.S. 383, 389 (1981).

The circumstances present in *Morrison* that justify limited restrictions on the President's removal power are not present here and this second exception does not save the structure of the CFPB from constitutional infirmity.



Executive Secretary Page 9 of 23

## C. <u>The CFPB's Director Is Not Accountable To Congress Through</u> <u>Appropriations.</u>

The CFPB exercises its regulatory and enforcement powers absent meaningful oversight or control from Congress. Dodd-Frank authorizes the Director to unilaterally requisition up to 12% of the Federal Reserve System's operating expenses - totaling almost half a billion dollars<sup>1</sup> – without congressional approval. 12 U.S.C. § 5497(a). Congress is also prohibited from reviewing the CFPB's use of these funds. 12 U.S.C. § 5497(a)(2)(C).

Dodd-Frank removed another critical democratic check on potential abuses of power by eliminating congressional appropriations oversight of the CFPB's financial resources. *See*, U.S. Const., art. I, § 9, cl. 7 ("No Money shall be drawn from the Treasury, but in Consequence of Appropriations made by Law."). In the case of the CFPB, the "power of the purse" – Congress's "ultimate weapon of enforcement is unavailable." *United States v. Richardson*, 4 18 U.S. 166, 178 n. 11 (1974). This power serves the "fundamental and comprehensive purpose" of assur[ing] that public funds will be spent according to the letter of the difficult judgments reached by Congress as to the common good and not according to the individual favor of Government agents." *Office of Personnel Mgmt. v. Richmond*, 496 U.S. 414, 427-28 (1990).

Congress may not abdicate its most important constitutional check against executive power. *See, New York v. United States,* 505 U.S. 144, 182 (1992) (the separation of powers does not depend on "whether or not the encroached-upon branch approves the encroachment"). By insulating the CFPB from congressional appropriations oversight, Congress has impermissibly restrained its ability to hold the Executive branch accountable. This abdication provides an additional reason why the CFPB's structure violates the Constitution's separation of powers principle.

## D. <u>CFPB's Structure Is Unconstitutional, Rendering The Bureau Without</u> <u>Authority To Enforce The Second CID.</u>

The constitutionality of the CFPB's structure must be examined as a whole, without viewing each particular feature in isolation. "[J]ust because two [or more] structural features

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The CFPB's funding was set at \$468.2 million for 2019. *See,* https://www.consumerfinance.gov/about-us/budget-strategy/budget-and-performance/ (last accessed December 2, 2019).



Executive Secretary Page 10 of 23

raise no constitutional concerns independently does not mean Congress may combine them in a single statute." *Ass'n of Am. Railroads v. U.S. Dep't of Transp.*, 721 F.3d 666, 673 (D.C. Cir. 2013), *vacated on other grounds by Dep't of Transp. v. Ass 'n of Am. R.R .*, US. \_, 135 S. Ct. 1225 (2015). Although the Supreme Court has "previously upheld limited restrictions" on particular checks and balances, the combined elements of the CFPB's "novel structure" – a single Director who may only be removed for cause, who serves a lengthy five-year term, and who establishes his or her own annual budget of almost half a billion dollars without congressional oversight – "does not merely add to the [CFPB's] independence, but transforms it." *Free Enterprise. Fund*, 561 U.S. at 495-496.

The Director exercises "[a]ll consumer financial protection functions" previously exercised by seven agencies (12 U.S.C. § 5581 (b)), which includes the power to: enforce federal consumer protection laws, pursue actions in federal court, pursue administrative actions (12 U.S.C. §§ 5562-5564), seek a wide range of legal and equitable relief, and exercise rulemaking authority to create law. 12 U.S.C. § 5565(a)(2). The public must be able to "ensure that those who wield[]" power are "accountable to political force and the will of the people." *Freytag v. Comm'r of Internal Revenue,* 50 1 U.S. 868, 884 (1991). The CFPB's unprecedented insulation from presidential *and* congressional oversight goes too far in undermining constitutionally required democratic accountability.

Congress intended to create an agency that was "completely independent, with an independently appointed director, an independent budget, and an autonomous rulemaking authority." 156 Cong. Rec. H5239 (2010) (Rep. Maloney). The purpose of Title X was to "create a consumer bureau . . . that is independent," 156 Cong. Rec. S5871 (20 10) (Sen. Cardin), in order to "improv[e] regulatory independence," S. Rep. No. 1 11-176, at 24 (2010). The statutory text further reflects Congress's intention of creating "an independent bureau." 12 U.S.C. § 549 1 (a). This absolute and unchecked independence runs contrary to the Constitution. Congress cannot achieve indirectly through a federal agency what it cannot itself achieve directly - the dilution of executive Power through legislation which impedes the President's exclusive constitutional authority to "take Care that the Laws be faithfully executed."

The CFPB is unconstitutionally structured. The inquiry ends and the Second CID should be set aside.



Executive Secretary Page 11 of 23

## E. <u>Mere Severance Of Dodd-Frank's Offending Language Does Not Remedy 10</u> Years Of Unconstitutional Metastasis Throughout The CFPB.

The legal foundation of the CFPB rests upon a malignant constitutional defect which permeates every facet of the agency. The rules it makes, the policies it promotes, the enforcement and investigation activities it undertakes, and the quasi-judicial power it exercises are all shaped (and tainted) by the unchecked and absolute power of an unconstitutional and unaccountable Director. The Bureau's self-preserving argument that the "for cause" removal provision of the Dodd-Frank Act can be severed from the remainder of the statute and that the Bureau can proceed without any adverse consequences is simply wrong. This "no harm, no foul" approach would leave intact the products of an unconstitutional legal juggernaut. The CFPB proposes to change the blueprints without actually altering building. Liberty faces grave danger if Congress be allowed to create unconstitutional federal agencies to run roughshod over the People until the judiciary, 10 years later, declares it unconstitutional but does not require Congress to start over. Such an approach would leave intact the offspring of an unconstitutional statute which produced the largest, most powerful federal regulatory agency to regulate the financial services industry this nation has ever seen. Unlike the agencies contemplated in Humphrey's Executor and FreeEnterprise Fund, the CFPB bears no checks, no controls, no hallmarks of the protections of Liberty designed by the separation of powers. The Bureau's decade of unchecked growth and legal production has been significantly influenced by – even *dictated by* - the Bureau's constitutional defect. But-for the Bureau's structural infirmity, the agency and all of its processes, rules, and structure would look very different today.

Congress may not push the Constitutional envelope without consequence. Congress pushed too far when it passed Dodd-Frank and overstepped the boundaries established by the separation of powers. The consequence must be the treatment of Dodd-Frank as a legal nullity. The Second CID should be set aside in toto.

## 1. <u>Congress Would Not Have Enacted Dodd-Frank Without The</u> <u>CFPB's Unconditional Autonomy And Independence.</u>

In determining whether an unconstitutional provision should be severed from a statute "the Court must ask whether the statute minus any invalid provision" will function in a <u>manner</u> consistent with the intent of Congress and "is legislation that Congress would . . . have enacted." *Alaska Airlines*, 480 U.S., 768, 685 (1987). A Court should not use the severability



Executive Secretary Page 12 of 23

doctrine to rewrite "an unconstitutional statute" because that also "circumvent(s) the intent of the legislature." *Ayotte v. Planned Parenthood of Northern New Eng.*, 546 U.S. 320, 329-30 (2006). If the answer to either question is no, i.e., whether the statute minus the invalid provision will function in a manner consistent with the intent of Congress and is legislation that Congress would have enacted, then the invalid provision cannot be severed. *See, Alaska Airlines. Id.* 

Dodd-Frank's severability clause is merely probative of a legislative intent and is by no means dispositive. See, Dorchy v. Kansas, 264 U.S. 286, 290 (1924). For this reason, the Supreme Court has declined to sever an invalid provision despite the existence of a severability clause. Thus, the Supreme Court sometimes declines to sever an invalid provision despite a severability clause. See, e.g., City of Akron v. Akron Ctr. For Reprod. Health, Inc., 462 U.S. 416, 425 n.8, 445-46 n.37 (1983), overruled on other grounds by Planned Parenthood of Se. Pa. v. Casev, 505 U.S. 833 (1992); Planned Parenthood of Cent. Mo. v. Danforth, 428 U.S. 52, 83-84 (1976); Sloan v. Lemon, 413 U.S. 825, 833-35 (1973); Hill v. Wallace, 259 U.S. 44, 70-71 (1922). Congress expressed its dedicated intention to establish the CFPB as an independent Bureau. See, 12 U.S.C. §5491(a). This clear and express Congressional expression leads to the inevitable conclusion that the "for cause" removal provision was consistent with the intent of Congress and that it would not have enacted the legislation had the Director been removable at will by the President. Accordingly, §5491(a) ties the Bureau's very existence to its freedom from Presidential oversight as evidenced by the for-cause removal provision and the Director's five year term. Other features of the enabling legislation provide additional support for this conclusion.

Congress transferred to the CFPB the authority to enforce and issue rules implementing 18 existing laws previously administered by seven (7) different Federal agencies. *See*, 12 U.S.C. §5481(12), 5512(d)(4) and 5581. Many of those agencies themselves are free from presidential control. *See, e.g.,* 12 U.S.C. §5581(a)(2)(A) (including Federal and Board of Governors, Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, Federal Trade Commission and National Credit Union Administration). This Court's excision of the "for cause" removal provision would operate as a judicial transfer of power to the Executive branch of far reaching powers that prior to the enactment of Dodd-Frank were distributed by Congress to several non-executive agencies. Power to regulate this broad swath of the United States economy was decentralized throughout *independent* federal agencies. Dodd-Frank was designed to centralize the federal regulatory scheme over the financial services sector of the economy into a single *even more independent* federal agency. Congress *did not* enact Dodd-Frank to



Executive Secretary Page 13 of 23

*eliminate* independent regulation of the financial services industry by centralizing the widereaching authority of 7 independent federal regulatory agencies into a single federal agency controlled by the pleasure of the President. The Bureau's severance remedy achieves precisely that outcome in derogation of Congresses clear and express intention. Congress so valued the CFPB's *independence* that it forfeited its own oversight by exempting the agency from congressional appropriations review.

Excising the "for cause" removal provision would result in a metamorphosis of the CFPB from an independent agency to an unprecedented regulator not accountable to Congress through appropriation oversight. This result would dramatically alter the balance of power between the legislative and executive branches and subvert the express intentions of Congress in enacting Dodd-Frank. Congress would *not* have been willing to make such a sweeping delegation of power to the President "without a strong oversight mechanism." *Alaska Airlines*, 480 U.S. at 685 (considering severability of legislative). This Court should not rewrite the statute by excising the "for cause" language and forcing an unprecedented (and unintended) shift in federal regulatory power from 7 independent agencies to the President.

The presumption of severability is rebutted. A severability clause "does not give the Court power to amend" a statute. *Hill v. Wallace*, 259 U.S. 44, 71 (1925). Further, a severability clause is not a license to cut out the "heart" of the statute. *Cf. Alaska Airlines*, 480 U.S. at 691. Because the independence of the CFPB's Director is at the heart of Title X of Dodd-Frank, this Bureau should not merely sever the "for cause" language of Dodd-Frank, but instead acknowledge the existential threat to Liberty posed by the Bureau's constitutional defect and decline any further exercise of purported executive power until Congress remedies Dodd-Frank's constitutional ailment. The Bureau should grant this petition and set aside the Second CID in toto.



Executive Secretary Page 14 of 23

## II. IF THE BUREAU DOES NOT SET ASIDE THE SECOND CID, THEN THE LAW FIRM ALTERNATIVELY ARGUES THAT THE SECOND CID SHOULD BE MODIFIED.

## A. <u>The Bureau Should Modify The Return Date Of The Second CID To Follow</u> <u>The U.S. Supreme Court's Decision In Seila Law, LLC v. Consumer Financial</u> <u>Protection Bureau.</u>

The Supreme Court's order granting Seila Law, LLC's Petition for Certiorari explained that "in addition to the question presented by the Petition, the parties are directed to brief and argue the following question: If the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau was found unconstitutional on the basis of the separation of powers, can 12 U.S.C. §5491(c)(3) be severed from the Dodd-Frank Act?" The United States Supreme Court will likely provide definitive guidance as to the constitutional issues presented in this petition to set aside the Second CID.

The Bureau has the unconditional authority to modify the return date of the Second CID. Several factors weigh in favor of extending the Law Firm's deadline to respond to the Second CID until after the Supreme Court decides *Seila Law*.

## 1. Administrative Economy Is Served By An Extended Return Date.

The Constitutional issues presented in this petition are presently pending before the Supreme Court and will have a direct impact on the future course of this investigation, including the enforceability of the Second CID. The Supreme Court's ruling will bind the Bureau and the Law Firm. Absent an extended return date, the Bureau may be required to revisit, modify, withdraw, or even refile a new petition to enforce the Second CID depending on the outcome of *Seila Law*. This effort creates unnecessary burden on the Bureau and the Law Firm, which burden can be avoided by first obtaining guidance from the Supreme Court on the now-admitted Constitutional defect suffered by the Bureau. These circumstances weigh heavily in favor of extending the Law Firm's obligation to respond to the Second CID until after the Supreme Court has decided the dispositive constitutional issues raised in this petition.



Executive Secretary Page 15 of 23

## 2. The Law Firm Would Suffer Tremendous Hardship And Prejudice If The Return Date Is Not Extended.

The Law Firm has withstood nearly 3 years of overwhelming federal government investigation and intrusion by an agency which now admits that its unchecked, unaccountable executive power violates Article II of the Constitution. That very unconstitutional power now bears down upon the Law Firm again in the form of a Second CID which was specifically designed to circumvent judicial review of the First CID. The Bureau abandoned its proverbial "day in court" by withdrawing the First CID and side-stepping judicial review which would have reached the merits of the Law Firm's objections to the First CID. It would impose an extraordinary and unnecessary burden on the Law Firm if it was required to respond to the Second CID only to raise the very same Constitutional issues which lead to the Bureau's Petition on the First CID only to later learn that the Supreme Court has declared 12 U.S.C. §5491 unconstitutional and has further determined that because of the unconstitutional delegation of power that Title X of the Dodd-Frank Act is invalid in toto.

## 3. The Bureau Is Not Prejudiced By An Extended Return Date.

The Law Firm respectfully suggests that there is no prejudice or consequence to be visited on the Bureau as the result of an extended return date. Since the entry of the order granting the Petition for Certiorari in *Seila Law, LLC,* at least one court has completely closed a CFPB Enforcement Action filed against a debt collection law firm, conferring upon the Bureau the right to seek to reopen the case when *Seila Law* is decided. *See,* Exhibit C. That Court determined that the Bureau suffered no prejudice sufficient to warrant a continuation of the action prior to the Supreme Court's decision.

The Bureau's own behavior belies any notion that it would suffer any prejudice by an extended return date. That the Bureau saw fit to withdraw the First CID before Judge Román issued a decision on the Bureau's Petition to Enforce the First CID, preferring instead to begin the entire CID process anew with the issuance of an identical Second CID, evidences the Bureau's own belief that an additional short delay in the return date does not prejudice the Bureau in the least. In an unrelated case, the CFPB even requested an adjournment of oral argument in the *RD Legal Funding* case pending the ruling in *Seila Law*, which request was granted by the Second Circuit Court of Appeals. This request further evidences the Bureau's ability to exercise



Executive Secretary Page 16 of 23

executive power. These actions also evidence the Bureau's own belief that it suffers no prejudice by waiting for the Supreme Court to address the dispositive constitutional issue which is the focus of the Law Firm's petition to set aside the Second CID. The Bureau is not prejudiced by an extended return date. The Bureau should modify the Second CID to include a return date not less than 30 days from the date on which the Supreme Court issues its decision in the *Seila Law, LLC*.

## B. <u>The Basis For The Law Firm's Previous Modification Requests Relating To</u> <u>The First CID Apply Equally To The Identical Second CID.</u>

On July 14, 2017 the Law Firm submitted a written request to modify certain portions of the First CID. *See*, Exhibit D. On July 25, 2017 the Bureau responded and modified the First CID. *See*, Exhibit E. The First CID and Second CID are virtually identical. The same basis upon which the Law Firm requested modification of the First CID apply equally to the same modification request of the Second CID. The Law Firm incorporates by reference its July 14, 2017 requests to modify the First CID to apply as its requests to modify the same items in the same ways in the Second CID. There is no good-faith basis to deny these modification requests now, when the Bureau granted them previously.

## C. <u>The Law Firm Responded To The First CID And The Bureau Should Accept</u> <u>Those Responses To The Identical Requests Contained In The Second CID.</u>

In its July 25, 2017 correspondence, the Bureau extended certain deadlines to create a rolling production schedule over the following 10 week period. By October 2, 2017, the Law Firm provided written responses, supplemental responses, and amended responses to the First CID and produced thousands of pages of documents and data. The Law Firm did not produce (and expressly withheld) documents and information protected by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work-product privilege, and all documents and information protected by Rule 1.6 of the New York and New Jersey Rules of Professional Conduct applicable to attorneys. The Law Firm withheld complete responses to Interrogatory Number 12, Requests for Written Reports Nos. 1-5 and 7, Document Requests Nos. 2, 6, 12, and 14, and Requests for Tangible Things Nos. 1-4 contained in the First CID.

Requiring the Law Firm to re-respond to written requests and re-produce thousands of pages of documents and data is unnecessary, duplicative, overly burdensome, and does not



Executive Secretary Page **17** of **23** 

serve the Bureau's purpose of furthering its investigation of the Law Firm's business practices. The Law Firm requests that the Second CID be modified to limit the its requests "to the extent not already produced" or include a similar limiting instruction such that the Law Firm would not be required to re-respond to 77 unique Interrogatories (include subparts), 42 unique Requests for Written Reports (including subparts), 13 unique Requests for Documents, and 4 unique Requests for Tangible Things. Alternatively, the Law Firm requests that the Bureau accept an "affirmation" from the Law Firm that the previous responses to the First CID remain the current, accurate responses to the Second CID (save and except the items expressly withheld for the reasons identified above).

## D. <u>The Scope Of The Second CID Far Exceeds Any Statute Of Limitations</u> <u>Applicable To The Claims Identified In The Statement Of Purpose Section Of The</u> <u>Second CID, Which Should Be So Limited.</u>

Part III.C. of the Second CID stipulates that the applicable period for responsive materials begins from January 1, 2014 until the date of the CID. As to the request for information relating to purported violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, any action to enforce any liability under the FDCPA must be brought within one year from the date the violation occurs. *See*, 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d). During oral argument before the DC Circuit Court of Appeals in the closely watched case between the Bureau and PHH, counsel for the Bureau admitted that the Bureau's enforcement authority is not unbounded by the statute of limitations. Similarly, the applicable statute of limitations under the Fair Credit Reporting Act to enforce "any liability created under" the Act is the earlier of

(1) 2 years after the date of discovery by the plaintiff of the violation that is the basis for such liability, or

(2) 5 years after the date on which the violation that is the basis for such liability occurs.

15 U.S.C. §1681p. The statement of purpose contained in the First CID, dated June 23, 2017, explains that the purpose of the Bureau's investigation is to determine whether "debt collectors, furnishers, or other persons in connection with the collection of debt and furnishing of information have engaged or are engaging in" violations of law. At the time the Bureau served the First CID it was purportedly aware of a violation of the FCRA, thus giving rise to the investigation in the first instance. At the very least, by October 2, 2017, when the Law



Executive Secretary Page 18 of 23

Firm submitted its final document production and written responses to the First CID the Bureau became aware of the Law Firm's alleged violation of the FCRA. As a result, any such claims are now time barred as beyond the 2 year limitation period.

Because the CID requests information well beyond the period of time when the CFPB could seek enforcement of any purported FDCPA or FCRA violation, the Law Firm respectfully requests confirmation of its satisfactory compliance with the Second CID by responding using an Applicable Period of activities be limited to the period from November 14, 2017, through the date of the CID.

## **III. CONCLUSION**

By its own admission, the Bureau is unconstitutionally structured. That constitutional defect permeates every facet of the Bureau's activity, shaping and directing the Bureau's behavior in ways which violate the separations of powers, trample due process, and threaten the very Liberty our system of government is designed to protect. The Law Firm requests that the Second CID be set aside in toto. Alternatively, the Law Firm requests that the Second CID be modified as described above.

Very truly yours,

John H. Bedard, Jr.

John H. Bedard, Jr. Bedard Law Group, P.C. Case 7:20-cv-03240-UA Document 6-6 Filed 04/27/20 Page 1 of 5

## EXHIBIT D

#### Case 7:20-cv-03240-UA Document 6-6 Filed 04/27/20 Page 2 of 5

Bureau of Consumer Financial Protection 1700 G Street NW Washington, D.C. 20552



IN RE LAW OFFICES OF CRYSTAL MORONEY, P.C.,

2019-MISC-Law Offices of Crystal Moroney, P.C.-0001

#### DECISION AND ORDER ON PETITION BY LAW OFFICES OF CRYSTAL MORONEY, P.C. TO SET ASIDE OR MODIFY CIVIL INVESTIGATIVE DEMAND

The Law Offices of Crystal Moroney, P.C. ("LOCM") has petitioned the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau for an order to set aside or modify a civil investigative demand (CID) issued to it by the Bureau. For the reasons set forth below, the Petition is denied.

#### FACTUAL BACKGROUND

This Petition concerns the second of two CIDs the Bureau issued to LOCM, a debtcollection law firm, as part of an investigation into potential violations of the Consumer Financial Protection Act, the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, and the Fair Credit Reporting Act and its implementing regulation.

The Bureau issued the first CID in June 2017. As provided for in the Bureau's rules governing investigations, *see* 12 C.F.R. 1080.6(c), LOCM met and conferred with staff from the Bureau's Office of Enforcement about the CID. Enforcement staff agreed to modify the CID in certain respects in response to LOCM's requests and to extend the deadlines for compliance. LOCM made a partial production in response to the CID but then refused to provide any further information. After efforts to resolve the disagreement failed, the Bureau filed a petition to enforce the CID in federal district court in New York. *See Bureau of Consumer Financial Protection v. Law Offices of Crystal Moroney, PC*, No. 7:19-cv-1732 (S.D.N.Y.).

In that litigation, LOCM argued that the CID could not be enforced because, among other reasons, it failed to satisfy the statutory requirement that CIDs issued by the Bureau "shall state the nature of the conduct constituting the alleged violation which is under investigation and the provision of law applicable to such violation." 12 U.S.C. § 5562(c)(2). The Bureau withdrew that CID and on November 14, 2019 sent LOCM a second, revised CID that provided additional information about the scope and purpose of the Bureau's investigation. (The Bureau's petition to enforce the first CID was properly denied as moot after the Bureau informed the district court

that the CID had been withdrawn.) The second CID seeks much the same information as the first one, but many of the specific requests in the CID have been amended for clarity or to narrow their scope, or simply renumbered.

After meeting with Enforcement staff about the second CID, LOCM filed this Petition to set aside or modify the CID on December 5, 2019.<sup>1</sup>

#### **LEGAL DETERMINATION**

LOCM's central argument concerns the provision in the Consumer Financial Protection Act that purports to limit the grounds on which the President can remove the Bureau's Director to "inefficiency, neglect of duty, or malfeasance in office." 12 U.S.C. § 5491(c)(3). LOCM contends that because this provision violates the constitutional separation of powers, and because the Supreme Court has granted certiorari in a case that raises that issue, *see Seila Law LLC v. CFPB*, No. 19-7 (U.S.), the CID should be set aside, or at least modified so that the response deadlines are stayed pending the Supreme Court's decision. LOCM also argues that the CID should be modified (1) to provide the same modifications that Enforcement staff previously agreed to with respect to the first CID, (2) to state that LOCM need not re-produce any material it submitted in response to the first CID, and (3) to limit the time period applicable to the requests in the CID to November 2017 through November 2019.

For the reasons set forth below, the Petition is denied.

1. The administrative process for petitioning to modify or set aside CIDs is not the proper forum for raising and adjudicating challenges to the constitutionality of provisions of the Bureau's statute. LOCM contends that I should set aside the CID because the removal restriction in Section 5491(c)(3) is unconstitutional and thus renders the CID invalid. Pet. at 5–13. In the alternative, LOCM asks that I defer the deadlines in the CID until after the Supreme Court has decided the constitutionality of the removal restriction in *Seila Law. Id.* at 14–16. The Bureau, however, has consistently taken the position that the administrative process set out in the Bureau's statute and regulations for petitioning to modify or set aside a CID is not the proper forum for raising and adjudicating challenges to the constitutionality of the Bureau's statute. *See, e.g., In re Equitable Acceptance Corp.*, 2019-MISC-Equitable Acceptance Corp.-0001 (Dec. 26, 2019)<sup>2</sup>, at 2; *In re Kern-Fuller and Sutter*, 2019-MISC-Candy Kern-Fuller and Howard

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> It appears that LOCM did not timely file its Petition within 20 days of service of the CID, as required by statute and the Bureau's rules governing investigations. *See* 12 U.S.C. § 5562(f)(1); 12 C.F.R. § 1080.6(e); *see also* 12 U.S.C. § 5562(c)(8) (service of a CID may be made by "depositing a duly executed copy in the United States mails, by registered or certified mail, return receipt requested"). Nevertheless. I will exercise my discretion in this matter and under the circumstances presented here to resolve the Petition on the merits.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Available at https://files.consumerfinance.gov/f/documents/cfpb\_equitable-acceptancecorp\_decision-and-order-on-petition.pdf.

E. Sutter III-0001 (Apr. 25, 2019)<sup>3</sup>, at 2; *In re Nexus Servs., Inc.*, 2017-MISC-Nexus Services, Inc. and Libre by Nexus, Inc.-0001 (Oct. 11, 2017)<sup>4</sup>, at 2. In the event that the Bureau determines at a later date that it is necessary to seek a court order compelling LOCM's compliance with this CID, *see* 12 U.S.C. § 5562(e), the firm can raise its constitutional objection as a defense to that proceeding in district court.<sup>4</sup>

2. LOCM seeks modifications that it should have negotiated in the first instance with Enforcement staff. LOCM next argues that the CID should be altered to provide the same modifications that Enforcement staff previously agreed to with respect to the first CID. Pet. at 16. Although this request is reasonable on its face, LOCM failed to meaningfully pursue it during the meet-and-confer process before filing its Petition and, even now, has not explained just how it believes the second CID—the language of which does not precisely track the language of the first CID—should be modified.

Petitioners who seek an order to modify or set aside a CID must certify that they first took part "in a good faith effort to resolve by agreement the issues raised by the petition." 12 C.F.R. § 1080.6(e)(1). The Bureau "will consider only issued raised during the meet and confer process." *Id.* § 1080.6(c)(3). Here, LOCM did raise the possibility of seeking modifications along these lines during the meet and confer. But it also agreed to memorialize its specific requests for modifications in a follow-up letter to Enforcement staff. Rather than sending that letter, LOCM filed this Petition. (LOCM did not seek an extension of time to file its Petition, as it could have under 12 C.F.R. § 1080.6(e)(2).) LOCM thus denied staff an opportunity to consider its requests for modifications in an efficient manner. It now seeks instead to raise those fact-specific determinations for my resolution in the first instance. That is not appropriate under the rules governing the Bureau's investigations. *See id.* § 1080.6(c)(3), (e)(1).

Nor am I in a position to grant Petitioner's request in any event because the Petition itself does not specify which requests should be modified and in what way. For these reasons, I must deny Petitioner's request. As noted below, however, LOCM is invited to properly present to Enforcement staff its requests to modify the second CID in order to bring it into line with the modified first CID. The Office of Enforcement should consider (and, as appropriate, adopt) these requests.

**3. LOCM must respond appropriately to this CID.** The Petition also argues that LOCM should be excused from producing any materials it previously submitted in its partial

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Available at https://files.consumerfinance.gov/f/documents/cfpb\_petition-to-modify\_candy-kern-fuller-and-howard-e-sutter\_decision-and-order.pdf.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Available at https://files.consumerfinance.gov/f/documents/cfpb\_petition-tomodify\_nexus\_decision-and-order.pdf.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> The Bureau has adopted the view in its ongoing litigation that the removal restriction is unconstitutional but that its invalidity does not affect the remainder of the Bureau's statute, including the provisions authorizing the Bureau to issue and enforce CIDs. *See* Br. of Resp't, *Seila Law*, 2019 WL 4528136 (U.S.).

response to the first CID. Pet. at 17. This request must also be denied because LOCM failed to properly submit those documents in response to the first CID. Both the first and second CIDs set out instructions for complying with the Bureau's standards for submitting electronically stored information. See generally 12 C.F.R. § 1080.6(b) (electronic information must be produced "in accordance with the instructions provided by the Bureau regarding the manner and form of production"). Yet LOCM's production fell far short of these standards, as it acknowledged at the time. Nor did LOCM pursue offers by Enforcement staff to try to alleviate the potential burden of complying with the submission standards. Such standards exist not as mere formalities but to ensure that the Bureau receives the information necessary to carry out its statutory responsibility to investigate potential violations of federal consumer financial law. LOCM's failure to meet those standards, or in the alternative to try to negotiate a reasonable accommodation with Enforcement staff, forecloses its argument here. In accord with the Bureau's regulations, LOCM must provide information responsive to the CID in accordance with the instructions provided by the Bureau regarding the manner and form of production, or seek appropriate modifications from Enforcement staff.

4. The CID seeks information relevant to potentially actionable violations of law. Finally, LOCM asks that I modify the CID to seek information only from the period between November 2017 and November 2019, arguing that the Bureau is barred from seeking earlier material by the statutes of limitations in the FDCPA and FCRA. Pet. at 17-18. But in conducting an investigation of potential violations of federal consumer financial law, the Bureau is not limited to gathering information only from the time period in which conduct may be actionable. Instead, what matters is whether the information is relevant to conduct for which liability can be lawfully imposed. See, e.g., CFPB v. Future Income Payments, LLC, 252 F. Supp. 3d 961, 969 (C.D. Cal. 2017), order vacated in part on other grounds, 2018 WL 7502720 (C.D. Cal. Dec. 18, 2018); CFPB v. Harbour Portfolio Advisors, LLC, No. 16-14183, 2017 WL 631914, at \*5 (E.D. Mich. Feb. 16, 2017). Even assuming that the CID sought information regarding conduct outside the statute of limitations, such information may be essential to the Bureau's ability to develop a complete understanding of the relevant facts about violations that would be actionable.

#### CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, the petition to set aside or modify the CID is denied. LOCM is directed to comply in full with the CID within 10 days of this Order. LOCM is welcome to engage in discussions with Bureau staff about any specific suggestions for modifying the CID, which may be adopted, as appropriate, by the Assistant Director or Deputy Assistant Director of the Office of Enforcement.

Kathleen L. Kraninger, Director

February 10, 2020

Case 7:20-cv-03240-UA Document 6-7 Filed 04/27/20 Page 1 of 2

## **EXHIBIT E**

From:	Assae-Bille, Vanessa (CFPB)
То:	"John Bedard"
Cc:	Patterson, Jehan (CFPB), Ronald Canter
Subject:	CFPB November 2019 CID
Date:	Thursday, March 12, 2020 2:16:02 PM

Dear Mr. Bedard,

I am writing to obtain an update on your intentions with respect to the November 14, 2019 CID.

Director Kraninger issued an order directing Law Offices of Crystal Moroney, P.C. to comply in full with the CID within 10 days of February 10, 2020. It is also my understanding that your client requested from the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York a preliminary injunction relating to this investigation, and that the district court denied that motion on February 27, 2020. Enforcement therefore asks that your client comply in full with the CID immediately.

To the extent you have requests for modifications, you must articulate them in a <u>request-specific</u> manner. A blanket request that Enforcement rely on a previous letter requesting modifications pertaining to a CID with differently worded and numbered request is inappropriate. Further, as indicated in Director Kraninger's order, information must be produced in a format acceptable to the Bureau. *See generally* 12 C.F.R. 1080.6(b). Please note that Enforcement will not agree to rely on documents that were previously produced in a format other than native files or in compliance with the Bureau's document submissions standards.

We look forward to hearing from you.

Thank you, Vanessa

E. Vanessa Assae-Bille Senior Litigation Counsel Office of Enforcement Consumer Financial Protection Bureau (CFPB) (o) 202.435.7688 | (c) 202.257.2068 Elisabeth.Assae-Bille@cfpb.gov consumerfinance.gov

Confidentiality Notice: If you received this email by mistake, you should notify the sender of the mistake and delete the e-mail and any attachments. An inadvertent disclosure is not intended to waive any privileges.

Case 7:20-cv-03240-UA Document 6-8 Filed 04/27/20 Page 1 of 3

## **EXHIBIT F**

John Bedard
<u>Assae-Bille, Vanessa (CFPB)</u>
Patterson, Jehan (CFPB); Ronald Canter
RE: CFPB November 2019 CID
Thursday, March 19, 2020 3:07:01 PM

Thank you Ms. Assae-Bille. Consistent with the Bureau's argument to the District Court that the Law Offices of Ms. Moroney is not obligated to respond, the law office will not be responding.

Thank you,

John H. Bedard, Jr. jbedard@bedardlawgroup.com

Bedard Law Group, P.C. 4855 River Green Parkway, Suite 310 Duluth, Georgia 30096 Phone: <u>678-253-1871ext. 244</u> www.bedardlawgroup.com

Check out Bedard Law Group's newest service offering – <u>BLG Insight</u>, your outsourced speech analytics legal solution!

Have a smart phone? Get this first-of-its-kind app for the collection industry - <u>ARM Law!</u> Now Available for iPhone, iPad, *and* Android!

From: Assae-Bille, Vanessa (CFPB) <Elisabeth.Assae-Bille@cfpb.gov>
Sent: Thursday, March 12, 2020 2:16 PM
To: John Bedard <jbedard@bedardlawgroup.com>
Cc: Patterson, Jehan (CFPB) <Jehan.Patterson@cfpb.gov>; Ronald Canter <rcanter@roncanterllc.com>
Subject: CFPB November 2019 CID

Dear Mr. Bedard,

I am writing to obtain an update on your intentions with respect to the November 14, 2019 CID.

Director Kraninger issued an order directing Law Offices of Crystal Moroney, P.C. to comply in full with the CID within 10 days of February 10, 2020. It is also my understanding that your client requested from the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York a

#### Case 7:20-cv-03240-UA Document 6-8 Filed 04/27/20 Page 3 of 3

preliminary injunction relating to this investigation, and that the district court denied that motion on February 27, 2020. Enforcement therefore asks that your client comply in full with the CID immediately.

To the extent you have requests for modifications, you must articulate them in a <u>request-specific</u> manner. A blanket request that Enforcement rely on a previous letter requesting modifications pertaining to a CID with differently worded and numbered request is inappropriate. Further, as indicated in Director Kraninger's order, information must be produced in a format acceptable to the Bureau. *See generally* 12 C.F.R. 1080.6(b). Please note that Enforcement will not agree to rely on documents that were previously produced in a format other than native files or in compliance with the Bureau's document submissions standards.

We look forward to hearing from you.

Thank you, Vanessa

E. Vanessa Assae-Bille Senior Litigation Counsel Office of Enforcement Consumer Financial Protection Bureau (CFPB) (o) 202.435.7688 | (c) 202.257.2068 Elisabeth.Assae-Bille@cfpb.gov consumerfinance.gov

Confidentiality Notice: If you received this email by mistake, you should notify the sender of the mistake and delete the e-mail and any attachments. An inadvertent disclosure is not intended to waive any privileges.