

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
Before the
BUREAU OF CONSUMER FINANCIAL PROTECTION

ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDING
File No. 2015-CFPB-0029

| | | |
|------------------------------------|---|-------------------------------|
| |) | |
| |) | |
| In the Matter of: |) | ENFORCEMENT COUNSEL’S |
| |) | MOTION TO ALLOW |
| |) | TWO ATTORNEYS TO ARGUE |
| |) | |
| INTEGRITY ADVANCE, LLC, and |) | |
| JAMES R. CARNES, |) | |
| |) | |
| Respondents. |) | |
| |) | |
| |) | |

ENFORCEMENT COUNSEL’S MOTION
TO ALLOW TWO ATTORNEYS TO ARGUE

Enforcement Counsel respectfully requests that the Director allow two attorneys to argue on behalf of Enforcement Counsel during the oral argument scheduled for the week of December 7 in the above-captioned matter.

In support of this motion, Enforcement Counsel states as follows:

1. On August 4, 2020, Administrative Law Judge Christine L. Kirby issued a Recommended Decision in the above-captioned matter pursuant to 12 C.F.R. § 1081.400.
2. Respondents filed a Notice of Appeal, taking exception to the Recommended Decision in its entirety.

3. Respondents filed an Opening Appeal Brief, Enforcement Counsel filed an Answering Brief, and Respondents filed a Reply Brief with the Director pursuant to 12 C.F.R. § 1081.403.
4. On November 4, 2020, Director Kathleen L. Kraninger scheduled an oral argument to hear Respondents' appeal during the week of December 7, 2020. The order requires the parties to designate the arguing lawyers by November 30, 2020.
5. Respondents have appealed virtually every aspect of the Recommended Decision and seven separate, substantive orders that preceded the Recommended Decision.
6. Among Respondents' arguments on appeal are ones regarding the Bureau's constitutionality and the effect of any ratification of this action by the Director.
7. In the proceedings before the Administrative Law Judge, the Bureau's Legal Division handled matters regarding the Bureau's constitutionality and its processes relating thereto.
8. Accordingly, Enforcement Counsel requests that the Director allow two attorneys to argue on its behalf during the oral argument, one of whom would be an attorney from the Bureau's Legal Division.¹
9. Respondents have informed Enforcement Counsel that they object to the relief sought herein.

¹ The attorney from the Bureau's Legal Division would be someone who has been and will continue to be walled-off from providing any advice or counsel to the Director concerning this matter.

WHEREFORE, Enforcement Counsel respectfully requests that the Director allow Enforcement Counsel to designate two attorneys for the December 2020 oral argument. A proposed order to this effect is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

Dated: November 18, 2020

Respectfully submitted,

*Attorneys for Plaintiff
Bureau of Consumer Financial Protection*

THOMAS G. WARD
Enforcement Director

DEBORAH MORRIS
Deputy Enforcement Director

ALUSHEYI J. WHEELER
Assistant Litigation Deputy

/s/ Stephen C. Jacques
Stephen C. Jacques
Enforcement Attorney
stephen.jacques@cfpb.gov
202-435-7368

Benjamin J. Clark
Enforcement Attorney
benjamin.clark@cfpb.gov
202-435-7871

Bureau of Consumer Financial Protection
1700 G Street, NW
Washington, DC 20552

Enforcement Counsel

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on the 18th day of November 2020, I caused a copy of the foregoing Enforcement Counsel's Motion to Allow Two Attorneys to Argue, as well as a proposed order related thereto, to be filed by electronic transmission (email) with the Office of Administrative Adjudication (CFPB_electronic_filings@cfpb.gov), and served by email on Respondents' counsel at the following addresses:

Richard J. Zack, Esq.
richard.zack@troutman.com

Michael A. Schwartz, Esq.
michael.schwartz@troutman.com

Christen M. Tuttle, Esq.
christen.tuttle@troutman.com

Saverio S. Romeo, Esq.
saverio.romeo@troutman.com

/s/ Stephen C. Jacques
Stephen C. Jacques