In the Matter of:

Integrity Advance

April 15, 2016 Nathan Novemsky

Condensed Transcript with Word Index



For The Record, Inc. (301) 870-8025 - www.ftrinc.net - (800) 921-5555

```
1
                                                                                                                3
           CONSUMER FINANCIAL PROTECTION BUREAU
                                                            1
                                                                               PROCEEDINGS
2
                                                           2
3
                                                           3
                                                                Whereupon--
4
    In the matter of:
                                                           4
                                                                                 NATHAN NOVEMSKY
    INTEGRITY ADVANCE
5
                                                           5
                                                                a witness, called for examination, having been
      ----)
                                                                first duly sworn, was examined and testified as
6
                                                           6
7
                                                           7
                                                                follows:
8
                               Friday, April 15, 2016
                                                           8
                                                                                    EXAMINATION
9
                                                           9
                                                                          BY MS. WEINBERG:
10
                               Consumer Financial
                                                           10
                                                                         Good morning, Dr. Novemsky.
                                                                     Q.
                               Protection Bureau
11
                                                           11
                                                                    Α.
                                                                         Good morning.
                               1625 I Street, N.W.
                                                           12
                                                                     Q.
                                                                         Am I saying you name correctly?
12
                               Washington, D.C. 20006
                                                                         You are.
13
                                                           13
                                                                     Α.
14
                                                           14
                                                                         As you know, you are here today for
15
                                                           15
                                                                your deposition. Before we proceed, I just want
                The above-entitled matter came on for
                                                                to tell you everybody who is in the room. My
16
                                                           16
      investigational hearing, pursuant to notice, at
17
                                                           17
                                                                name is Wendy Weinberg. I'm with the Consumer
     9:32 a.m., for the testimony of:
                                                                Final Protection Bureau. With me today are
18
                                                           18
19
                                                           19
                                                                Alusheyi Wheeler and Vivian Chum. And
                      NATHAN NOVEMSKY
                                                                representing you today is -- do you want --
20
                                                           20
                                                           21
                                                                         MS. BAKER: Allyson Baker from Venable.
21
                                                                         MS. PROFITA: And Hillary Profita from
22.
                                                           22
23
                                                           23
                                                                Venable.
                                                                          BY MS. WEINBERG:
24
                                                           24
     Reported by: Deborah Wehr, RPR
25
                                                           25
                                                                     Q.
                                                                          Could you please state and spell your
                                                     2
                                                                                                                4
1
     APPEARANCES:
                                                           1
                                                                name for the record.
2
                                                           2
                                                                    Α.
                                                                        Sure. Nathan Novemsky, N-A-T-H-A-N,
3
     ON BEHALF OF THE CONSUMER FINANCIAL PROTECTION
                                                           3
                                                                N-O-V-E-M-S-K-Y.
     BUREAU:
4
                                                                     Q.
                                                                         And your business address?
                                                           4
5
              WENDY J. WEINBERG, ESQUIRE
                                                                         165 Whitney Avenue, New Haven,
                                                           5
              ALUSHEYI WHEELER, ESQUIRE
                                                                Connecticut, Yale School of Management, 06520.
6
                                                           6
7
              VIVIAN CHUM, ESQUIRE
                                                           7
                                                                         Have you ever been deposed before?
                                                                     Q.
8
              Consumer Financial Protectin Bureau
                                                           8
                                                                     Α.
                                                                         I have not.
9
              1625 I Street, N.W.
                                                           9
                                                                         Okay. So some basic ground rules.
10
              Washington, D.C. 20006
                                                           10
                                                                I'll be asking you a lot of questions. You'll
              (202) 435-7688
                                                                be answering a lot of questions today. Our goal
11
                                                           11
              wendy.weinberg@cfpb.gov
12
                                                           12
                                                                here is to have as clear a record as possible,
                                                           13
                                                                which makes it a little different from
13
     ON BEHALF OF INTEGRITY ADVANCE AND THE WITNESS:
                                                           14
                                                                conversations where people tend to sort of
14
              ALLYSON B. BAKER, ESQUIRE
                                                           15
                                                                interrupt each other and there's a natural flow.
15
              HILLARY S. PROFITA, ESQUIRE
16
                                                           16
                                                                That tends to create a bad record for
17
              Venable, LLP
                                                           17
                                                                depositions. So it's a little less like a
18
              575 Seventh Street, N.W.
                                                           18
                                                                normal conversation. So I'm going to ask that
19
              Washington, D.C. 20004
                                                           19
                                                                you let me complete my questions and I will do
              (202) 344-4708
                                                           20
                                                                my best, although I will fail at some point
20
              abaker@venable.com
                                                           21
                                                                during this day, to let you complete your
21
22
                                                           22
                                                                answers before I move on.
23
                                                           23
                                                                         If you don't understand any of my
                                                          24
                                                                questions, please ask me to clarify the
24
                                                                question. I will do my best to be clear and
2.5
                                                           25
```

5 succinct, but I'm sure that there will be times amount of time that you spent preparing before 1 1 2 2 today when our communication isn't perfect. So vou started writing? 3 please let me know if anything I say is unclear 3 A. So the total time I spent preparing and 4 to you. If you don't ask for clarification, 4 writing the report? 5 I'll assume that you do understand the question. 5 Q. How long did you prepare before you 6 Another thing which is unlike 6 started writing? How long did you take to 7 conversation is that gestures aren't recorded. 7 prepare? 8 So if I ask you a question and you nod your 8 A. How many hours you mean? head, the court reporter isn't going to record 9 9 Q. Yes. 10 10 that. So I'm going to ask that rather than A. I would say around 20, 25 hours. I answering with anything that would include 11 don't remember the exact number. 11 12 gestures, that you actually verbalize your 12 O. Okay. And then assuming that your 13 writing took place after your preparation, how 13 response. 14 long did it take you to write your report? 14 As I mentioned off the record, if you need a break at any point during the day to 15 A. So there was some interweaving, but a 15 stretch or for any reason, please let me know 16 total of about 40 hours, including the 16 17 and we'll go off the record. I want you to be 17 preparation. 18 comfortable. This could be a long day. 18 Q. And did you read everything that you The exception to that is I'm going to 19 included in Appendix D of your report, which was 19 20 ask you not to ask for a break when there's a 20 a list of documents? 21 question pending. There shouldn't be a long 21 A. I would not say read. I would say 22 delay. And my goal here, as I said is not to skimmed or read depending on the nature of the 22 make you uncomfortable, but that is the one document. Some documents I looked at more 23 23 24 quickly, some documents more slowly. 24 caveat. So have you been engaged by the law 25 Q. Is there anything that you read for 25 8 6 1 firm of Venable in this matter? 1 your report that isn't in Appendix D? 2 2 A. Not that I'm aware of. A. I have. 3 3 Q. Is there anything that you wanted to Q. Have you ever been hired by that law read but you were not able to? 4 firm before? 4 A. No. One could read forever in the 5 5 6 6 academic literature, but I stopped at some point Q. And is it your intention to provide 7 testimony in this matter at trial? 7 and started writing the report. 8 8 Q. Was there anything in these documents A. Yes. 9 Q. Okay. Let's look at your expert 9 that was summarized for you? 10 report. Is the CV that you provided in 10 A. I don't think so. 11 Appendix A to your report, is that a complete 11 Q. Did you write all the portions of your 12 and up-to-date CV? 12 report? 13 13 A. I did. A. It is. Q. When were you hired by Venable in this 14 Q. How much have you been compensated for 14 your work on this report? 15 15 matter? A. Around the third week of February. I A. Compensated at the rate of \$450 an 16 16 17 don't remember the exact date. 17 18 Q. And when did you finish writing the 18 Q. So about 40 hours at 450; is that 19 19 report? correct? 20 A. I believe it was the 25th of March. 20 A. Correct. 21 The date is on the report. 21 Q. Have you ever been engaged as an expert 22 Q. And how long did it take you to write 22 before? 23 your report? 23 A. I have. A. In what sense are you asking how long? O. By whom? 24 24 25 Q. Well, I assume that there was a certain 25 MS. BAKER: Let me just give you a word

11 of caution. If you can disclose that 1 them go to litigation? 1 2 2 information because it wouldn't be disclosing A. Yeah, many of them went to litigation. 3 confidential information, to the extent you have 3 Q. Can you tell me the names of any of 4 been retained in the context of a consultant and 4 those cases? 5 that was never made public or that was 5 MS. BAKER: To the extent your 6 confidential work product, you can't talk about 6 involvement in those cases was disclosed, you 7 7 that. But in other instances when you have been can. And if it was not, then I would caution 8 engaged, you certainly can disclose that 8 you because often the consultants are retained 9 9 information to Ms. Weinberg. as part of a work product doctrine. That's not 10 THE WITNESS: I don't remember the name 10 something that you can breach. of the firm, if that's the question. THE WITNESS: So I don't know that I 11 11 12 BY MS. WEINBERG: 12 was revealed in any of those cases. 13 Q. What was the matter for which you were 13 BY MS. WEINBERG: 14 14 Q. Have you ever been qualified as an engaged? A. The most recent matter for which I was expert in a court or administrative proceeding? 15 15 16 an expert was a defamation case where there was 16 17 something put into the media and there was a --17 Q. Have you ever been offered as an expert 18 my expertise was around how people reacted to 18 but not qualified as an expert by a court or an 19 administrative proceeding? the statements made in the media. 19 20 Q. Did you write an expert report in that 20 21 21 Q. What year did you get your doctorate? matter? 22 22 A. 2000. 23 23 Q. And what was it in? Q. And did that go to litigation? A. It did not. It did not get to 24 24 A. Social psychology. 25 25 litigation. Q. And what was the topic of your thesis? 10 12 Q. Are there any other instances in which A. Decision-making. 2 you have been engaged as an expert other than 2 Q. In a particular context? 3 this defamation matter? 3 A. It was consumer decision-making. It 4 was in the context of consumer experiences. 4 A. So I have been engaged many times as 5 5 part of a team of experts where I'm not the one Q. Was there a particular type of authoring the report but I'm working with experience that you were studying? 6 6 7 colleagues. One of the colleagues is typically 7 A. My conclusions were not germane to the 8 8 experience. We ran experiments which used the author on the report. I have done that in 9 many matters. 9 particular stimuli, but our conclusions were 10 Q. How many matters would you say? 10 generally about consumer experiences. 11 A. Dozens. I don't have a number off the 11 Q. Have you ever had an article rejected 12 top of my head. 12 by a peer-reviewed journal? 13 Q. And so you have never been the author 13 A. Yes. of a report in any of those matters? O. And when was that? 14 14 A. Correct. In those matters, no. A. These articles were ultimately accepted 15 15 by journals. So I don't -- remembering the 16 O. And what was the subject of those? 16 17 A. There are many subjects. False 17 rejection would be a difficult thing. I don't have a date. That's a good question. 18 advertising was a big one. Consumer 18 Q. What was the article or articles? 19 understanding of labels, of products, of claims. 19 20 That sort of thing was many of them. Often 20 A. Material has been rejected by a 21 there was a survey involved. So sometimes we 21 peer-reviewed journal and then -- I'm trying to 22 were on the side constructing and running a 22 think. All of my articles that ultimately were 23 survey or on the side rebutting a survey that 23 submitted were accepted, but sometimes --24 24 had been run. That's a lot of what we did. Q. In different journals than the ones you 25 Q. And in any of those matters, did any of 25 submitted to?

13 15 A. Sometimes the same journal; sometimes more specific. When you were looking at an ad, 1 1 2 different journals. It's now part of the game 2 for instance, I guess one of vour cases involved 3 of academia that sometimes you get rejected and 3 an ad, what was the survey trying to determine 4 then you fix the things they wanted you to fix 4 about the ad? and then you submit again. You can do to the 5 5 A. It was trying to determine the exact 6 same journal or you can do to different 6 consumer understanding of that ad. 7 7 journals. The history of my submissions of my Q. And was the understanding based only on 8 articles is very hard to recall. So I would be 8 the text or was it based on other things like 9 making it up. I don't want to do that. 9 visual stimuli or --10 10 Q. Have you ever analyzed consumer A. I think I understand the question. So yeah, it was based on anything that was 11 contracts? 11 12 A. Yes. 12 available, if there were visual stimuli, Q. When? sometimes even maps as part of some of these 13 13 14 litigations that involved telecommunications 14 A. As part of these matters that I was a consultant to experts often had a consumer 15 coverage. Then it was based on whatever 15 contract and there was some question of the 16 16 information was present in the consumer context 17 consumer's understanding of that contract. 17 was attempted to replicate it in the survey. So 18 Q. Can you be more specific about which 18 it could include visual stimuli, yes. matters those were? Q. Could it include things like for the 19 19 20 MS. BAKER: Same --20 ad, was there a particular content in there that 21 THE WITNESS: I guess I would rather 21 you were testing to see what consumers' 22 understanding of that content was? I'm just 22 not. trying to understand what the work is that you 23 BY MS. WEINBERG: 23 24 were doing with these ads. 24 O. Then without naming the matters, can 25 you tell me what your task was in analyzing the 25 A. Usually there was a particular issue 14 16 1 contracts? 1 around consumer understanding. So as an 2 MS. BAKER: You can disclose that. 2 example --3 THE WITNESS: Sure. So it's an issue 3 Q. Could you be more specific? 4 of when there's a certain -- you know, certain 4 A. So one was about cell phone coverage, 5 either disclosure or entire contract, what is 5 and so the ad was about cell phone networks. 6 6 And the question was, what is a consumer's the consumer taking away from that contract? 7 7 What do they understand the terms of the understanding of the extent of coverage after contract to be? 8 8 seeing the information, including visuals that 9 BY MS. WEINBERG: 9 was in the ad? 10 Q. And for that analysis were you looking 10 Q. Okay. That's helpful. Can you give me 11 at the text of the contract or were you looking 11 another example of the type of survey work that 12 at other types of variables? 12 you were doing in these consulting positions? 13 A. Almost invariably we had a consumer 13 A. So some of the survey work was about survey. Either we would run the survey or the class certification in some of these consumer 14 14 other side would run the survey. Then we would matters. So then the question was whether 15 15 16 look at the data from the survey. 16 consumers have similar understanding to each other or have the same position vis-a-vis each 17 Q. Was the survey directed at 17 understanding of text or was it looking at other 18 18 other and constitute a class or do not sort of extrinsic variables such as -- well, 19 19 constitute a class. 20 other extrinsic variables? 20 Q. In relation to their understanding of 21 A. It would look at whatever -- the target 21 an ad? could be a lot of things. The target could be 22 22 A. It could be in relation to their 23 an ad. The target could be a contract. The 23 understanding of an ad or a contract. I can't 24 target could be, you know, whatever is at issue. 24 remember the exact materials now. Sometimes

25

that was in relation to a contract.

25

Q. Okay. But I'm going to ask you to be

17 19 Q. Can you give me any other examples of 1 number of corporate partnerships. And in those 1 partnerships, I do work on areas where consumers 2 2 the type of work you did as a consultant? 3 A. So consumer -- just to re-list them in 3 might, as part of their experience, have a 4 my own head, consumer understanding of ads or 4 contract. So work on consumers' use of credit 5 contracts, certification of classes related to a 5 cards, for example. I don't know if we consider 6 consumer matter where the consumers were either 6 that relevant to a contract. There's certainly 7 7 similar or different from each other. Those are a contract in a credit card agreement between a 8 the broad categories. I can remember the 8 bank and a consumer. 9 defamation was another one that I mentioned most 9 O. Was your research related to the 10 recently. Those are the classes that come to 10 content of that contract, that credit card mind right now, classes of things that I have contract itself or some other aspect of the 11 11 12 done. 12 relationship? 13 Q. And in any of those consulting jobs did 13 A. It included every aspect of the you not do consumer surveys? relationship, perhaps including the terms of the 14 14 A. I don't recall a matter that did not contract. So it probably wasn't limited only to 15 15 the contract, but included the terms. 16 involve a survey. 16 17 Q. Outside of the context of these 17 Q. What was the question that you were 18 consulting jobs that you have just referenced, 18 given to answer? 19 have you done any other research on consumer MS. BAKER: To the extent you can 19 20 contracts? 20 discuss that. And I don't know if you have some 21 A. I'll take a minute to think about that. 21 kind of NDA, nondisclosure agreement with those 22 O. Sure. 22 companies. And if you do, then what I would --23 23 even if you don't, with the absence of knowing MS. BAKER: Can I just ask a question, 24 24 Wendy, while Dr. Novemsky is thinking about that for sure, what I would say is answer this. Consumer contracts, I think you guys are 25 Ms. Weinberg's question to the extent you can, 25 20 18 talking about the same thing, but do you want to but bear in mind that it probably will be pretty 1 2 just clarify with precision exactly what you 2 obvious from your CV what companies you are 3 mean by that? 3 discussing. So if you can answer it without BY MS. WEINBERG: 4 4 violating what could be an NDA, and I don't know 5 Q. Well, let me ask you, since you have 5 if you have them or not -been answering the questions based on my use of MS. WEINBERG: I don't think it's 6 6 obvious from his CV. There's lots of companies. 7 those words, what has been your understanding of 7 8 BY MS. WEINBERG: 8 what a consumer contract is? 9 9 A. I'm assuming you mean a written Q. If you can just say generically like I 10 agreement between a consumer and some kind of 10 did this, you don't have to say it was for 11 service or product provider where the consumer 11 company X. Or you can call it company X. 12 often signs and agrees to the terms of a 12 MS. BAKER: I'm okay with that. 13 particular contract. 13 THE WITNESS: Generically, we tried to Q. Great. That was my intention. 14 understand what features of the product or 14 15 MS. BAKER: Good. Okay. service, for example, a financial product, what 15 BY MS. WEINBERG: features of the product consumers understood and 16 16 Q. That interrupted your flow of thought. liked and used and made decisions based on 17 17 Do you need more time to think about your answer 18 18 versus what aspects they did not like or to the question, which was have you ever done 19 19 understand or make decisions based on would be 20 research outside of the context of the 20 the kinds of questions we would pursue. 21 consulting positions that you just mentioned on 21 BY MS. WEINBERG: consumer contracts? 22 22 Q. Okay. And is it fair to say that that 23 A. I have done research related to 23 research focused primarily on the qualities of 24 consumer situations where contracts are 24 the product rather than the qualities of the 25 involved. So many of -- in my CV I list a 25 contract?

21 23 A. It's hard for me to disentangle the Q. As far as you know, is that form of the 1 1 2 2 product and the contract just based on a contracts that you looked at in Dr. Hastak's 3 3 financial product... report how the contracts appeared to Integrity 4 Q. What percentage of your work would you 4 Advance customers? 5 say was a financial product versus a physical 5 A. My understanding is they appeared 6 6 online. Not in the paper form. product? 7 7 O. Other than them appearing online, are A. Percentage of that particular type of 8 work? 8 you aware of any other differences in the 9 Q. Yeah. 9 appearance of the contracts? 10 A. I don't know. Maybe a quarter was 10 A. No. financial. Twenty-five percent. 11 11 Q. And just to make sure that we are Q. And what was the type of financial 12 12 completely on the same page on this, so it's product that was the subject? your understanding that if you took a printed 13 13 14 MS. BAKER: That's the caution right copy of the contracts that appeared in 14 15 there. Just if you can describe it without Dr. Hastak's report and they just appeared on 15 your computer screen, they would look exactly 16 disclosing something that would give away the 16 17 nature of the work you have done that I suspect 17 the same as they do other than the fact that you 18 is subject to a nondisclosure agreement, you may 18 are looking at them on a computer screen? 19 do so. But please keep in mind that you do have 19 A. I mean, everything when you translate 20 an NDA and I don't want you to run afoul of that 20 it to from offline to online changes a bit in 21 with any of your entities. 21 out how it appears. But there are no specific 22 differences that I would expect other than that. THE WITNESS: What I can say is broadly 22 23 we worked on consumer credit, so credit cards, 23 O. Nothing material; is that correct? 24 MS. BAKER: Objection. Vague. 24 retirement, and commercial banking products were areas where we did research. 25 BY MS. WEINBERG: 25 22 24 BY MS. WEINBERG: Q. Are you aware of any differences at all 1 2 Q. Okay. Did Venable or Integrity Advance 2 other than the difference that occurs naturally 3 give you copies of the contracts that were used 3 when any document is viewed on paper as opposed with customers of Integrity Advance used by 4 to on a computer screen or a smart phone, I customers of Integrity Advance? 5 5 suppose? 6 6 A. I'm not aware of any specific A. Yes. So the loan agreements I did see 7 7 largely as part of the appendix to Dr. Hastak's differences other than the translation between 8 8 offline and online. 9 Q. Great. And did you look at any other 9 Q. Okay. And when you say online, you 10 contracts other than those that you have 10 just mean viewing it on a computer? specifically -- contracts between Integrity 11 A. On a computer or a smart phone or a 11 12 Advance and these customers other than those 12 tablet or digitally, I guess. 13 that were specifically referenced in your 13 Q. Have you ever done research on payday report? 14 loans? 14 A. Not that I know of. 15 15 A. No. Q. Have you ever done research on payday 16 O. Are you aware of any other contracts 16 loan contracts? 17 used between Integrity Advance and its 17 18 customers? 18 A. No. 19 19 A. I am not, no. Q. Do you have any knowledge about the 20 Q. As far as you know, is the form of 20 types of people who take out payday loans? 21 contracts that were given to you -- and they 21 A. I have some from reading relevant to 22 22 were given to you by Venable; is that correct? this case, yes. 23 Or you just got them through Dr. Hastak's 23 Q. And what did you read relevant to this 24 24 case that provided the basis of that knowledge? report?

A. There are a few academic articles

25

A. They gave me his report.

25

25 27 study, as this one is, they write several 1 referenced in my report that talked a bit about 1 2 2 articles on it. I read that article that's who the people were who take out payday loans. 3 Q. So other than what is cited in your 3 cited and I read this article and inadvertently 4 report, do you have any other basis of knowledge 4 cited that one as having the important -- the 5 about who payday customers are? 5 thing that's footnoted. Not the correct one, 6 A. Just my experiences in the world prior 6 which is sitting on the table now. 7 7 Q. Okay. 8 Q. And do you have specific experience 8 MS. BAKER: Would you like a copy of 9 with payday loans? 9 it? We brought them along. I don't think it 10 materially changes anything. You are welcome to 10 A. No. 11 have copies if you think that that's of use to 11 MS. BAKER: Something that I want to bring your attention to, Ms. Weinberg, is, I 12 12 believe, in footnote 2 of Dr. Novemsky's report. 13 MR. WHEELER: Thank you. 13 And if you want to describe that, there's an 14 BY MS. WEINBERG: 14 15 additional cite that should be included that was 15 Q. Other than the surveys that you not. We actually have copies of that article if described in the context of your consulting 16 16 you would like them. It was inadvertently 17 17 work, have you done any other consumer surveys? 18 omitted. 18 A. Sure. My research is based largely on 19 19 consumer surveys. So, yes. MS. WEINBERG: Right now I don't want 20 to take testimony from you, Ms. Baker, but thank 20 Q. And what is the main area of your 21 21 research? 22 MS. BAKER: I'm just telling you that. 22 A. There are several areas of research 23 BY MS. WEINBERG: 23 that I focus on. Consumer decision-making is one broad area. Consumer experiences is another 24 24 Q. I asked you before if there was anything that you relied on that wasn't in your 25 broad area that I focus on. 25 26 28 1 report and you said no. Are you changing that Q. And when you say consumer 2 testimony at this point to say --2 decision-making, can you be more specific about 3 A. So I had a conversation with counsel 3 what you mean by that? A. Sure. I study how consumers react to 4 where they said we are going to add this --4 MS. BAKER: We discussed yesterday that 5 5 information that's in front of them, what 6 this inadvertently had been left out. So we 6 information they use, what information they 7 just wanted you to have a copy of the report. 7 ignore and then how they go from the information to an ultimate decision. 8 8 THE WITNESS: So when you asked me 9 what's in my report, I included that. Although 9 Q. And the other broad topic that you --10 it was inadvertently left out, it's been added 10 A. Consumer experiences. 11 or being added. So I was under the impression 11 Q. And can you be more specific about 12 that they had made copies and it was going to be 12 that? 13 added. 13 A. Sure. Consumers experience a product or service or really anything, and then a number 14 BY MS. WEINBERG: 14 of questions arise as to what their memory is of 15 Q. Was that something you relied on in 15 16 16 that and how that inputs into future decisions. writing your report? Q. And you have published papers, 17 A. It was, yes. 17 18 Q. Why wasn't it included in your report? 18 obviously, as noted in your CV. Is it fair to 19 A. It was an accident because these two 19 say that the main focus of the papers is the two 20 authors who wrote this also wrote the article 20 broad topics that you have just described as the 21 that is in my report. And I ended up citing an 21 subjects of your research? 22 article derived from this article, but this is a 22 A. Yes. Q. Are there any other types of papers 23 better citation for that same point from the 23

that you have written on different types of

24

25

subjects?

24

25

same people and based on the same data. So

they -- often when an academic undertakes a big

29 31 A. Not coming to mind off the top of my understanding of the meaning of the analysis 1 1 2 2 that Dr. Hastak undertook around clear and 3 Q. So then would it be fair to say that --3 conspicuous disclosures is relevant insofar as 4 well, could you characterize your area of 4 it influences consumer understanding of the 5 5 things that are being disclosed. And so I expertise, then? 6 A. Sure. My area of expertise includes 6 certainly do have expertise around how consumers 7 7 consumer decision-making, which I often unpack form an understanding about information that is 8 into some of its parts which include consumer 8 disclosed either clearly or unclearly, either 9 information and processing, consumer 9 conspicuously or inconspicuously. 10 10 experiences. These also impact market research Q. But then is it fair to say that your because market research is done with the goal of specific expertise has to do with how consumers 11 11 12 understanding consumer decision-making. So 12 make decisions about information that they read 13 there are a lot of areas that feed into consumer 13 in a document rather than on analyzing a 14 14 decision-making that end up being relevant to my document itself? research. Consumer psychology is another term 15 A. I think it's all part of the process. 15 for this. Sadly, behavioral economics is 16 16 I think decision-making, as academics like 17 another term for this, inappropriately so, I 17 myself understand it, starts from the moment 18 think, but it's the term people tend to use 18 from what are they exposed to, what experiences 19 outside of academia. There may be others I'm do they have in the past, all the way through to 19 20 forgetting. There are a lot of ways to 20 what information do they process, what do they 21 characterize them, but they are all quite 21 remember, what do they understand and then how 22 related fields. They get different names at 22 do they make a decision ultimately. So all of 23 different moments but they are all related 23 those pieces, I think, fall within my expertise. 24 24 Q. So again, I just want to clarify. Am I fields. 25 25 understanding your testimony correctly to say Q. Do you have any expertise on the 30 32 clarity of disclosures in written documents? that your expertise has to do with consumer 2 A. So that is about consumers using 2 decision-making based on either the text of a 3 3 document or other variables? information, and I certainly do have expertise 4 about how consumers go from information 4 MS. BAKER: Objection. Assumes facts 5 5 presented to them to their understanding, not in evidence. 6 ultimately to a decision relevant to that 6 BY MS. WEINBERG: 7 information. 7 Q. Do you understand the question? 8 8 Q. But I want to ask you specifically not 9 9 about consumer choice or decision-making, but Q. It appears -- is it fair to say that 10 about clarity of disclosures or text in a 10 your expertise involves consumer 11 document. 11 decision-making? 12 A. I have to ask what you mean by clarity 12 A. Yes. And as I -- consumer 13 13 decision-making is not just the moment of do I specifically. chose. It includes the moments of information 14 O. Well, Dr. Hastak's report focused 14 primarily on the clarity, whether certain 15 15 acquisition, information exposure, memory, 16 disclosures were clear and conspicuous. 16 experience and all those pieces of the 17 MS. BAKER: Is there a question 17 decision-making process. Not just the ultimate 18 18 decision. pending? 19 MS. WEINBERG: If you would let me 19 Q. Right. So then what your focus has finish, there would be. 20 20 been is on decision-making, including a 21 BY MS. WEINBERG: 21 consideration of lots of different types of 22 Q. So my question is, do you have 22 input that a consumer has that go into making a 23 expertise specifically on whether disclosures in 23 decision, the ones that you have just laid out 24 24 a written document are clear and conspicuous? in your previous statement: is that correct? 25 A. So as I mentioned in my report, my 25 A. Yes. Included in that statement was

33 35 to that document, then you need some 1 how they process information that's disclosed to 1 2 2 consideration of the consumer. 3 Q. And putting aside the question of how 3 Q. Have you ever done reports about 4 consumers make decisions based upon reading a 4 disclosures in documents? Specifically just particular document, just separating the 5 5 disclosures? 6 consumers from this experience entirely, have 6 A. So I'm going to tell you what I think, 7 7 you done -- do you have any expertise on simply what I understand by the word "disclosures." 8 looking at a document, cutting out the consumers 8 Disclosures to me just means communicating 9 and analyzing whether a document is conveying a 9 information to a consumer. And so, yes, I have 10 message clearly? 10 certainly done work that involves just A. I don't think it's meaningful to communicating information to consumers. 11 11 analyze the conveyance of a message without 12 12 Q. And what work is that? thinking about the person it's being conveyed 13 13 A. Much of my research is about how 14 to. So it's hard for me to even think about a consumers respond to information presented. For 14 15 document conveying a message unless I have some example, the advertisements work that we talked 15 consideration of the person receiving that about earlier, the question there was, when an 16 16 17 conveyed message. 17 advertisement is shown to a consumer, what 18 Q. So then your context does not include 18 answer understanding do they take away? I 19 only looking at the document. It only includes 19 consider that of this form. 20 how that document would impact a consumer 20 Q. And have you always -- when you have 21 reading the document? 21 written those reports, have you always done a 22 MS. BAKER: Objection. Assumes facts 22 survey for those reports? not in evidence. Form. 23 23 A. Yes, either done a survey or responded 24 BY MS. WEINBERG: 24 to someone else's survey. 25 Q. Is that a correct statement of your 25 Q. Have you formed any opinions in this 34 36 1 expertise? 1 case? 2 A. I'm not sure I understand the 2 A. Yes. 3 3 statement. Could you repeat it? Q. What are they? Q. Sure. Is it fair to say then that your 4 4 MS. BAKER: You can answer that if you 5 expertise -- if I understand what you have said, 5 can remember it. then you don't believe it's possible to separate 6 6 But I think you have a report from 7 a document itself outside of a consumer's 7 Dr. Novemsky. 8 8 understanding of that document, an analysis of THE WITNESS: Yeah, I would say there 9 9 the document itself? are many and they are in my report. 10 MS. BAKER: Objection. Form. 10 BY MS. WEINBERG: 11 Compound. 11 Q. What would you say your main opinion is 12 BY MS. WEINBERG: 12 coming out? 13 Q. Do you think it's possible to analyze a 13 A. So to be clear, my task about which I document without considering how a consumer 14 14 formed an opinion was to analyze Dr. Hastak's would react to that document? report. And my main conclusion from 15 15 16 A. That would depend on the goal of the 16 Dr. Hastak's report is in the absence of 17 analysis. If the goal of the analysis is 17 evidence in his report, it's hard for me to see ultimately consumers' understanding of what's 18 18 what conclusions in his report about consumer been disclosed, then you need to think about the 19 19 understanding are valid. 20 consumer. You could analyze the document for 20 Q. Okay. Does your report contain all the 21 other purposes, like is it a nice font, and then 21 opinions that you intend to offer at trial? 22 you would not need to refer to the consumers. 22 A. At this moment it does. If new 23 But if you want to analyze a document in a way 23 information comes to light, I might form new 24 24 opinions. But right now, yes. that has conclusions for how a consumer would 25 understand that document or do anything related 25 Q. What type of new information?

37 39 A. I don't know. I just leave open the 1 Q. The report will be 1 and the appendices 1 2 2 will be 2. Does that look like an accurate copy possibility. 3 3 Q. In terms of Dr. Hastak's report, which of your report and the appendices? 4 he has testified -- you've read the transcript, 4 A. It does. 5 5 MS. WEINBERG: So I would like to offer that it's complete, I'm having trouble imagining 6 what type of new information would affect your 6 Exhibits 1 and 2 into evidence. 7 7 opinions if what you are responding to is BY MS. WEINBERG: 8 Dr. Hastak's report. Maybe you could tell me 8 Q. What, if anything, did you do to 9 what you are thinking about. 9 prepare for this deposition? A. I don't know. New academic work comes 10 10 A. I reviewed documents in both my report, to light on this topic that reveals something Dr. Hastak's report and related documents. 11 11 about how consumers think about these areas Q. Anything else? 12 12 13 would be one example. 13 A. I met with counsel to talk about what Q. Any other examples? 14 14 is expected --A. Someone goes and finds consumers who 15 MS. BAKER: Don't talk about what we 15 have taken out payday loans and interviews them 16 16 discussed. We met. and gains knowledge about their understanding of 17 17 BY MS. WEINBERG: 18 various things from that would be another 18 Q. How many times did you meet? example, I suppose. 19 A. Twice. 19 20 Q. So also academic work --20 Q. And how long did you meet? 21 A. Or nonacademic versions of that, yeah. 21 A. One time extremely briefly. I would 22 Q. Such as? say 15 minutes. One time for, I would say, 22 23 A. If someone were to go and survey 23 about three hours. consumers. For example, in this matter, if 24 24 O. Okav. Did vou conduct any studies or someone decided to run a survey saying, you 25 surveys on Integrity Advance's disclosures? 25 38 40 1 know, using aspects of the loan agreement, that 1 A. I did not. 2 would be new information that I certainly would 2 Q. Did you contemplate conducting any such 3 3 want to see. studies? Q. Meaning they surveyed consumers who had 4 4 A. I did not. taken out loans with Integrity Advance? 5 5 Q. Why not? A. Or other consumers who could be A. That was not the task I was given. I 6 6 7 surveyed about these same topics. 7 was told to analyze Dr. Hastak's report. 8 Q. The topics meaning the loan agreements 8 Q. So let's turn -- you still have your 9 9 with Integrity Advance? report in front of you. Let's turn to 10 A. Correct. 10 paragraph 14, which is on page 4 of your report. 11 Q. So other than these two caveats that 11 Do you see that? 12 you have just given, that there might be new 12 A. I do. 13 literature or research done into payday loans, 13 Q. Your second full sentence there says, Consumer understanding of the loan agreement is have you completed your analysis so that the 14 14 opinions in your report are complete? extremely context-dependent. 15 15 Is that an accurate reading of your 16 A. Yes. 16 Q. Okay. I would like to offer your 17 17 report? report into evidence. And it is in two separate A. Yes. 18 18 19 documents so that the appendix and the report Q. Did you do anything to evaluate the 19 20 itself are stapled separately. Would you please 20 context within which Integrity Advance's 21 look at this and tell me if this represents --21 customers would understand their loan 22 I'm giving one to the court reporter. 22 agreements? 23 (Novemsky Deposition Exhibit Numbers 1 23 A. I'm not sure what you mean by the term and 2 were marked for identification.) 24 24 "evaluate." 25 BY MS. WEINBERG: 25 Q. Did you do any study, any research on

41 43 1 that? 1 has uncovered. So in order to look at 2 A. Research as to what was the context 2 consumers' understanding of Integrity Advance's 3 when they examined the loan agreement? 3 loan agreements, is it your testimony that a 4 Q. Yes. 4 survey should have looked at all of these A. I did not. 5 5 factors? 6 Q. And why not? 6 A. A survey would do its best to control 7 A. It was not my task. 7 those factors and to put consumers in a mindset, 8 O. And what sort of variables in this --8 goals, et cetera, that are similar to how an 9 within this frame of saying that understanding 9 actual consumer making an actual loan decision 10 of a loan agreement is context-dependent, what 10 would be. So it's not that you need to examine sort of context would be important for them as much as be aware and control for them 11 11 typically. In some cases you might examine 12 understanding, for looking at consumers' 12 13 understanding? 13 them. 14 A. So the things listed in the next 14 Q. And how could you replicate the actual sentence in my report would be examples. experience of Integrity Advance's customers 15 15 Q. So it says, The consumer's current reliably without knowing what each individual 16 16 17 thoughts. 17 was going through at the time they took out the 18 So you didn't look at the consumer's 18 loan? 19 current thoughts here, right? 19 A. So the scientific method that the field A. I did not. 20 20 of consumer behavior uses is we put people, Q. In order to do that in this context, 21 21 similar people in similar situations as well as 22 would you have had to speak with those consumers we -- as similar as possible to the situation of 22 at the time that they were taking out the loan 23 23 interest to us. We can't always put people in 24 24 agreement? the exact consumer situation and we can't always 25 A. That's one way to do it. I would not 25 study the exact consumers. But the scientific 42 44 1 have to. There are other ways. 1 community has found ways to draw generalizations 2 Q. What else? 2 from putting similar people in similar 3 A. One could put them in a similar 3 situations and then examining them through a situation again, more similar consumers in a 4 survey or other measure and drawing conclusion 4 5 about how things likely play without in the real 5 similar situation again, present them with 6 situation. And then the peer review process similar context and information and then, you 6 7 7 know, ask some questions of them and try to often points out factors that were not 8 8 controlled for and then they get controlled ascertain their thoughts in that context with 9 the loan agreement. 9 form. We get as close as possible. It's not a 10 Q. And the other factors that you list 10 perfect examination, but it's the best that 11 here, momentary goals, mindset, level of 11 science can do in this area. 12 depletion, what do you mean by level of 12 Q. But here you didn't do any empirical 13 depletion? 13 research on how context would influence consumer 14 behavior for Integrity Advance consumers? 14 A. Depletion is a psychological construct that's come up about the last 15 years. 15 A. Correct. 15 16 Research in psychology has shown that people, 16 Q. And does context, as we've just been when they exert -- when they do something they 17 17 talking about it, impact the clarity of loan 18 don't want to and they have to exert 18 disclosures? 19 19 concentration, for example, sitting in a A. Yes. 20 deposition, over time their ability to exert 20 Q. Context -- it's your testimony that 21 that same concentration and to resist impulses 21 context influences the content of the clarity of 22 they might have goes down. That's what I mean 22 the loan disclosures as they appear on a piece 23 by depletion. 23 of paper themselves? 24 24 MS. BAKER: Objection. Form. That's Q. And then you list level of distraction 25 25 and many other factors that behavioral science not quite what he said.

45 47 BY MS. WEINBERG: A. I don't know offhand. I don't know how 1 1 2 2 it appeared online. If I were running the Q. Is it your testimony -- well, why don't 3 you just state how you think context influences 3 survey and the original loan document was 4 the content of a written document. 4 presented online, I would present it in the 5 5 survey online if I could. A. Okay. So this comes back to our 6 discussion earlier. I don't think the clarity 6 Q. And what if you didn't know how it 7 7 of a document is a meaningful construct above appeared online? 8 and beyond how consumers understand that 8 A. I would present it as best as I 9 9 would -- as close to how I think -- I would use document. And I do think that consumers' 10 10 understanding of a document so the clarity with everything I know about how it appeared online which it conveys a particular message to a to make it as similar as possible to how it may 11 11 12 consumer or a set of consumers is dependent on 12 have actually appeared online in my survey. 13 Q. Do you know how Integrity Advance's 13 the context. That's the sense in which I mean loan agreements appeared online? 14 context affects the clarity of documents. 14 15 Q. To do a consumer survey of whether 15 A. I do not. consumers understood the terms of the loan, 16 16 Q. So you would have no basis for showing 17 would you need generally to replicate the 17 it to consumers in one way or another if you 18 experience of Integrity Advance consumers? 18 were attempting to replicate their experience 19 A. I'm not sure what you mean by the 19 here; is that correct? 20 experience of Integrity Advance consumers. 20 MS. BAKER: Objection. Form. Compound 21 Q. The experience they were having when 21 question. 22 they took out the loan agreement. 22 BY MS. WEINBERG: 23 A. You would do your best to replicate all 23 Q. You can answer. 24 24 the aspects of the context that you think are A. I'm not sure -- if you could repeat the 25 relevant to their understanding and decision 25 question. 46 48 around that loan agreement when you do a survey 1 Q. You have just testified that you don't 2 of them to try to understand their comprehension 2 know how Integrity Advance's loan agreements 3 3 appeared online. of the loan agreement. 4 A. Yes. 4 Q. Would how that loan agreement appeared 5 online be relevant to your ability to do a 5 Q. Is that right? 6 survey that replicates their experience? A. That's right. 6 MS. BAKER: Objection. Form. Vague. 7 7 Q. So here you could not, you would have 8 8 no basis for showing customers or consumers who BY MS. WEINBERG: 9 Q. Could you replicate consumers' 9 were involved in a survey the loan agreements in 10 experience without knowing how Integrity 10 one format versus another; is that correct? 11 Advance's loan agreements appeared online? 11 A. I would glean all the information I 12 A. So when you do a consumer survey for 12 could about how they appeared online, for 13 the purpose of understanding what consumers take 13 example. If they were signing in certain places 14 away from a loan agreement, let's say, you do online, I would replicate that aspect. If I 14 your best to replicate as many aspects of the 15 knew anything else about how the information was 15 displayed online, I would replicate those experience you are interested in as possible. 16 16 aspects. So I would use what information I 17 You never replicate all of them exactly. That's 17 18 generally impossible. But you replicate them as 18 have. It's not no information. Nor is there complete information. So I would use, for 19 closely as you can given the factors you think 19 20 are influencing them. And then you draw 20 example, information about there were points at 21 conclusions based on as near replication as you 21 which the consumer was asked to sign or initial. 22 can do of the experience. It's never perfect. 22 So I would certainly want to replicate those 23 Q. Do you think that an important variable 23 aspects, for example. 24 in consumers' understanding of their loan 24 O. Do you have any information about how 25 25 agreements was how it appeared to them online? that, where consumers signed online in Integrity

49 51 1 A. I have not. 1 Advance's loan agreements, about how that 2 Q. Have you ever studied signature boxes 2 appeared online at the time that they were 3 3 actually signing the agreements? online, in online agreements? 4 A. I don't remember the specifics, but I 4 A. I have not. believe in some of the documents I reviewed 5 5 Q. So your testimony is based on your there was discussion of where loan agreements 6 personal experience with signing documents 6 7 7 were being initialled. I remember Dr. Hastak's online; is that correct? 8 report was one place. There might have been 8 MS. BAKER: Objection. Form. Assumes 9 others where loan agreements were being signed 9 facts not in evidence. 10 at particular points in the agreement. So I 10 BY MS. WEINBERG: would certainly want to replicate, let's have Q. What is the basis of your testimony 11 11 12 them sign again in those same points in the 12 about signature boxes as they appear online? agreement that we know they did in the original 13 13 A. Casual observation, personal 14 experiences, but not research. situation. 14 15 Q. Do you have any information about how Q. What kind of casual observation? 15 that actually appeared to consumers who actually A. If I had gone online and seen signature 16 16 boxes for anything I was doing. I don't 17 took out loans from Integrity Advance? 17 18 A. That is information about how it 18 remember the specific circumstances surrounding 19 appeared. If it appeared with a signature box 19 it. It wasn't memorable. 20 at this point, that's a piece of information I 20 Q. So this is personal experience that you 21 have that I would use in an online survey. 21 are talking about? MS. BAKER: Are you finished with your 22 Q. But do you know how the signature box 22 23 appeared online? 23 answer? 24 THE WITNESS: Sure. 24 MS. BAKER: Objection. Form. Asked 25 25 and answered. BY MS. WEINBERG: 50 52 BY MS. WEINBERG: Q. So was it a personal experience you are 2 Q. You can answer. 2 talking about when you were signing a document 3 3 A. I would assume it appeared like a online? 4 4 standard signature box. A. No. I browsed documents that weren't 5 5 O. And what is the basis of that part of my personal experience. I could browse 6 them while looking at how consumers react. I 6 assumption? 7 A. I would look at other signature boxes 7 study consumer behavior. So I look at consumer 8 that appear online for similar contracts. 8 websites, you know, for personal as well as 9 Q. So you have no basis of knowledge about 9 professional reasons. And I may or may not be 10 how it actually appeared for Integrity Advance 10 signing something for myself. I may just be 11 customers? 11 looking to see what it looks like. A. I would have no knowledge about how it 12 Q. And can you tell me any specific 12 13 was differentiated from other signature boxes 13 examples outside of your personal experience that naturally appear for similar contracts. I where you have done that? 14 14 A. I don't remember what the agreements or wouldn't have specific information beyond that, 15 15 16 the signature would have been about. No, I 16 perhaps. 17 Q. So in your experience, sometimes 17 don't remember the content of the agreements. signature boxes obliterate text that appears 18 18 MS. BAKER: If this is a good time to behind them; is that correct? 19 19 take a break, we are at about an hour, if it 20 MS. BAKER: Objection. Form. Assumes 20 works for you, Wendy? 21 facts not in evidence. 21 MS. WEINBERG: Sure. 22 BY MS. WEINBERG: 22 (A recess was taken.) 23 Q. Have you ever seen signature boxes that 23 BY MS. WEINBERG: 24 24 O. We are back on the record. Could you appear online that make the text behind them 25 harder to read? 25 replicate consumers' experience with Integrity

53 55 1 Advance without knowing how a conversation with loan agreement. 1 2 an Integrity Advance employee synced with what 2 Q. You said you have no information on the 3 the consumer is reviewing onscreen? 3 phone calls. So then --A. Other than the idea that they had the 4 MS. BAKER: Objection. Form. Vague. 4 5 5 opportunity to ask questions. BY MS. WEINBERG: Q. Okay. Just so that I can try to convey 6 Q. Do you understand the question? 6 the question that I was -- I am going to take it 7 A. I think I have an answer. 7 8 Q. You do, you said? 8 outside the context of Integrity Advance. There 9 A. Yes. So and this will come back to the 9 can be like a TV commercial, right, and the answer I gave earlier, in replicating a 10 announcer is saying loudly, This is the best 10 consumer's experience with any aspects of the 11 bread slicer that's ever been invented and it 11 Integrity Advance communications, including 12 12 has all of these wonderful characteristics. And phone calls or loan agreements, I would use the 13 13 you hear that voice, and at the same time at the information I know about what happened before to 14 14 bottom of the screen there's text that says, 15 build as close as possible replication of all 15 This is a lousy bread slicer and it doesn't have the aspects that I have knowledge of. And if I 16 any of these characteristics. 16 17 have knowledge of -- detailed knowledge of the 17 So when I'm talking about syncing the 18 phone call, I would use that. If I don't have 18 consumer experience with what's happening on the 19 detailed knowledge of the phone call, I would do 19 phone, it's similar to what I'm saying about the 20 my best to use what knowledge I have. 20 oral presentation in the commercial as opposed 21 Q. Do you have any knowledge in this case 21 to the text that the consumer may or may not be 22 about how a conversation between a consumer and reading while watching that commercial. Does 22 somebody working for Integrity Advance synced that make my question any clearer to you? 23 23 24 24 A. So I think it follows the answer to my with information that appeared online? 25 A. I'm not sure what you mean by synced 25 previous question, which is I don't know what 54 56 1 with. 1 was said on the phone other than consumers had 2 Q. So somebody could be looking at --2 the opportunity to ask questions if they were 3 let's take it out of the context of Integrity 3 confused. So I don't know what else I can say. Advance. There could be -- well, let's keep it Q. And you don't know when those 4 4 5 in the context. Strike that. conversations happened in relation to whether 5 the consumers were signing the documents or Let's keep it in the context of 6 6 7 Integrity Advance. The question is whether you 7 looking at the documents? 8 have information about what somebody -- what an 8 A. My understanding is for some of them, I 9 Integrity Advance employee was saying, when they 9 don't know, maybe all of them, that I am not 10 were saying that, what a consumer was looking at 10 sure, for some of them it was happening 11 on the screen. So at the moment that they might 11 concurrent with their deciding whether to sign 12 have been saying anything, that there would be a 12 the document. 13 particular text on the screen at that moment. 13 Q. Do you have any information about what 14 MS. BAKER: Objection. Form. Compound 14 they were looking at? 15 and vague. MS. BAKER: Objection. Form. Vague. 15 BY MS. WEINBERG: BY MS. WEINBERG: 16 16 Q. Do you understand the question? 17 17 O. When they were having that A. I'll give the following answer. I 18 18 conversation? don't know if it answers the question, but I 19 19 MS. BAKER: Same objection. 20 believe it does. I don't know what information 20 BY MS. WEINBERG: 21 was in the phone calls. So I don't know how it 21 Q. You can answer it. 22 relates to what was on the screen. My one 22 A. If you could say it in other words. 23 understanding of the phone calls is people had 23 Q. You've testified that you have some 24 the opportunity to ask questions if they were information that consumers could speak with an 24 25 confused about anything that they saw in the 25 Integrity Advance representative when they were

57 59 signing the loan document; is that correct? possible to the actual experience. More 1 1 information you have is better, but there's no 2 2 3 Q. If they were confused, is that a 3 particular piece of information that is 4 4 necessarily critical. correct --5 Q. So the telephonic communications you 5 A. They may have -- some, I don't know how many, but some consumers -- my understanding is 6 would not consider critical? 6 7 some consumers spoke with an Integrity Advance 7 A. No. 8 representative while signing the loan document. 8 Q. No, you would not? 9 Others may have spoken to them at a later time. 9 A. No, I would not consider them critical. 10 I don't know if all of them spoke while signing 10 Q. And the same question, then, for what or if some spoke while signing and some spoke was appearing online. Could you replicate 11 11 12 later. That's the extent of my understanding of 12 consumers' experience with Integrity Advance, I the timing of the phone call. think you have said that you could replicate it 13 13 Q. Okay. So to the extent we are focusing 14 looking at other variables if you didn't know 14 15 just on consumers who were talking to a customer 15 exactly what they were looking at online; is service representative at the time they were that correct, at the time that they were signing 16 16 17 signing the loan agreement, do you have any 17 the loan documents? 18 information about what the consumers were 18 A. I'm sorry, I didn't follow that. 19 looking at at the time that they were having the 19 Q. Do you think it would be critical 20 conversation? 20 information to have in attempting to replicate 21 A. I understand they were looking at the 21 the consumer's experience with Integrity Advance 22 loan agreement. I don't have more specific 22 to know what the consumer was looking at online information beyond that. 23 23 at the time that they were signing the loan 24 Q. Okay. And you've testified that you 24 documents? 25 have no information on the phone calls. Do you 25 MS. BAKER: Objection. Form. Vague. 58 60 THE WITNESS: In particular, I'm not have any information about the content of the 2 sure what you mean by what they are looking at 2 phone calls? 3 3 A. Nothing beyond that consumers had the online. 4 opportunity to ask questions for clarification 4 BY MS. WEINBERG: 5 of the loan document. 5 Q. I'm referring to whatever appeared when they were signing the loan agreement. 6 O. And would that affect your ability to 6 7 replicate the consumer's experience with 7 A. My understanding is they were looking 8 Integrity Advance in any survey that you or 8 at the loan agreement online. We know they were 9 someone else might choose to do? 9 looking at the loan agreement online, and I 10 MS. BAKER: Objection. Form. Vague. 10 would certainly show them the loan agreement as 11 BY MS. WEINBERG: 11 part of an attempt to replicate their 12 Q. You can answer. 12 experience. 13 A. If you can say it in other words, it 13 Q. Could you do that without knowing would help me to answer. exactly how it appeared online? 14 14 Q. In order to do a survey that would A. Yes. 15 15 replicate the consumer's experience with Q. And what would be the basis for your 16 16 17 Integrity Advance, would you need to know what 17 presenting it to them in one form rather than 18 the customer service representatives were saying 18 another form? 19 19 on the telephone? MS. BAKER: Objection. Form. Vague. 20 A. You would not need to. The more 20 BY MS. WEINBERG: 21 information you have, the better. As I said 21 Q. If you were going to offer them -- if 22 earlier, when you build a consumer survey and 22 you were going to conduct a survey and present a 23 attempt to replicate an actual consumer 23 loan agreement to the survey participants online, what would be the basis of your 24 experience, you use whatever information you 24

presenting it to them in one format versus

25

25

have to build the replication as close as

61 63 another format? counsel or Dr. Hastak's report, I was told that 1 1 A. So the basis would be, A, anything I 2 2 these are paper versions of the loan agreement. 3 know about how it was actually presented at the 3 And when I hear that, I take that to mean it 4 4 represents many aspects of the original 5 5 Q. And here do you have any knowledge agreement. 6 about that? 6 Q. But again, you have no -- is it fair to say you have no specific knowledge of how in 7 A. As I said, we have, for example, 7 8 knowledge about where they were signing. And my 8 practice these loan agreements appeared other 9 understanding is some of the written -- the 9 than the assumption that you are making based on 10 10 printed document that we have does represent viewing a printed document? some aspects of the original document. For 11 A. There is the assumption based on the 11 example, there's a TIL box at the beginning and 12 12 printed document being similar. There's also it has a box around it and it has certain fonts 13 statements in Dr. Hastak's report and possibly 13 14 in other materials for this case about where 14 and what have you. I would try to replicate 15 using all the information I have. And to the 15 signatures appeared and other particulars about extent there's specific information I don't 16 the loan agreement. I would assemble all those 16 17 have, I would fill it in using what is most 17 particulars, including the printed document, and 18 common practice in that or related kinds of 18 those are all the pieces of information I would 19 agreements when presented online. 19 have to generate an online version of the 20 Q. But in this instance, your testimony is 20 document for purposes of a survey. 21 that you have no specific information about how 21 Q. And other than Dr. Hastak's report, you 22 it appeared online for Integrity Advance's said other materials. Can you recall 22 specifically what other materials contain that 23 customers; is that right? 23 24 A. As I said, the specific information we 24 information? 25 have, we have several pieces of specific 25 A. I don't have very specific memories, 62 64 information. One example is where it was but I believe in the defendant's deposition signed. Another example, there's a TIL box near 2 there were -- may have been reference, I can't 2 3 remember the sources now, there have been so 3 the beginning. It has a box around it. Certain 4 things were in bigger font. Certain things were 4 many documents related, but I think there were 5 in smaller fonts. There's a number of pieces of 5 references to where signatures happened or what 6 things may have looked like in the loan information we have about how it would appear 6 7 7 agreement. Those would be the kinds of things I online. 8 8 would use when generating an online version for Q. But do you know what the screen looked 9 9 like for consumers when they were signing in the a survey. 10 signature boxes? 10 Q. So you are not relying on any 11 A. I know that it contained the loan 11 information other than that it was in 12 agreement that had largely similar 12 Dr. Hastak's report or in the loan agreements 13 representation to what the printed document has. 13 itself or in Dr. Hastak's testimony; is that O. And what is the basis of that correct, that it would be those three sources? 14 14 statement? 15 MS. BAKER: Objection. That's not what 15 he said. That assumes facts not in evidence. 16 16 A. My understanding is the printed BY MS. WEINBERG: 17 document was generated by taking the agreement 17 18 that was actually used and somehow generating an 18 Q. Please tell me. 19 offline version of it. I assume that preserved 19 A. It includes all of the materials 20 many of the characteristics of the original 20 related to the case. So it includes the 21 document. 21 defendant's deposition, Dr. Hastak's report, 22 Q. What is the basis of your saying that 22 Dr. Hastak's deposition, loan agreements as they 23 that is how it was done? 23 were conveyed to me either in Dr. Hastak's 24 A. I was told when I was given the loan 24 report or if they were in other materials. I

can't recall if they were also in other

25

25

agreements, I can't remember who it was from,

65 67 1 materials. Any place I would have seen them, I 1 the interaction between Integrity Advance and 2 would draw on those to build an online version 2 its customers occurred, are there any obstacles 3 of it for purposes of a survey. 3 that you would imagine in trying to replicate 4 Q. And is it fair to say that all of those 4 their experience in order to do a survey? materials would have been listed in the 5 5 MS. BAKER: Objection. Form. Vague 6 appendix? 6 question. 7 7 A. It is, to my report. BY MS. WEINBERG: 8 Q. Appendix D of your report? 8 Q. Do you think you would have any 9 A. It is fair to say that. 9 difficulties in conducting a survey that would Q. Could you replicate consumers' 10 10 attempt to replicate the experience between experience with Integrity Advance without Integrity Advance and its customers in 11 11 knowing how many consumers talked to customer 12 12 originating the loan? service representatives? 13 13 MS. BAKER: Objection. Same objection. A. Yes. 14 14 BY MS. WEINBERG: Q. How? Q. You can answer. 15 15 A. If I were to perform a survey for the 16 A. As I said earlier, you would use the 16 17 knowledge you have. If you don't have knowledge 17 purpose of replicating customers' understanding 18 about people talking to Integrity Advance 18 when originating a loan with Integrity Advance, 19 representatives, you might not include that in I would show them all the things that I believe 19 20 the replication. 20 they had seen at the time in whatever context 21 Q. And is this because, as you testified 21 they had seen them at the time and try to draw 22 earlier, the information in that phone call you 22 conclusions from that. 23 don't think is critical for conducting a survey? 23 Q. Okay. Let's go back to your report 24 A. I believe one can conduct a valid 24 again and look at paragraph 15. You suggest survey without that information. 25 25 that -- well, I'm going to read the entire 66 68 1 Q. And would it affect your ability to do 1 paragraph. Hypotheses or ideas regarding 2 a valid survey if not every Integrity Advance 2 consumers' understanding of the loan agreement 3 customer saw a loan agreement when they obtained 3 could be based on prior research and general expertise and experience, but these hypotheses 4 a loan? 4 need to be tested to be considered valid, as 5 A. Let me be clear about what the purpose 5 of a survey would be. If the purpose of a 6 would be expected, when submitting such ideas to 6 survey would be to say what do consumers 7 a peer-reviewed journal for publication in the 7 understand when faced with this loan agreement, 8 field of consumer behavior. 8 9 then I would present them that loan agreement 9 Does that accurately reflect the 10 and take some measurements to try to ascertain 10 statement that you made in that paragraph? what it is they understand following viewing 11 A. It does. 11 that loan agreement. I'm not sure what survey 12 Q. Do peer-reviewed journals accept 12 one would be interested in if we thought 13 articles that are just conceptual rather than 13 empirical? 14 consumers did not see the loan agreement. So 14 you would have to start with what is the purpose 15 A. Conceptual articles are generally based 15 on some data, whether it's data presented for 16 16 of the survey. the first time in that article or an 17 17 Q. What if the purpose of the survey was 18 accumulation or reference to data from other 18 to ascertain or find out what consumers articles. But you wouldn't have a conceptual 19 understood the terms of the loan would be 19 20 without looking at the loan agreement? 20 piece that lives completely independent of the 21 A. Then I would try to ascertain what is 21 22 it they are basing their understanding on and 22 Q. So it's your testimony that 23 peer-reviewed journals do not include articles 23 replicate that as closely as I can. that are just about theory? 24 24 Q. Given the information that has been 25 MS. BAKER: Objection --25 provided to you and your understanding of how

69 71 A. The paragraph actually continues on the BY MS. WEINBERG: 1 1 2 next page, but that's the last line on page 3, 2 O. Is that correct? 3 3 MS. BAKER: Objection. Form. Vague ves. 4 and assumes facts not in evidence. 4 Q. Okay. Correct. I see that. And it 5 5 says -- it goes on to talk about the need for BY MS. WEINBERG: empirical support. Is that the thrust of that 6 Q. What do you mean by conceptual? 6 7 7 paragraph? A. Remind me where I used the word 8 "conceptual." 8 A. The thrust of that -- that's not all 9 Q. Well, I asked do journals accept 9 the paragraph. Q. Is there something relevant that I 10 articles that are just conceptual rather than 10 empirical. How did you understand that term omitted? 11 11 12 when I used it or when you were answering my 12 A. The paragraph is saying that renewal 13 costs may not be a factor in consumers' 13 question? 14 decision-making at the time of loan origination MS. BAKER: That term being 14 "conceptual"? is the main thrust of that paragraph. There is 15 15 no empirical support that indeed they are 16 16 MS. WEINBERG: Yes. relevant at the time of loan origination. Those 17 THE WITNESS: So there are articles 17 18 that focus on bringing new data to light. 18 are the two takeaways I have from that 19 That's the vast majority of articles and as a 19 paragraph. 20 reviewer, the kinds of articles I would be 20 O. And so this is a theoretical framework 21 positively disposed to encouraging publication 21 that is sometimes used to analyze consumer 22 in a journal. There are other articles where 22 behavior, what consumers are thinking about when bringing new data to light is not the focus of 23 they are taking out a loan? 23 24 24 the article, but synthesizing previous data and A. I'm not sure I understand the question. 25 generating a new concept or theory is how I 25 Q. Well, you say there are two lines of 70 72 behavior research. understood your word "conceptual" to mean. 1 2 BY MS. WEINBERG: 2 A. Yes. 3 Q. So I want to make sure I understand 3 Q. That consumers may not be considering your testimony. You are saying that -- are you renewals, right? 4 4 5 saying that all articles that appear in 5 A. Yes. 6 peer-reviewed journals are based on empirical 6 O. At the time they take out the loan. 7 data either directly or indirectly? 7 And then you cite a couple of articles; is that 8 8 correct? 9 Q. Okay. Looking at paragraph 13 of your 9 A. That is correct. 10 report --10 Q. So is it fair to say that these lines 11 MS. BAKER: And again for the record, of consumer research provide a theoretical 11 12 his report is Exhibit 1. framework through which to understand consumer 12 13 BY MS. WEINBERG: behavior? 13 14 O. Exhibit 1, and it's page 3 of his 14 A. I'm not sure I would use the word report. And you say that there is two lines of 15 15 "theoretical framework." For clarity, those two research that suggest that consumers may not 16 bodies are referenced in paragraphs 22 and 23, 16 consider renewals when taking out a loan. Is 17 those two lines of research. The first body is 17 that a fair statement of that paragraph? in 22; the second body of research is in 18 18 19 A. That is one of the sentences in that 19 paragraph 23. paragraph, yes. 20 20 Q. And if you wouldn't use the phrase 21 Q. Or specifically your last sentence 21 theoretical framework, what would you use? says, There are at least two lines of consumer 22 22 A. I would say those are two pieces of 23 behavior research that directly suggests that 23 scientific evidence that suggest that people --24 consumers may not be considering renewal at all 24 that consumers may not consider renewal costs when taking out an initial loan. 25 25 when originating a loan.

73 75 Q. And is there other scientific evidence Q. Are you aware of research in your field 1 1 2 2 that consumers do consider renewal costs when on the importance of prominence of a disclosure? 3 taking out loans? 3 A. No. 4 A. I don't have any evidence off the top 4 Q. Are you aware of research in your field 5 5 related to placement of text in a disclosure? of my head of that. MS. BAKER: Objection. Form and vague. 6 Q. Are you aware of any other research 6 7 other than what you have cited that addresses 7 Actually, this whole line of questions is vague. 8 that question? 8 If you can answer, go ahead. 9 A. I have not made the connection to other 9 THE WITNESS: I don't think the 10 10 research that I know as whether it addresses researchers in my field use the framework from the FTC guidelines with words like "placement," 11 this question. 11 "proximity," "prominence," et cetera. And so I 12 Q. Have you read any other research on 12 13 don't recall research that's fitting the 13 this topic other than what is cited in your 14 criteria as you are describing them. It doesn't 14 report? MS. BAKER: Objection. Form. Vague. 15 mean they aren't related, but those aren't terms 15 that I see in the consumer behavior literature. 16 BY MS. WEINBERG: 16 Q. You can answer. 17 17 BY MS. WEINBERG: 18 A. I don't know what you mean by "this 18 Q. Setting aside the word choice, are you topic." 19 familiar with research that maybe doesn't use 19 the word "prominence," but deals with how -- I'm 20 Q. This topic on what consumers consider 20 21 when taking out a loan. 21 having trouble thinking of a good synonym, how 22 A. Have I read any other research that's boldly or --22 23 relevant to what consumers consider when taking 23 A. So I think consumer behavior 24 24 researchers don't dimensionalize consumer out a loan? 25 information presentation into those dimensions. 25 Q. Yes, other than these two things that 74 76 whether using those labels or other similar you cite here in the report. A. Maybe the best answer to this question 2 labels. I think we wouldn't imagine thinking 2 3 about how much prominence is there, how much 3 is how I think about how consumers process proximity, et cetera, for the different information about taking out a loan. I think my 4 5 conclusions about how consumers process 5 dimensions. I don't think that's a framework information when taking out a loan are related 6 that's applied using those or related words in 6 7 to my conclusions about how consumers process 7 the academic field. 8 information when making other decisions as well. 8 Q. Okay. This might be a little tedious. 9 It involves principles that have been garnered 9 I'm going to ask you to bear with me because I 10 from past research on consumers' information 10 want to go through each of these six factors 11 processing and decision-making. And so lots of just to make sure we are completely clear. So 11 12 research is potentially relevant. But as I said 12 you've testified about prominence and I think 13 earlier, I have not made a particular connection 13 you've also testified about placement, if I'm between a piece of research that I might already 14 14 correct? know about and how it might bear on this. I MS. BAKER: Objection. Form. Assumes 15 15 made the connection between these two pieces of 16 16 facts not in evidence. research when I thought about it, but that 17 17 But I mean, go ahead.

prominence of text, using both that phrase and that concept. You said that you are not aware of research on that concept or word. Is the same true for the concept of where text appears,

Q. Are you aware of research -- you have

testified about your awareness of research on

its placement in a document?

BY MS. WEINBERG:

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

doesn't preclude that there might be others.

and conspicuous disclosures?

A. I was not.

field using them?

A. No.

Q. Prior to reading Dr. Hastak's report,

were you aware of the FTC guidelines on clear

Q. Are you aware of other people in your

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

77 79 A. I'm not sure I can map the concept of 1 A. There is research on where you put 1 2 2 text, but it's not where you put it vis-a-vis avoidability as mentioned in his report and in 3 other text, which is what proximity means. 3 the FTC guidelines to particular constructs. It 4 Q. What about just placement? As you 4 may be related to some things that are studied, know, Dr. Hastak has six factors that he looked 5 but avoidability, as it's discussed, doesn't 5 6 at, prominence, placement, proximity, 6 correspond to a particular primitive to me that 7 7 avoidability, clarity and repetition. I can map to the research. 8 A. I'm sorry. I got confused between 8 Q. And when you say primitive, how are you 9 placement and proximity. 9 using that term? Q. I'm going to ask you the questions, so 10 10 A. A psychological construct that I'm we are on the same page here, for all six of aware of, something that we know affects the 11 11 these. I think we've gone through prominence. psychology of consumers. 12 12 So I just want to move on to what he considers 13 13 Q. And what about the FTC's fifth factor, 14 the second factor, which is placement. So my clarity, are you aware of any research on the 14 15 question is, are you aware of research on the importance of clarity in disclosures to 15 importance of placement of text in a document as 16 16 consumers' understanding? 17 that concept is described in Dr. Hastak's 17 A. Clarity is such a broad and vague term 18 report? 18 that I could connect it to a number of things, I 19 A. I am aware of research that says you 19 suppose or I could not. Clarity, again, is 20 put things -- you put things at the beginning, 20 not -- that concept, as it's described in 21 for example, versus the end of a document. 21 Dr. Hastak's report, is not used similarly in 22 Someone is more likely to read it. That might 22 research that I know. But one could say that count -- again, this dimensionalizing in the FTC 23 23 some things that are done in research actually 24 guidelines is foreign to me, but I'm trying to 24 do have implications for clarity as he's using 25 relate it to what I understand in the research. 25 it or vice versa, clarity applies to those 78 80 things. But the mapping wouldn't be clear And I guess it would be related to research 2 saying that putting something near the beginning 2 because these aren't terms or even concepts that 3 3 is more likely to be read than, for example, are typically the way we organize our research 4 4 putting something near the end of a document. in consumer behavior. 5 5 Q. Are you aware of any other research Q. Okay. And the final factor in the other than that on the placement concept? 6 6 FTC's guidelines, repetition, are you aware of MS. BAKER: Objection. Form and vague. 7 7 research --8 8 BY MS. WEINBERG: A. I am. 9 9 Q. You can answer. Q. -- that -- okay. For the record, let 10 A. There is research about text being 10 me finish the question. Research on the 11 placed at eye level versus not at eye level is 11 importance of repetition for consumer 12 more likely to be seen. That may fit the 12 understanding of disclosures? 13 definition of placement. I'm not sure. 13 A. I am. O. So let's move on to Dr. Hastak's third 14 14 O. And what is that? factor, proximity. Are you aware of research A. More repetition is more likely to lead 15 15 that addresses the importance of having text 16 to memory of disclosures. More repetition is 16 17 that qualifies a disclosure in close proximity 17 less likely to lead to attention to those to the actual disclosure itself? 18 18 disclosures. 19 19 Q. Could you repeat that, please? 20 Q. What about Dr. Hastak's fourth 20 21 factor -- I shouldn't say Dr. Hastak. I should 21 MS. BAKER: Could you read it back, say the FTC's fourth factor, avoidability. Are 22 22 please, Ms. Court Reporter. 23 you aware of research on the importance of this 23 (The record was read as requested.) factor of avoidability as described in 24 BY MS. WEINBERG: 24 25 Dr. Hastak's report in consumer understanding? 25 Q. What did you mean by that second

81 83 phrase? THE WITNESS: To me the meaning of 1 1 2 2 A. If you say something over and over clarity is would more consumers understand it. 3 again to people, they stop paying attention to 3 And I don't even know how many consumers 4 4 understand it as it is. So I can't say whether 5 5 that can be improved. Q. But based on your first sentence, they 6 have more memory of it? 6 BY MS. WEINBERG: 7 7 Q. Okay. Do you have an opinion on A. If you can get them to pay attention to 8 it, then they will have more memory of it. It's 8 whether a consumer could calculate the cost of 9 a well-known challenge in the marketing 9 loan renewals based on Integrity Advance's loan 10 literature of how do you repeat something but 10 agreement? retain attention. A. Yes, I do. 11 11 12 Q. Do you have an opinion on whether 12 Q. What is your opinion? adherence to the FTC guidelines increases the A. My opinion is that they could. 13 13 14 clarity of disclosures? 14 Q. I'm going to -- let's look at, since 15 A. I'm sorry, could you repeat the 15 you said that you looked at the loan agreements that were appended to Dr. Hastak's report, so 16 question? 16 17 Q. Do you have an opinion on whether 17 let's take a look at those. I'll make this adherence to the FTC guidelines that Dr. Hastak 18 18 Exhibit 3. And I'm giving you a copy. used in his report increase the clarity of (Novemsky Deposition Exhibit Number 3 19 19 was marked for identification.) 20 disclosures? 20 21 A. I don't have an opinion. 21 BY MS. WEINBERG: 22 Q. In your opinion, were the costs of loan 22 Q. If you could go to the back of the renewals disclosed in a clear and conspicuous 23 23 report that I just handed you. This is the manner in Integrity Advance's loan agreements? 24 complete -- for the record, this is Dr. Hastak's 24 A. To me that's an empirical question 25 complete report. It does not include all the 25 82 84 appendices. It omits all the appendices except which I can't answer without data. 2 Q. So you have no opinion; is that 2 for those that are the actual loan agreements. 3 3 So his CV is omitted. correct? MS. BAKER: This document appears, I A. I have no scientific basis for an 4 5 don't know if it's redacted or highlighted, but 5 opinion. As an expert in consumer behavior, I 6 would have no basis for a scientific opinion. I 6 I note that it's not exactly what was provided 7 to us in the litigation. It's fine -might have my personal thoughts and feelings, 7 8 but I know they don't correspond to the 8 MS. WEINBERG: This is exactly as it 9 9 consumers necessarily. appeared in Dr. Hastak's report. 10 Q. You've established that you didn't do 10 MS. BAKER: This is just in color, 11 any empirical research here. And putting aside 11 then? I'm talking about these --12 your personal views, based on your professional 12 MS. PROFITA: Our copy was not in 13 expertise, do you have any opinion on whether 13 color. the loan renewals were disclosed in a clear and 14 MS. BAKER: I don't believe I have ever 14 seen these highlights before, unless they are 15 conspicuous manner? 15 highlighted or redacted. I don't know. I'm 16 MS. BAKER: Objection. Asked and 16 just noting it. I don't think it matters. I'm 17 answered. 17 18 BY MS. WEINBERG: 18 just making that record. MS. WEINBERG: The personal information 19 Q. You are shaking your head no. 19 20 A. I said no and I continue to say no. 20 of the consumers was redacted in this version 21 Q. Okay. Do you have any opinion on 21 and in the version in Dr. Hastak's report. It whether the disclosures could have been clearer 22 22 may be that the copy that you had had the 23 on the cost of loan renewals in Integrity 23 redactions in gray rather than in yellow. 24 MS. BAKER: That must be it. Okay. So 24 Advance's loan agreements? 25 MS. BAKER: Same objection. 25 these are redactions and not highlights?

	ı		·
	85		87
1	MS. WEINBERG: These are redactions.	1	MS. BAKER: Do you have a calculator?
2	MS. BAKER: Okay. That was my	2	BY MS. WEINBERG:
3	question. Thank you.	$\frac{2}{3}$	Q. In so doing it, if you could tell me
4	BY MS. WEINBERG:	4	what you are doing to make that calculation?
5	Q. Anyway, I think we are all potentially	5	MS. BAKER: Do you have a calculator?
6	on the same page here. So let's just look at	6	MS. WEINBERG: No, I don't have a
7	the first loan agreement here which, for the	7	calculator.
8	record, appears as CFPB042566 through 042575.	8	BY MS. WEINBERG:
9	A. Yep.	9	Q. Would you need a calculator?
10	Q. You have it, okay. So if a consumer	10	A. It will take me a while without one. I
11	chose to roll over their loans, this particular	11	will have to sit with pencil and paper.
12	consumer who had an amount financed of 500 and a	12	Q. Do you want some paper and pencil?
13	finance charge of 150, what would the cost of	13	A. I would need that if I were to do that.
14	the renewals have been for that consumers?	14	MS. BAKER: Let the record reflect that
15	A. Each renewal would cost 150.	15	Ms. Weinberg has asked the witness to engage in
16	Q. And what would be the total cost if the	16	addition, subtraction calculations without a
17	consumer went through the auto renewal and auto	17	calculator for some inexplicable reason.
18	workout process?	18	Please go ahead.
19	A. It would take me a few minutes to	19	MS. WEINBERG: Thank you for that
20	calculate that.	20	unnecessary characterization. I think the
21	MS. BAKER: Are you asking the witness	21	record was perfectly clear about what I was
22	to calculate the cost of renewals based on his	22	asking Dr. Novemsky to do.
23	review of this document? I'm not sure I	23	BY MS. WEINBERG:
24	understand	24	Q. Here is a pen and it is, for the
25	MS. WEINBERG: Yes.	25	record, 11:26, I believe.
	06		00
	86		88
1	MS. BAKER: So you are asking him to do	1	MS. BAKER: Are you finished?
2	math.	2	THE WITNESS: I am.
3	MS. WEINBERG: Yes.	3	BY MS. WEINBERG:
4	BY MS. WEINBERG:	4	Q. For the record, it's now 11:30. And
5	Q. You have a degree in mathematics? MS. BAKER: I know he does. I wasn't	5	could you tell me, could you state for the
6 7	suggesting he couldn't.	6 7	record what you did to calculate the costs of renewals for this consumer?
8	THE WITNESS: I can. It will take me a	8	A. I started with the payments that would
9	few moments.	9	occur during the initial period and for auto
10	BY MS. WEINBERG:	10	renewal periods.
11	Q. What is the degree that you have	11	Q. And what were those payments?
12	mathematics?	12	A. The payments would be 150 for five
13	A. My undergraduate degree is in	13	times at the end of period one and then the four
14	psychology, physics and mathematics.	14	auto renewals. So five times 150 for a total of
15	Q. And where was that undergraduate degree	15	\$750 in payments. Oh, I didn't realize I made
16	from?	16	an error which I have fixed.
17	A. Wesleyan University.	17	Q. Did you want to correct something?
18	Q. Do you have any degrees in mathematics	18	A. No, what I said was correct. I was
19	post college?	19	looking ahead. By saying that, I looked ahead
20	A. No.	20	and realized I needed to fix something.
21	Q. But you were a triple major?	21	Q. So you started by saying you started
22	A. I was.	22	with the cost of
22	Q. You were busy. So yes, I am going to	23	A. The cost of the first period is a \$150
23			
24	ask you to calculate what that would be for this	24	finance charge. That would be paid at the
		24 25	

89 91 Q. Do you have an opinion -- and as far as 1 of auto renewal one, two, three and four for a 1 2 total of five payments of \$150 or a total of 2 you know, was that cost, \$2,075, do you have an 3 3 \$750 in payments. opinion about whether it would have influenced 4 Q. Did you do any further calculations 4 consumer behavior to disclose the cost of going 5 through the auto renewal and auto workout 5 after that? 6 process more clearly in the document? So in 6 A. Sure. Then after that we go into auto 7 7 workout. At auto workout, at the end of the other words, for this consumer if it said 8 first period you pay the same finance charge of 8 somewhere if you go through auto renewal and 9 150, but you also pay \$50 in principal. In the 9 auto workout, the cost will be \$2,075, do you next period your principal is now not 500 but 10 think that would have influenced consumer 10 450. And so at the end of that period you would behavior if that information appeared in 11 11 12 pay a reduced finance charge on the 450 which is 12 addition to the cost of a single-payment loan? 135, \$15 on every \$50 or \$30 on every 100 plus 13 MS. BAKER: Objection. Compound 13 14 the \$50 principal payment. question and a vague question. Form. 14 Q. So just to break that down so I'm 15 BY MS. WEINBERG: 15 clear, to make that calculation, you took -- you 16 16 Q. Do you understand the question? 17 had to calculate a new finance charge? 17 A. No. The clarity I would need is 18 A. As the principal reduces, the finance 18 exactly how it's said. That could be described 19 charge also reduces, correct. 19 to consumers in a variety of different ways. 20 Q. And to get that number then you had to 20 And depending on how you describe it, it may or 21 do what to get the new finance charge? What was 21 may not have a positive or a negative influence 22 the calculation you had to do? 22 on a consumer's decision to take out this loan. 23 A. It's \$30 for every hundred. So you 23 Q. And under what circumstances would you 24 multiply the principal remaining by \$30 for 24 think it would have an influence on consumers' 25 every hundred or point-3. 25 decision to take out a loan? 90 92 Q. So I'm sorry, please continue with how MS. BAKER: Objection. Vague. 2 you made your calculation. 2 THE WITNESS: I don't have an answer 3 A. So at the end of auto workout period 3 off the top of my head to that question. 4 one, they pay 150 finance plus \$50 principal for 4 BY MS. WEINBERG: 5 a total of 200. At the end of auto workout 5 Q. You said depending on the circumstances 6 it may or may not have an influence on period two, they pay \$50 principal plus 135 in 6 7 finance charges and so on with the finance 7 consumers' decision to take out a loan. That's 8 8 charge going down by \$15 every period. As the what you said in answer to your prior question. 9 9 principal is reduced by 50, the finance charge A. Right. 10 goes down by 15 until the principal reaches 10 Q. So my question is whether you have any 11 zero, which looks like approximately ten auto 11 thoughts about what the circumstances are that 12 workout periods. 12 it would have an impact? 13 13 A. I would have to think through the Q. And the total amount that you say this consumer would have paid if he or she had gone circumstances. I know that that can be framed 14 14 through the renewal and auto renewal and auto 15 very differently, and depending on how you frame 15 that cost, you can have a very different impact 16 workout? 16 A. Is \$2,075. 17 17 on consumers. For example, you could frame that cost as if you don't pay this loan back for over 18 Q. And what was the error you made 18 initially when you were doing this calculation? half a year, which is the total time would be, 19 19 20 A. I had them pay the principal twice. 20 about 28 weeks, you know, then they may have a 21 When I thought about the end of the five auto 21 very different feeling about it. There are lots 22 renewals, I thought he paid the principal. But 22 of ways to talk about the time that would elapse 23 the principal remains and is paid through the 23 as well as talk about the payments. Whether you

aggregate them or disaggregate them also has an

impact. There are many factors and the

24

25

24

25

auto workout. So I added in the principal twice

and I shouldn't have.

93 95 communication of that that would influence how 1 numbers corresponded with the correct 1 2 mathematical calculation of the cost of auto 2 consumers are affected by it. And there are 3 3 many possible examples of that. So I would have renewals? 4 to think through which examples might possibly 4 A. If you gave them the same box and have a particular influence. That would be an 5 changed the total payments and other numbers, 5 particularly the finance charge, that might have 6 exercise I would have to spend time engaging in. 6 7 an effect on consumers, sure. 7 I don't have a simple answer to that question. 8 It's a complex question. 8 Q. What effect do you think it might have? Q. Well, let's take a discrete scenario, 9 9 A. They would find the loan in likelihood then. What if right under the TILA box it said, 10 10 less attractive if you said the total payments you know, as the TILA box said what it says were \$2,075 instead of \$650. 11 11 12 here, your APR is this, your finance charge is 12 Q. Let's go back to your report. this, your amount financed, total payments for a MS. BAKER: Just for housekeeping 13 13 single payment loan and then it had the same purposes, it's 11:45. Can we break for lunch at 14 14 information on APR, finance charge, amount 15 noon or maybe even a little before noon since 15 financed and total payments for a complete auto we've already been going about an hour? 16 16 17 renewal scenario. Auto renewal, auto workout 17 MS. WEINBERG: You want to go until 18 scenario that you have just calculated would 18 noon? 19 have been \$2,075 for this consumer. Do you have 19 THE WITNESS: Noon sounds fine for me. 20 an opinion about whether that would have 20 MS. BAKER: If that works for you, 21 influenced consumer behavior? 21 Wendy. 22 MS. BAKER: Objection. Compound MS. WEINBERG: That's fine. 22 23 question. 23 BY MS. WEINBERG: 24 THE WITNESS: I don't have an opinion 24 O. So let's look at paragraph 21 of your 25 because I don't know that they would understand 25 report, which is on page 6 of your report. And 94 96 1 that. 1 your report is, for the record, Exhibit 1. So 2 BY MS. WEINBERG: 2 in this paragraph you cite your article on 3 Q. Why wouldn't they understand that? 3 opportunity costs in footnote 5; is that A. As I state in my report, consumers are 4 4 correct? focused on the here and now, by and large. I 5 5 A. That is correct. don't know when you say complete auto renewal 6 6 O. So let's take a look at that article. and auto workout, they would read those words 7 7 (Novemsky Deposition Exhibit Number 4 8 8 and may or may not think through what the was marked for identification.) 9 9 implications of those are for them. BY MS. WEINBERG: 10 Q. Do you have an opinion about whether it 10 O. And I would have this marked as 11 would have affected consumer behavior to put the 11 Exhibit 4. So if we could turn to the first 12 cost only of the full auto renewal and auto 12 page, the first page of your article in the 13 workout cost of a loan with Integrity Advance in 13 right-hand column on that page, the first full 14 the TILA box rather than the cost of a 14 paragraph -single-payment loan? 15 MS. BAKER: Did you have a chance to 15 A. Again, it would depend on how you 16 16 just confirm that this is a complete copy of represent it in the TILA box. I can't answer 17 17 your article and it's what you have actually that in the absence of a particular 18 18 previously written? 19 instantiation of the TILA box. 19 MS. WEINBERG: Thank you. 20 Q. What if for this loan -- and you have 20 THE WITNESS: It does appear to be. 21 the calculations, I don't, but let's just assume 21 BY MS. WEINBERG: it said finance charge here -- well, let's just 22 22 Q. And this is the article that you 23 say the total payments box, which is the only 23 referenced in your footnote? 24 number that I have based on your calculations, 24 A. It does appear to be that article, yes. Q. Great. So going to that first full 25 said \$2,075 instead of \$650 and that the other 25

Integrity Advance 4/15/2016

paragraph in the second column on that page, you say, Evaluating opportunity cost requires consumers to consider outside options that are not explicit components of a purchase decision.

Then the second sentence, which is the one I'm going to ask you to focus on here, you refer to much psychological research showing that judgments and preferences are based primarily on information that is explicitly presented. Do you see that sentence?

A. Um-hum.

- Q. Can you describe the psychological research, the judgments about judgments and preferences being based on information that's explicitly presented?
- A. That's a very broad statement deriving from a broad set of studies, but a summary of it might be people pay attention to what's in front of them and tend to ignore things that aren't in the immediate environment even if those things are very relevant to the decision at hand.
- Q. And taking us back to the context of Integrity Advance's loan agreements, would you agree that what's explicitly presented in terms of the cost of the loan is the cost of a

Q. What does explicitly presented mean as --

- A. Explicit -- sorry.
- Q. As used in this article?

A. Explicitly presented means the information is in front of you in the present environment. The contrast drawn in this article is to things that are not presented, for example, opportunity costs. If I don't spend my money on the stereo that I'm staring at, what else could I spend my money on? Those options are not in my current environment. I would have to bring them to mind spontaneously myself.

In the loan agreement, renewal, auto workout, all finance charges are explicitly mentioned. There's nothing that you have to bring to mind from your own experience or past or future behavior as you would in -- that the article is referencing.

- Q. And it's your testimony, is it your testimony that renewal costs are explicitly presented even though it took you four or five minutes to calculate the cost?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And in the other psychological research

single-payment loan without rollovers?

A. I think -- no, I would not agree with that.

Q. Why not?

A. I think the loan agreement presents the costs of auto renewal and auto workout as well.

Q. And it's your testimony that that's explicitly presented?

A. It is. That's how I made my calculation, for example.

Q. But nowhere in that loan agreement was that \$2,075 cost explicitly presented, was it, in the agreement that you were just looking at?

MS. BAKER: Objection. Form. Compound. Vague.

BY MS. WEINBERG:

- Q. Is it your testimony that the cost in this instance, as you have calculated, \$2,075, for the cost of going through auto renewal and auto workout was explicitly presented in the loan agreement?
- A. Yes. There's a confusion between explicitly presented and presented in sum. The sum is not presented, but the parts of it are explicitly stated in the loan agreement.

that you refer to here, is that also how they are using the phrase "explicitly presented"?

MS. BAKER: Objection. Form. Vague question.

BY MS. WEINBERG:

- Q. Do you know the meaning of the term "explicitly presented" in the other psychological research that you reference in the sentence that we have been talking about?
- A. I don't know whether they use that specific term. We are characterizing their research using that term. And our characterization of that term is explicitly presented means the thing you are talking about is in the present environment explicitly. It is not something you have to pull from some other environment, as I said, from your memory, past experiences or something else.
- Q. I would ask you to turn to the second page of this article which, for the record, is Opportunity Cost Neglect of which you are one of the authors. On page 554, second paragraph -- second column, first full paragraph at the top of the page, the first full sentence ends, Preferences shift towards cheaper options when

101 103 the price difference is made explicit even the sentence we were referring to on page 554 of 1 1 2 without mentioning other purchases. 2 this article, the Opportunity Cost Neglect 3 Can you explain what that means? 3 article? 4 A. Let me read it for a second. 4 A. I would agree with that, yes. 5 Q. Sure. 5 Q. And what would you say the implications 6 A. Sure. So if you mention -- so without 6 of that fact are here? 7 7 context, this won't make sense. So I'll give a MS. BAKER: Objection. Vague. 8 bit of context. 8 If you can answer it, go ahead. 9 Q. Thanks. 9 THE WITNESS: I can't answer it. 10 A. If you talk about buying -- so in 10 BY MS. WEINBERG: study 1, buying a DVD for 14.99, you can label Q. Why can't you answer it? Is it because 11 11 the options as buy or not buy. And you can also of the form of my question --12 12 A. I don't understand what you are asking. 13 label the options as buy or keep the 14.99 for 13 other purchases. The latter is referred to in 14 Q. Okay. Let me try again. You just said 14 this phrase an option described as keeping the 15 that you agree that the price difference between 15 rollovers and single-payment loans was not made 16 money for other purchases. What that does is 16 17 that shifts preferences towards cheaper options 17 explicit in Integrity Advance's loan agreements 18 when the price difference is made explicit. So 18 as the word "explicit" is used in this sentence, 19 Preferences shift towards cheaper options when 19 I realize I have given a bad example because it 20 doesn't shift towards cheaper items. It shifts 20 the price difference is made explicit even 21 towards not buying. 21 without mentioning other purchases. 22 But in some cases referenced in that 22 And so my question is whether that has 23 sentence you can buy the more expensive item or 23 any implications here, the fact that preferences buy a cheaper item. For example, in Figure 2 --24 shift towards cheaper options when the price 24 MS. BAKER: Where are you looking? 25 difference is explicit and that wasn't explicit 25 102 104 1 THE WITNESS: This is on page 555. At 1 here? the bottom there's a box labeled Figure 2. 2 MS. BAKER: Same objection. 2 3 3 There are two options, one option for \$399; THE WITNESS: Any implication is too 4 4 another option for \$299 or the option not to vague for me. I'm not sure what you are asking 5 5 buy. me. 6 If you add the explicit difference in 6 BY MS. WEINBERG: 7 7 price, that parenthetical remark, leaving you Q. Would influence consumer behavior in 8 the \$100 in cash, which was presented to some 8 choosing to take out the loan? 9 9 participants but not others, when you present A. I don't know if it would because as I 10 that, you tend to push people towards option B, 10 said in my report, I don't know that renewal was 11 the cheaper option and away from option A. So 11 relevant to them when choosing to take out the 12 you shift preferences toward the cheaper option 12 loan. So the difference between price of 13 when you make the difference in costs explicit, 13 renewed and unrenewed loans is not -- may not be 14 meaning you write it on the page as opposed to on their minds when they are choosing do I 14 not writing it on the page. 15 originate this loan or not. 15 BY MS. WEINBERG: 16 O. You don't know that it was on their --16 Q. Do you agree that the price differences 17 17 you are saying you have no basis for knowing between rollovers and single-payment loans was 18 whether it was or wasn't on their minds? 18 19 not made explicit in Integrity Advance's loan 19 A. I have cited research that suggests it 20 agreements? 20 might not be. We referred to that earlier in my 21 A. Sorry, say that again. 21 report. So I have some basis for thinking maybe 22 Q. The price difference between rollovers 22 it wasn't, but without data, I can't say for 23 and auto renewals and single-payment loans was 23 sure whether it was or it wasn't. But I have not made explicit in Integrity Advance's loan 24 some reason to believe it might not be. I have 24

a hypothesis that it was not. That's

25

agreements as the phrase "explicit" is used in

25

	105		107
1	unconfirmed.	1	AFTERNOON SESSION
2	Q. And I just asked you this question	2	(12:57 p.m.)
3	about whether making the price difference	3	MS. WEINBERG: So we are back on the
4	explicit in the loan would have affected	4	record at 12:58. I wanted to start by, I wanted
5	consumer behavior in terms of choosing the loan.	5	to have Dr. Novemsky's calculations marked as an
6	I want to ask you the same question in terms of	6	exhibit and entered for the record.
7	whether you think it would have affected	7	(Novemsky Deposition Exhibit Number 5
8	consumer behavior in terms of understanding the	8	was marked for identification.)
9	loan.	9	BY MS. WEINBERG:
10	MS. BAKER: Objection. Form. Vague	10	Q. Dr. Novemsky, are you aware of any
11	question.	11	research that shows that costs aren't important
12	BY MS. WEINBERG:	12	to consumers when they are borrowing money?
13	Q. Do you understand the question?	13	A. Costs aren't important to consumers,
14	A. I'm not certain I do.	14	no, I'm aware of no research that makes that
15	Q. Okay. The question is whether if	15	specific conclusion, no.
16	Integrity Advance's loan agreements had	16	Q. Do you think that this is a proposition
17 18	explicitly set forth the cost of single-payment	17 18	that requires research or is it the type of
19	and auto workout and I think, if we understand auto workout, would mean the full calculation	19	proposition that could be accepted on its face? MS. BAKER: Objection. Vague.
20	that you just did where somebody takes the full	20	THE WITNESS: I think it requires
21	renewals and auto workout options that are	21	research.
22	available under the loan, if they had been	22	BY MS. WEINBERG:
23	explicitly presented, both of those costs, that	23	Q. Okay. You are just not aware of any
24	it would have influenced consumer behavior in	24	research on that topic?
25	understanding the loan agreement?	25	A. Correct.
	106		108
1	A. I don't know. I don't know if it	1	Q. Do you have an opinion on whether costs
2	would. It's an empirical question.	2	would be important to consumers who utilize
3	Q. Okay.	3	payday loans?
4	MS. BAKER: Is this a good time for you	4	A. I don't have an opinion on that, no.
5	to break? It's up to you. We can go a few more	5	Q. Let's go back to your report which,
6	minutes if you would like.	6	again, is Exhibit 1, paragraph 22, which is on
7	MS. WEINBERG: We could break. It's	7	page 7. Okay. And in there you say, There's a
8	five of.	8	body of research that finds that consumer
9	THE WITNESS: That's fine with me if	9	decision-making is driven much more by cost and
10	you are not in the middle of something.	10	benefits that are immediate compared to benefits
11	MS. WEINBERG: I am, but it's nothing	11 12	that are further away in time. Is that a correct statement of what's
12	critical.	13	in your report?
12		13	m your report.
13 14	(Whereupon, at 11:55 a.m., a lunch	14	A It is
14	recess was taken.)	14 15	A. It is. O. Do you have an opinion on whether
14 15		14 15 16	Q. Do you have an opinion on whether
14		15	
14 15 16 17 18		15 16 17 18	Q. Do you have an opinion on whether clarity of disclosures on costs that are further away in time would improve decision-making for consumers choosing to take out a loan?
14 15 16 17 18 19		15 16 17 18 19	Q. Do you have an opinion on whether clarity of disclosures on costs that are further away in time would improve decision-making for consumers choosing to take out a loan? A. I do not.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20		15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. Do you have an opinion on whether clarity of disclosures on costs that are further away in time would improve decision-making for consumers choosing to take out a loan? A. I do not. Q. So sticking with paragraph 22 that we
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21		15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. Do you have an opinion on whether clarity of disclosures on costs that are further away in time would improve decision-making for consumers choosing to take out a loan? A. I do not. Q. So sticking with paragraph 22 that we were just talking about, you are talking about a
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22		15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. Do you have an opinion on whether clarity of disclosures on costs that are further away in time would improve decision-making for consumers choosing to take out a loan? A. I do not. Q. So sticking with paragraph 22 that we were just talking about, you are talking about a body of research on what consumers find
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23		15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. Do you have an opinion on whether clarity of disclosures on costs that are further away in time would improve decision-making for consumers choosing to take out a loan? A. I do not. Q. So sticking with paragraph 22 that we were just talking about, you are talking about a body of research on what consumers find important. Is that a correct statement?
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24		15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. Do you have an opinion on whether clarity of disclosures on costs that are further away in time would improve decision-making for consumers choosing to take out a loan? A. I do not. Q. So sticking with paragraph 22 that we were just talking about, you are talking about a body of research on what consumers find important. Is that a correct statement? A. Not exactly.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23		15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. Do you have an opinion on whether clarity of disclosures on costs that are further away in time would improve decision-making for consumers choosing to take out a loan? A. I do not. Q. So sticking with paragraph 22 that we were just talking about, you are talking about a body of research on what consumers find important. Is that a correct statement?

109 111 A. I would say it's a body of research 1 are further away in time have any impact on an 1 2 about how consumers consider things that are in 2 analysis of the clarity of loan disclosures? 3 the here and now versus things that are distant 3 A. As I said a minute ago, when I think 4 4 about the clarity of loan disclosures, I can't 5 5 separate that from the person reading it in the Q. So that's one conceptual way of looking at how consumers make decisions. Is that a context in which it's being read. So if the 6 6 7 7 correct statement? loan disclosure is about something happening at 8 A. Sure. 8 a certain point in time, then this theory and 9 Q. And you didn't do any research here to 9 framework do speak to it. apply this framework to the specific consumers 10 10 Q. Let's move on to paragraph 23 of your who would have been taking out Integrity Advance report. 11 11 loans; is that right? 12 12 A. Okay. A. I did no research with respect to 13 13 Q. And is it a fair summary of that Integrity Advance loans, that is correct. 14 paragraph to say that consumers might not 14 15 Q. Does this conceptual framework about consider renewal costs because they are 15 benefits that are immediate compared to benefits optimistic about their future? 16 16 17 that are further away in time consider the 17 A. That is a fair summary. 18 clarity of loan disclosures? 18 Q. It's also -- is it also possible that 19 A. No, they don't. 19 they might consider renewal costs? 20 Q. Does this conceptual framework impact 20 A. Yes, it is. 21 an analysis on the clarity of disclosures? 21 Q. Do you have an opinion on whether this A. They may insofar as the impact, 22 22 potential optimism makes it important to someone's motivation to process those 23 23 disclose costs of renewals in order for 24 disclosures. As I said earlier, the clarity of 24 consumers to understand the consequences of 25 a disclosure is related to the consumer trying 25 taking out a loan? 110 112 A. I don't have an opinion on that in the 1 to understand it. If it's further away in time, 2 the consumer will have less motivation to 2 absence of data, no. 3 understand it. So it may seem less clear in the 3 Q. So in order for you to apply this sense that it may have a harder time getting 4 4 framework, you would have to do -- you or through the customer's understanding. 5 5 someone would have to do a study. Is that a O. And if the analysis were limited to the 6 6 fair statement? 7 7 clarity of a specific document, not the A. That is a fair statement. 8 8 consumer's understanding of it, and I understand Q. Does this theory about consumer 9 9 we have been back and forth on this -- you say optimism consider the clarity of loan 10 that it's difficult to disentangle those two, 10 disclosures? 11 but if you could disentangle those two and limit 11 A. No. It's a much -- no. 12 the analysis just to what a document says, would 12 Q. In paragraph 25, you say -- well, on 13 this conceptual framework impact the analysis on 13 page 8, which is sort of the middle of your clarity? This conceptual framework being the paragraph 25, you say, It could be that 14 14 one we've just been talking about, about 15 consumers find loan renewal option despite its 15 immediate benefits versus benefits that are 16 16 costs not to be a deterrent to accepting Integrity Advance's offering. 17 further away in time. 17 MS. BAKER: Objection. Compound. 18 18 Do you see that sentence? 19 BY MS. WEINBERG: 19 A. I do see that sentence, yes. Q. Do you understand the question? 20 20 Q. Is it also true that it might not be 21 A. It was a long question. 21 that consumers consider -- might it be true that Q. Yes, it was a long question. Let me 22 22 the inverse is true on that sentence? 23 try and make it shorter. Does this theory that 23 A. Yes. we have been talking about in paragraph 22 that 24 24 O. And in order to know which statement of 25 compares immediate benefits versus benefits that 25 that sentence was true, is it fair to say you

113 115 would have to do empirical research? 1 1 MS. BAKER: To the extent you can 2 2 A. That's what I would say, yes. answer the question without disclosing something 3 3 Q. And again, to beat that dead horse, you covered by an NDA, please do so. didn't do empirical --THE WITNESS: I will try to. I'm 4 4 A. I did not do empirical research. 5 thinking that through. We talked about -- so 5 Q. And does this theory consider the 6 6 for certain companies who regularly send e-mail 7 to their customers, we talked about ways and we, 7 clarity of loan disclosures? 8 MS. BAKER: Objection. Vague. 8 in fact, studied ways to -- we tested certain 9 BY MS. WEINBERG: 9 e-mails, formats of e-mails, wording of e-mails, 10 O. The theory that consumers find the loan 10 wording of e-mail subject lines, time of day of renewal option despite its costs not to be a e-mails and other factors that would increase or 11 11 12 deterrent to accepting Integrity Advance's 12 decrease the likelihood that a consumer would 13 offering. 13 read that e-mail from their service provider. 14 A. I would say this conjecture about what BY MS. WEINBERG: 14 15 consumers might feel about loan renewal is not 15 Q. And do you know the percentage of people that read e-mails from commercial relevant to clarity. 16 16 Q. Okay. And was there any basis for this 17 17 sources? 18 conjecture in your report? 18 A. No. 19 A. Yes. It's listed in the following 19 Q. And you very quickly listed a bunch of 20 paragraphs. 20 factors that influence whether people read 21 Q. Okay. And those are the -- okay. Can 21 e-mails. To be honest with you, I didn't get them all but they are in the record. Other than 22 you be more specific about which paragraphs you 22 23 are referring to? 23 those factors that you just recited, are there 24 24 A. I can. Give me a moment to review any other factors you can think of? 25 A. My memory is only as good as yours, I'm 25 them. 114 116 1 Q. Sure. 1 afraid. 2 A. I believe it's everything from that 2 MS. WEINBERG: Could you read back what 3 3 he said, please, on the factors. paragraph through to paragraph 33 on my quick (The record was read as requested.) 4 looking. 4 BY MS. WEINBERG: 5 Q. So 26 through 33 is what you are --5 6 A. I think that's right. 6 O. So you said subject line, time of day 7 were the two ones that you explicitly mentioned? Q. In paragraph 26 you refer to a welcome 7 e-mail and another e-mail that you describe as a 8 8 A. There was content. 9 reminder e-mail: is that correct? 9 O. Content of the e-mail itself? 10 A. That is correct. 10 A. There was framing, I think, of the 11 Q. Do you have any expertise on whether 11 e-mail. 12 consumers read e-mails? 12 Q. And what do you mean by framing? 13 A. I have expertise relevant to whether 13 A. The words that are used. You can talk about something as avoiding a loss versus consumers read e-mails, yes. 14 14 Q. And what is your relevant expertise? approaching a gain. And people -- the same 15 15 A. I have understanding of some of the content can be described in either of those 16 16 factors that might drive whether consumers read 17 17 frames and it changes someone's propensity to 18 an e-mail or not. 18 read it. That's one example of framing, but 19 19 Q. Have you done research in this area? 20 A. I have worked on projects with some of 20 Q. And does the question or the concept of 21 the corporate partners of the Yale Center For 21 framing have any relevance to Integrity Customer Insights on issues that are relevant to 22 22 Advance's e-mails? 23 customers reading e-mails, yes. 23 A. I would have to review their e-mails. 24 24 O. The e-mails are part of your report in Q. Can you be more specific about what

the appendix. And for the record, it is

25

25

that research is?

117 119 Appendix B and Appendix C of Dr. Novemsky's Q. Okay. I think that was my question. 1 1 report. And these are the two e-mails that you 2 2 So without doing an empirical analysis, you 3 were referencing in your report; is that 3 couldn't --4 4 A. Without doing an empirical analysis, I accurate? 5 5 wouldn't have a conclusion to draw about how A. Yes. Can you repeat the question? Q. Does the concept of framing have any 6 6 many people read these e-mails. 7 relevance for Integrity Advance's e-mails? And Q. Okay. Thank you. In paragraph 26, can 7 8 again, referring specifically to Exhibit B and 8 you tell me what -- you write -- the last 9 9 sentence of that paragraph you say, These two e-mail messages, and again I think you are 10 A. When you say have any relevance, what 10 are you asking me? referring to your Appendix B and C, clearly bear 11 11 Q. Well, what you specifically said about on a customer's knowledge at the time they 12 12 framing is that it can have an impact when you choose to renew their loan. 13 13 talk about avoiding loss or potential gain. Is 14 14 Do you see that sentence? 15 that an accurate restatement? 15 A. I do. A. That's one version of framing, yeah. O. And what is the basis for that 16 16 17 Q. Is there anything in these two e-mails 17 statement? 18 that either talks about avoiding loss or 18 A. These two e-mail messages provide clear 19 potential gain that would make the concept of 19 information about loan renewal and they were 20 framing relevant? 20 received prior to loan renewal. And so it is 21 A. The concept of framing is broader than 21 likely the case that they influenced consumers 22 just losses and gains. That's an example of one at the time of their choosing to renew their 22 way to reframe something. There are many ways 23 23 to reframe something. One could reframe really 24 24 Q. And what is the basis of your statement any communication from anyone. And if you use a 25 that they were received prior to renewal? 25 120 118 different frame on something, you can make it 1 A. My understanding is that the welcome 2 more or less likely to engage the person 2 e-mail is sent out upon or within shortly after 3 3 loan approval. So that would certainly be prior receiving it. Q. Okay. So we've talked about subject 4 to loan renewal. And my understanding is that 4 line, time of day, content and framing. Are 5 the reminder e-mail is sent a few days before 5 there any other factors that come to your mind loan renewal would be possible or before the 6 6 7 about whether -- that influence whether people 7 first due date. 8 8 Q. And is this from information that you read e-mails? obtained from counsel or from Integrity Advance? 9 A. Sure. The nature of the service being 9 10 provided, the level of perceived risk, the 10 A. Or one of the many documents that I 11 importance, the monetary value of the thing 11 looked at. I can't remember the source now. 12 being mentioned. I'm sure there are more if I 12 Q. But to summarize your previous 13 had more time to think. 13 testimony, and tell me if I'm wrong, I'm sure you will or I'm sure your counsel will, you Q. And did you analyze any of these 14 14 factors that you have mentioned, nature of 15 don't have any opinion on whether consumers 15 service, risk, value, subject line, time of day, actually read these e-mails because you -- is 16 16 content, framing, in relation to Integrity that correct? 17 17 18 Advance's e-mails? 18 A. I don't have any opinion on how many 19 consumers read these e-mails, that is correct. 19 A. I'm not sure what you mean by analyze. Q. And you said that the information in 20 If I --20 21 O. To see whether these e-mails would have 21 these e-mails is clear. Is that a correct 22 22 been more likely to be read or not. statement? 23 A. That wouldn't be the way I would answer 23 A. I may have. What I was saying was the 24 the question, how likely they would be read. I 24 material in these e-mails is clearly relevant to

25

the loan renewal.

25

would answer that question empirically.

121 123 Q. I see. So you weren't making a 1 1 then. 2 statement about the content of the e-mails? 2 BY MS. WEINBERG: 3 A. I was making a statement that the 3 Q. My only question was to try to clarify 4 content of the e-mails is relevant to loan 4 that the loan agreements that we were talking 5 5 about, the ones that were in Appendix B and C of renewal. 6 Q. But you weren't talking about clarity 6 Dr. Hastak's report. 7 of disclosure in that? 7 MS. BAKER: Could you read back the 8 A. I wasn't talking about clarity in the 8 last three questions that were asked and the 9 way that Dr. Hastak talked about clarity. 9 answers that were given, please. I want to make sure I have a clean record here. 10 Q. And do you have any knowledge about 10 whether consumers received any e-mails before (The record was read as requested.) 11 11 MS. BAKER: Are you okay with those 12 they signed the loan documents? 12 answers, given the clarification with the 13 A. I don't have any knowledge about that. 13 Q. And just to clarify, when you said you 14 documents? I just want to make sure because we 14 have no knowledge about when they got that 15 were talking about documents, and it wasn't 15 e-mail, were you referring to both e-mails, clear what documents we were discussing. 16 16 17 Exhibit B and Exhibit C? 17 THE WITNESS: Yes, I am. 18 A. I don't think that's --18 BY MS. WEINBERG: MS. BAKER: Objection. That assumes 19 Q. What is the basis for your assumption 19 about the timing of the welcome e-mail? 20 facts not in evidence. That's not what he said. 20 21 MS. WEINBERG: Could you read back the 21 A. I was either told by counsel or it was 22 answer prior to this. 22 in one of the documents I reviewed. (The record was read as requested.) 23 23 Q. Okay. And I want to ask you these same BY MS. WEINBERG: 24 24 questions about the reminder e-mail. What were 25 25 your assumptions about when consumers got the Q. So what was your assumption about when 122 124 customers received the welcome e-mail? reminder e-mail? And for the record, the 2 A. My understanding was that customers 2 reminder e-mail is Exhibit C in your report; is 3 received the welcome e-mail shortly after being 3 that correct? Is that what you were referring to as the reminder e-mail? 4 approved for the loan. 4 Q. And what is your understanding about 5 5 A. It is what I was referring to, yes. MS. BAKER: So it's Appendix C of 6 how that occurred in relation to the signing of 6 7 7 the loan documents? Exhibit 2. 8 A. I would presume that approval occurs 8 BY MS. WEINBERG: 9 9 after signing of the loan documents. Q. Okay. Yeah, it is Appendix C. I think 10 Q. Okay. And just to be clear, when we 10 I might have given it a different name. 11 say loan documents, we are talking about the 11 So again, what were your assumptions 12 loan agreement that is in Dr. Hastak's report as 12 about when consumers got that reminder e-mail, 13 exhibits --13 which we have now clarified was Appendix C in MS. BAKER: If you can clarify what you 14 your report? 14 mean by loan document. A. A few days before their first due date. 15 15 MS. WEINBERG: That's what I'm trying O. And what is the basis of that 16 16 to do. The two loan agreements that are in assumption? 17 17 Dr. Hastak's, and they are B and C. 18 18 A. It was either from counsel or one of 19 MS. BAKER: So would you mind 19 the documents that I reviewed. Q. And again, that would have been a 20 re-reading Ms. -- reading back Ms. Weinberg's 20 21 last question given her clarification just now, 21 document that was listed in your Appendix D, 22 please. I assume that's the question pending? 22 which are the documents you considered? 23 MS. WEINBERG: I don't think there's a 23 A. That's correct. 24 question pending. 24 O. And would that have been before 25 MS. BAKER: I'm sorry. Never mind, 25 origination or after?

125 127 A. After origination. A. I have no information about that. 1 1 O. And what were your assumptions about 2 2 Q. Would that matter to your analysis? 3 which consumers got e-mails, either -- let's 3 4 start with the first one. What were your 4 Q. Is it your opinion that consumers are assumptions about which consumers got the 5 5 just as likely to read e-mails that come as text 6 welcome e-mail? 6 in the body of an e-mail versus opening 7 7 MS. BAKER: Objection. Form. Vague attachments? 8 question. 8 A. No, that's not my opinion. 9 BY MS. WEINBERG: 9 Q. What is your opinion? MS. BAKER: Opinion concerning what? 10 Q. Did you have an assumption about the 10 number or percentage of consumers that got the MS. WEINBERG: Whether consumers are 11 11 12 welcome e-mail? 12 just as likely to read an e-mail that appears as text in the body of the e-mail versus in an 13 A. I don't have a particular assumption 13 14 about the number who got the e-mail. attachment to that e-mail. 14 Q. And would it have been relevant to your 15 MS. BAKER: Are you asking as specific 15 opinion to know the percentage of consumers who 16 16 to this case or are you asking him more 17 received the welcome e-mail? 17 generally? 18 A. The more received the welcome e-mail, 18 BY MS. WEINBERG: 19 the more paragraph 26 applies. 19 Q. Generally, do you have an opinion about Q. But you didn't review any records or 20 20 whether consumers are more or less likely to 21 receive any information on the percentage of 21 read e-mails that come in one form, attachment, 22 consumers who did receive the welcome e-mail? 22 versus another --23 A. I can't recall exactly. I may have 23 A. They might well be more likely to read 24 been told that it was a matter of policy that 24 them in one form or another. I have no data on 25 everyone receives the welcome e-mail. 25 the subject. So I don't know exactly what the 126 128 1 Q. And do you remember who told you that? case is here. A. It would either, again, come from 2 Q. And as a concept, do you have an 3 counsel or one of the documents in Appendix D. 3 opinion separate and apart from its application Q. And you said it was a matter of policy. 4 4 in this case? 5 Do you have any information on whether that 5 A. Again, it would depend on the 6 policy was implemented? situation, I think. That's an empirical 6 7 A. I do not. 7 question as to how many people read an e-mail of 8 Q. And the same question for the reminder 8 one form or another. 9 9 e-mail which, for the record, is exhibit --Q. So you are not aware of any research on 10 Appendix C to your report. Do you have any 10 this topic? 11 information, did you make any assumptions about 11 A. Specifically on attachments versus text 12 what percentage of consumers received that 12 in the body, I am not aware of specific research 13 reminder e-mail, Appendix C? 13 on that, no. A. I did not make any assumptions. 14 O. Is it your opinion that the welcome 14 15 Q. And would that have been relevant to 15 e-mail -- and again, I would like us all to turn the statement you made in paragraph 26? 16 16 to Appendix B in your report. Does that convey A. Sure. The more consumers received it, the cost? Is it your opinion that that e-mail 17 17 the more that part of paragraph 26 would be 18 18 conveys the cost of loan rollovers? relevant to them. 19 19 MS. BAKER: I just want to, for the 20 Q. Do you know the format in which these 20 record, clarify something. Are you asking him 21 two e-mails that we have been referring to, 21 to give an opinion on that question? 22 Appendix B and C, were sent? In other words, 22 MS. WEINBERG: I'm asking if he has an 23 whether they were sent as an attachment, like in 23 opinion on that question. And if he doesn't, 24 a Word file or as text that appeared in the body 24 that's what the record will reflect. 25 of an e-mail? 25 THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the

129 131 question? 1 1 addition, subtraction or other mathematics. 2 BY MS. WEINBERG: 2 Q. So they would have to know the finance 3 Q. Do you have an opinion about whether or 3 charge? 4 not the welcome e-mail conveys the cost of loan 4 A. That's correct. rollovers? And rollovers, as we discussed 5 5 Q. And is it possible that consumers could 6 earlier about going through the auto renewal and 6 read that e-mail to confirm their 7 misunderstanding that the total of payments that 7 auto workout relative to the calculation that 8 you made this morning. 8 was due under the loan was the amount reflected 9 A. I don't know how well consumers 9 in the TILA box in their loan agreement? 10 understand the cost of their rollover from 10 MS. BAKER: Objection. Compound reading this e-mail. I think that is an 11 11 question. 12 empirical question, looking at the e-mail. 12 BY MS. WEINBERG: Q. When you say you don't know whether 13 13 Q. You can answer. 14 consumers understand the cost, is that what you 14 A. I'm not sure which scenario you are 15 just said? 15 referring to. Q. Let's assume a scenario in which the 16 MS. BAKER: No, that's not what he just 16 17 said. 17 consumer looks at the loan agreement -- and to 18 MS. WEINBERG: Could you repeat what 18 make things abundantly clear, let's look at the 19 19 one in Dr. Hastak's report again. exactly he said. 20 (The record was read as requested.) 20 So we are looking at the same agreement 21 BY MS. WEINBERG: 21 that you analyzed before, which was, I think, 22 Q. Could you just say something further his Exhibit B. So in that case let's assume 22 about what you mean I don't know how well 23 23 that this person read the TILA box where it says 24 24 total of payments is \$650 to mean that each time consumers understood the cost of the rollovers 25 from reading this e-mail? 25 he or she paid the finance charge of 150, it was 130 132 A. There are phrases in this e-mail that being credited towards the total amount they 2 refer to the costs. Particularly point one, 2 would have to pay, which here they would believe 3 after the first initial payment, the next four 3 is \$650. Is that clear? renewals require -- only require payment of the 4 4 A. Could you repeat the question part finance charge starting with the fifth renewal again? I get the scenario now. 5 5 O. Sure. So if this consumer thought that 6 in addition to the finance charge, and it goes 6 7 on. That may convey to consumers that there is 7 the total amount they would have to pay in this 8 going to be a finance charge paid with each 8 case was 650, the amount reflected in the total 9 renewal, in which case they would know, it would 9 of payments, is it possible that a consumer 10 communicate to them the cost of the renewal. Or 10 could read this welcome e-mail that we were 11 they may not read that to mean there's a new 11 talking about to confirm their misunderstanding 12 finance charge for each renewal. That's an 12 that the total of payments that was due was the 13 empirical question, which of those two 13 amount reflected in the TILA box? possibilities obtained. A. It is possible. 14 14 Q. And do you think that consumers could Q. Okay. Let's move to paragraph 27 of 15 15 calculate the cost of a renewal based on this your report. And here I'll just read the whole 16 16 paragraph. Consumers also receive a phone call 17 e-mail? 17 from Integrity Advance. During that call 18 A. Yes, they could. 18 O. And would they have to do the same consumers had the opportunity to ask any 19 19 20 calculation that you did this morning on paper 20 questions they had about costs of the loan, 21 in order to do that? 21 including renewal costs. If they were confused 22 A. No. It's a much simpler question. 22 about renewal costs after examining the loan 23 It's just, what is my finance charge? That's 23 agreement, this phone call would have been an 24 what I have to pay for renewal if they are opportunity to clear up those confusions.

Is that an accurate reading of your

25

reading point one correctly. So there's no

24

25

133 135 1 statement? agreement, in other words, has the wrong 1 2 understanding of the costs? A. It is. 2 3 Q. And what were your assumptions about 3 A. It may or may not. I think that's an 4 when such a call for the confused consumer would 4 empirical question whether you get confirmation 5 bias in this situation. 5 have occurred? A. That statement doesn't require any 6 Q. So it is possible, then, that consumers 6 assumption around when it occurred. 7 7 who are confused -- well, not confused, but just 8 Q. So it could have been after they had 8 were wrong about what they thought the loans 9 signed the loan agreements that we have been 9 would cost would not have received any referencing in Dr. Hastak's report? 10 10 correction in their misinterpretation through A. It could have been. this phone call? 11 11 Q. Did you make any assumptions or did you A. It is possible. 12 12 have any information about how such a call 13 13 Q. Are you aware of any complaints filed between a consumer and an employee of Integrity by customers of Integrity Advance in which the 14 14 Advance would have occurred? In other words who customer stated that they didn't understand that 15 15 would have initiated the call? renewals would cost more money? 16 16 17 A. My understanding was Integrity Advance 17 A. I don't remember specifically enough 18 initiated the call. 18 whether that was in the complaints. I did look 19 Q. And what is the basis of your at complaints. I don't remember whether I saw 19 20 understanding? 20 that exact thing. 21 A. Either I was told the procedure by 21 Q. How many complaints did you look at? 22 counsel or by one of the documents in A. I skimmed -- there were, I would guess, 22 23 hundreds in there. I don't know the exact Appendix D. 23 number. I skimmed and I read closely a few 24 Q. If a consumer was not confused but 24 25 simply misunderstood the costs, such as the 25 dozen and skimmed a bunch more. I don't have an 134 136 person we were talking about before who 1 exact number beyond that, I'm afraid. 2 misunderstood that the total cost would have 2 Q. Do you recall seeing any complaints been 650 under the loan agreement we were just 3 from consumers who said that they didn't 3 understand that renewals would cost more money examining, you understand the first part of that 4 than that amount stated in the TILA box? 5 question? 5 A. I think so. 6 6 A. I don't remember that specific idea 7 being there. Q. Would this call help? So they weren't 7 8 confused. They are just wrong in their 8 Q. What is your recollection of the 9 interpretation of the loan agreement. 9 content of most of the complaints? 10 MS. BAKER: Objection. Vague question. 10 A. There were complaints that stated that 11 Also a compound one. 11 this violated their state law and so they wanted 12 BY MS. WEINBERG: 12 their money back, whether all of it in some 13 Q. You can answer. 13 cases or what they thought was an overpayment relative to their state law in other cases. 14 A. I think my answer is it might help. 14 Q. Are you aware of the concept of That was a big theme in what I remember. 15 15 Q. Do you remember any other themes? confirmation bias? 16 16 A. Not specifically. 17 A. I am. 17 Q. What is that? Q. Are you aware of any research that 18 18 analyzes whether disclosures in a phone call are 19 A. It's where individuals tend to look at 19 20 information that confirms preexisting notions 20 clear and conspicuous? 21 they have and tend to ignore information that 21 A. I am not. 22 disconfirms preexisting notions they have. 22 Q. Did you listen to any recordings of 23 Q. And does that concept of confirmation 23 phone calls between employees who worked for bias have any application to this scenario of a **Integrity Advance and consumers?** 24 24 25 consumer who just misunderstands the loan 25 A. I did not.

137 139 Q. Why not? Q. This is an article that's mostly about 1 1 2 2 brand loyalty; is that right? A. None were made available to me. 3 Q. Did you ask that they be made 3 A. It's an article that's about exchange 4 4 versus communal relationships with a brand. available? 5 5 Q. And can you please explain what you A. I don't recall. I think it was my mean by exchange versus communal relationships? 6 understanding that they were not available. 6 7 7 Q. Let's turn to paragraph 27. And in A. Certainly. An exchange relationship is that you say -- this is the one we were just 8 8 a relationship where I engage with another looking at. Do you have any expertise in 9 9 party, entity, someone where I expect that kind of a quid pro quo situation. If I give, I 10 analyzing whether telemarketers adhere to 10 expect to get; if I get, I expect to give, as 11 scripts? 11 contrasted with a communal relationship where 12 A. I don't know if I have expertise. I 12 13 there's a deep concern for the other's 13 have listened to telemarketers knowing what 14 well-being and you give with no particular their scripts are and I have heard claims from 14 expectation of reciprocity and you get with no some of our -- some of the companies I have 15 15 worked with about how telemarketers adhere. But 16 expectation of reciprocity. 16 17 I don't know if that constitutes expertise. 17 Q. And how does that conclusion in the 18 Q. Are you aware of research that 18 article, how does that support the premise that indicates that telemarketers tend to vary from 19 you have cited for here that when consumers 19 20 the scripts they are given for phone calls? 20 receive additional benefits, they expect to pay 21 A. I'm not aware of such research. 21 for them? 22 Q. Are you aware of research that 22 A. In that article, among other things, it 23 indicates that telemarketers engage in adaptive 23 says that relationships with -- and I can't remember the words they use, but I'll use the 24 behavior in reacting to consumers' questions? 24 A. I'm not aware of research to that 25 word commercial transactions tend to be exchange 25 138 140 relationships, which is to say they tend to be extent, no. Q. In paragraph 29 of your report, 2 these quid pro quo type relationships where when 2 Exhibit 1, which is a long paragraph, on page 9 3 3 I give, I expect to get or when I get, I expect you say, When consumers receive additional 4 4 to give. 5 benefits, they expect to pay for them. 5 Q. But that wasn't in the context of 6 Do you see that? paying more for a longer loan? 6 A. Yes, I do. 7 7 A. The article was not studying loans in 8 8 particular. But the claim seems to apply in the Q. Do you know whether Integrity Advance's 9 customers expected to pay more for a longer 9 consumer behavior literature that that article 10 10 also relies on that this is generally --A. I don't have any scientific basis for 11 exchange relationships generally dominate in 11 12 making that statement whether they do or don't 12 commercial transactions. 13 have that expectation. 13 Q. Let's turn to paragraph 30. You say, O. And the article that you cite for this If the renewal option is a valuable aspect of 14 14 premise was listed in footnote 8. It's -- I'm the loan, it is unlikely that some altered 15 15 not going to try to say this. It's 16 version of a disclosure of the renewal costs in 16 A-G-G-A-R-W-A-L. The Effects of Brand 17 17 the loan agreement would serve to dissuade Relationship Norms on Consumer Attitudes and 18 interested consumers from taking out a loan. 18 19 Behavior; is that right? 19 Do you see that sentence? A. I do. 20 A. That is cited in that paragraph, yes. 20 21 Q. And that's the article that you cite 21 O. What's the basis for that statement? A. So let me summarize the statement 22 for this particular proposition that when 22 23 consumers receive additional benefits, they 23 first. If consumers like renewal, then telling expect to pay for them? 24 them more about -- if people like renewal, 24

telling them more about how much it costs may

25

25

A. Correct.

141 143 Q. Despite receiving these e-mail messages 1 not dissuade them from taking out a loan. If 1 2 2 you expound on a feature that they enjoy about a reminding them when the loan is due and how to 3 particular product or service, that doesn't make 3 execute each of the possible payment options is 4 them not want the product or service. 4 further support of the idea that consumers prefer renewal to paying off the loan even after 5 5 Q. But applying that specifically to receiving these initial disclosures. 6 **Integrity Advance and its agreements with its** 6 7 7 customers, is there any basis for the statement So is it your assumption that people 8 that the renewal option might be valuable to 8 are actively choosing to renew their loans 9 **Integrity Advance's customers specifically?** 9 rather than having the operation of the default scenario which we'll get into later? 10 A. I'm sorry. I'm not sure what the 10 question was in that. A. That's a longer -- that's a complex 11 11 question and we'll have to have a long answer to 12 Q. Did you have any basis for a statement 12 that the renewal option might be valuable to 13 13 that. **Integrity Advance's customers?** 14 14 Q. Right. We'll get into the defaults A. Yes. And that is -later. Let me just say, is it your opinion that 15 15 consumers who rolled over the loans were 16 MS. BAKER: Where is that statement? 16 17 MS. WEINBERG: What he just made. He 17 actively choosing to do so? 18 said if it's a valuable -- I'm not going to 18 A. It is my opinion that many of them did 19 19 not have a strong preference not to do so. paraphrase it. 20 MS. BAKER: Could you please read back 20 Q. Can you explain what you mean by that? 21 the last three questions and answers. 21 A. The number of consumers who rolled over 22 (The record was read as requested.) 22 their loan actively is an empirical question. I 23 MS. BAKER: I'm not sure he made that 23 don't have a number without some data, which I 24 24 statement, but you can ask him that question. don't have. If you refer to my paragraph, sorry That's not the rendering that was just read 25 to pull us ahead, but that's where this is 25 142 144 1 back. 1 going, is paragraph 47. 2 BY MS. WEINBERG: 2 Q. And that's getting into defaults. 3 3 A. Yes, which is what the question is kind Q. Could you answer the question, the last 4 question, then, as she read back. Do you think 4 of getting into. 5 that the renewal -- do you have any basis for 5 Q. Okay. And I want to ask you the same saying that the renewal option was valuable to 6 6 question for the second clause in the sentence 7 **Integrity Advance's customers?** 7 we have been looking at: If the renewal option 8 A. Yes, I do. 8 is a valuable aspect of the loan, it's unlikely Q. What is that? 9 9 that some altered version of the disclosure of 10 A. I believe that -- I'm just going to 10 the renewal cost and the loan agreement would reference the paragraphs. There are several 11 serve to dissuade interested consumers from 11 paragraphs in my report that provide support for 12 taking out a loan. 12 that. I'm going to try to reference all of 13 MS. BAKER: So back to paragraph 30? 13 14 them. 14 BY MS. WEINBERG: Q. Okay. 15 Q. Back to paragraph 30. Do you have any 15 A. Paragraphs 30 and 31, I think, provide basis for the statement that the altered version 16 16 would not dissuade consumers? 17 my reasoning for why the renewal option might be 17 valuable. 18 MS. BAKER: I just want to object to 18 19 Q. But in paragraph 30 you say if it's a 19 that question. It assumes a fact not in 20 valuable aspect of the loan. 20 evidence. If you are asking him -- I'm not sure 21 A. Right. But prior to that is the 21 exactly what you are asking him. So it's a 22 evidence. 22 vague question also. 23 Q. The fact that more than 85 percent of 23 If you understand it, answer it. But 24 it's not a clear question. 24 consumers choose to renew their loans? BY MS. WEINBERG: 25 A. Continuing. 25

145 147 Q. Do you understand the question, 1 your opinion, is there a downside of disclosing 1 2 2 Dr. Novemsky? the total costs of loan renewals? 3 A. I'm thinking it through. My 3 A. There's a number of, I think. 4 presumption when I wrote "some altered version" 4 assumptions in what you are asking. So let me 5 was a version that provides greater disclosure 5 try to be clear. of the renewal costs. And if renewal is an 6 6 Q. Okay. 7 option that consumers value, including its 7 A. If the renewal option is valuable for 8 costs, then as I said earlier, expounding on 8 someone who understands the cost of the renewal 9 that option to them isn't going to dissuade them 9 option, then disclosing the costs of the renewal 10 from originating the loan. 10 option to that type of customer would not make Q. So in order for that statement to be them less likely to take the loan. 11 11 true, would consumers have to understand what 12 12 Q. And conversely, if the person did not the costs of the renewal were? understand the costs of the loan renewal, would 13 13 14 A. In order for which statement to be 14 it be valuable? 15 A. I'm sorry, too many pronouns there. 15 Q. You said that, if I can paraphrase, if 16 Q. The statement you just made about the 16 17 renewal option being valuable to consumers. 17 the renewal option is valuable to somebody who 18 A. I'm sorry. I'm lost as to what the 18 understands the cost of the loan, then 19 disclosing the cost of the loan, essentially, 19 question is. 20 Q. Okay. You just said that consumers may 20 doesn't add anything to the equation. Is that a 21 find the renewal option to be valuable to them; 21 fair summary? 22 is that correct? 22 A. That is a fair summary. 23 A. They may find the renewal option to be 23 Q. So if you take a person who does not 24 understand the costs of the loan but finds the 24 valuable to them, correct. 25 25 Q. And this sentence says that because renewal option valuable, do you think it is 146 148 valuable to that consumer to disclose the costs they might find the renewal option to be 1 valuable, it's unlikely that some altered 2 of the loan with the renewals? 2 3 version of a disclosure of the renewal costs in 3 A. I think it's valuable to disclose the the loan agreement would serve to dissuade 4 4 cost of the renewal to anyone who doesn't 5 interested consumers from taking out a loan, 5 understand the cost of renewal, including such a 6 right? 6 consumer. 7 A. Right. 7 Q. Let's move on to paragraph 31. And on 8 8 page 10 your paragraph 31 is long, so this is Q. So my question is, does this assume, 9 this statement that they find renewal option to 9 sort of the middle of your paragraph 31. You 10 be valuable, assume that they understand the 10 write, These repeat customers, and you are 11 costs of a loan renewal? 11 talking about consumers who had more than one 12 A. Yes, it does. 12 loan from Integrity Advance, either understood 13 Q. Okay. 13 that loan renewal involves substantial costs MS. BAKER: Could we take a five-minute because they choose not to renew their first 14 14 break if now is an okay time to do that? loan with Integrity Advance or more likely given 15 15 MS. WEINBERG: This is fine. that the vast majority of loans are renewed, 16 16 they did renew them and experienced exactly how 17 (A recess was taken.) 17 renewal works and what the costs are. 18 BY MS. WEINBERG: 18 Is that an accurate statement? O. So it is now 2:10. We are back on the 19 19 20 record. And before the break we were talking 20 A. It's an accurate reading of the 21 about circumstances about whether a renewal 21 paragraph, yep. 22 option was valuable to Integrity Advance's 22 Q. So are you assuming in making that 23 customers, right? 23 statement that consumers who renew loans 24 understand the costs of the loan? A. Right. 24 25 Q. If the renewal option is valuable, in 25 A. I am assuming that consumers who have

	149		151
1	experienced a loan, including its costs, which	1	for the cost of a cup of coffee a day, you could
2	they would have had to experience through their	2	save this child, which was a very famous ad
3	account being debited are aware that those	3	campaign some years ago and many of you may
4	debits happened after the loan is concluded.	4	remember it, in that situation you get to
5	Yes, I am.	5	compare something. You get to compare to
6	Q. Is it also possible that consumers	6	something in that case not substantial, like a
7	never calculate the total cost in spite of	7	cost of a cup of coffee, and say, oh, this is
8	having their account debited?	8	actually more important than my coffee. So I'm
9	A. It's possible.	9	going to do this instead.
10	Q. Are you aware of marketing done to	10	Q. Let's look at paragraph 32. And in
11	consumers that emphasizes monthly payments	11	this paragraph you talk about lead generators.
12	rather than total costs?	12	Is that accurate?
13	A. Yes.	13	A. That is.
14	Q. And do you know why some marketers	14	Q. What is your understanding of how lead
15	choose to emphasize monthly payments rather than	15	generators work?
16	total costs in their marketing?	16	A. My understanding is the customer can
17	MS. BAKER: Are you speaking presumably	17	put information in about themselves into a lead
18	not about this case?	18	generation website and then providers of that
19	BY MS. WEINBERG:	19	service, in this case, loans, would reach out to
20	Q. No, I'm asking generically.	20	them in some way.
21	A. Can you repeat the question?	21	Q. And how did you come to that
22	Q. The first question was whether you are	22	understanding?
23	aware of marketing done to consumers that	23	A. That's just my general knowledge about
24	emphasizes monthly payments rather than total	24	lead generation websites and how they operate.
25	costs. And you said yes. And my follow-up	25	Q. What is your basis for the statement
	150		152
1	question was whether you knew why some marketers	1	that coming through lead generators suggests
1 2		1 2	
	question was whether you knew why some marketers chose to market in that fashion, emphasizing monthly payments rather than total costs?		that coming through lead generators suggests
2	question was whether you knew why some marketers chose to market in that fashion, emphasizing monthly payments rather than total costs? A. Do I know exactly why? I do not know	2	that coming through lead generators suggests that renewal costs aren't critical to consumers?
2 3	question was whether you knew why some marketers chose to market in that fashion, emphasizing monthly payments rather than total costs? A. Do I know exactly why? I do not know exactly why marketers would choose to do that.	2 3 4 5	that coming through lead generators suggests that renewal costs aren't critical to consumers? A. Could you point me to that? Q. Sure. In the sentence that begins "when," which is the third sentence, When a
2 3 4 5 6	question was whether you knew why some marketers chose to market in that fashion, emphasizing monthly payments rather than total costs? A. Do I know exactly why? I do not know exactly why marketers would choose to do that. Q. Are you aware of any research on this	2 3 4 5 6	that coming through lead generators suggests that renewal costs aren't critical to consumers? A. Could you point me to that? Q. Sure. In the sentence that begins "when," which is the third sentence, When a customer chooses Integrity Advance, it suggests
2 3 4 5 6 7	question was whether you knew why some marketers chose to market in that fashion, emphasizing monthly payments rather than total costs? A. Do I know exactly why? I do not know exactly why marketers would choose to do that. Q. Are you aware of any research on this topic of marketing to consumers using monthly	2 3 4 5 6 7	that coming through lead generators suggests that renewal costs aren't critical to consumers? A. Could you point me to that? Q. Sure. In the sentence that begins "when," which is the third sentence, When a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	question was whether you knew why some marketers chose to market in that fashion, emphasizing monthly payments rather than total costs? A. Do I know exactly why? I do not know exactly why marketers would choose to do that. Q. Are you aware of any research on this topic of marketing to consumers using monthly payments rather than total costs?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	that coming through lead generators suggests that renewal costs aren't critical to consumers? A. Could you point me to that? Q. Sure. In the sentence that begins "when," which is the third sentence, When a customer chooses Integrity Advance, it suggests that they are either not finding disclosures about renewal costs a critical piece of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	question was whether you knew why some marketers chose to market in that fashion, emphasizing monthly payments rather than total costs? A. Do I know exactly why? I do not know exactly why marketers would choose to do that. Q. Are you aware of any research on this topic of marketing to consumers using monthly payments rather than total costs? A. I'm not aware specifically of research	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	that coming through lead generators suggests that renewal costs aren't critical to consumers? A. Could you point me to that? Q. Sure. In the sentence that begins "when," which is the third sentence, When a customer chooses Integrity Advance, it suggests that they are either not finding disclosures about renewal costs a critical piece of information for making their loan provider
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	question was whether you knew why some marketers chose to market in that fashion, emphasizing monthly payments rather than total costs? A. Do I know exactly why? I do not know exactly why marketers would choose to do that. Q. Are you aware of any research on this topic of marketing to consumers using monthly payments rather than total costs? A. I'm not aware specifically of research on monthly payments versus total costs.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	that coming through lead generators suggests that renewal costs aren't critical to consumers? A. Could you point me to that? Q. Sure. In the sentence that begins "when," which is the third sentence, When a customer chooses Integrity Advance, it suggests that they are either not finding disclosures about renewal costs a critical piece of information for making their loan provider decision. And let's just
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	question was whether you knew why some marketers chose to market in that fashion, emphasizing monthly payments rather than total costs? A. Do I know exactly why? I do not know exactly why marketers would choose to do that. Q. Are you aware of any research on this topic of marketing to consumers using monthly payments rather than total costs? A. I'm not aware specifically of research on monthly payments versus total costs. Q. You said specifically. Are you aware	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	that coming through lead generators suggests that renewal costs aren't critical to consumers? A. Could you point me to that? Q. Sure. In the sentence that begins "when," which is the third sentence, When a customer chooses Integrity Advance, it suggests that they are either not finding disclosures about renewal costs a critical piece of information for making their loan provider decision. And let's just A. I think the rest of that sentence is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	question was whether you knew why some marketers chose to market in that fashion, emphasizing monthly payments rather than total costs? A. Do I know exactly why? I do not know exactly why marketers would choose to do that. Q. Are you aware of any research on this topic of marketing to consumers using monthly payments rather than total costs? A. I'm not aware specifically of research on monthly payments versus total costs. Q. You said specifically. Are you aware of research that is related to this question?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	that coming through lead generators suggests that renewal costs aren't critical to consumers? A. Could you point me to that? Q. Sure. In the sentence that begins "when," which is the third sentence, When a customer chooses Integrity Advance, it suggests that they are either not finding disclosures about renewal costs a critical piece of information for making their loan provider decision. And let's just A. I think the rest of that sentence is critical. Or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	question was whether you knew why some marketers chose to market in that fashion, emphasizing monthly payments rather than total costs? A. Do I know exactly why? I do not know exactly why marketers would choose to do that. Q. Are you aware of any research on this topic of marketing to consumers using monthly payments rather than total costs? A. I'm not aware specifically of research on monthly payments versus total costs. Q. You said specifically. Are you aware	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	that coming through lead generators suggests that renewal costs aren't critical to consumers? A. Could you point me to that? Q. Sure. In the sentence that begins "when," which is the third sentence, When a customer chooses Integrity Advance, it suggests that they are either not finding disclosures about renewal costs a critical piece of information for making their loan provider decision. And let's just A. I think the rest of that sentence is critical. Or Q. I was going to ask you about them
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	question was whether you knew why some marketers chose to market in that fashion, emphasizing monthly payments rather than total costs? A. Do I know exactly why? I do not know exactly why marketers would choose to do that. Q. Are you aware of any research on this topic of marketing to consumers using monthly payments rather than total costs? A. I'm not aware specifically of research on monthly payments versus total costs. Q. You said specifically. Are you aware of research that is related to this question? A. I am aware of research that's related, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	that coming through lead generators suggests that renewal costs aren't critical to consumers? A. Could you point me to that? Q. Sure. In the sentence that begins "when," which is the third sentence, When a customer chooses Integrity Advance, it suggests that they are either not finding disclosures about renewal costs a critical piece of information for making their loan provider decision. And let's just A. I think the rest of that sentence is critical. Or Q. I was going to ask you about them separately since that's a compound sentence.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	question was whether you knew why some marketers chose to market in that fashion, emphasizing monthly payments rather than total costs? A. Do I know exactly why? I do not know exactly why marketers would choose to do that. Q. Are you aware of any research on this topic of marketing to consumers using monthly payments rather than total costs? A. I'm not aware specifically of research on monthly payments versus total costs. Q. You said specifically. Are you aware of research that is related to this question? A. I am aware of research that's related, yes. Q. What is that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	that coming through lead generators suggests that renewal costs aren't critical to consumers? A. Could you point me to that? Q. Sure. In the sentence that begins "when," which is the third sentence, When a customer chooses Integrity Advance, it suggests that they are either not finding disclosures about renewal costs a critical piece of information for making their loan provider decision. And let's just A. I think the rest of that sentence is critical. Or Q. I was going to ask you about them separately since that's a compound sentence. But if you feel like you can't answer
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	question was whether you knew why some marketers chose to market in that fashion, emphasizing monthly payments rather than total costs? A. Do I know exactly why? I do not know exactly why marketers would choose to do that. Q. Are you aware of any research on this topic of marketing to consumers using monthly payments rather than total costs? A. I'm not aware specifically of research on monthly payments versus total costs. Q. You said specifically. Are you aware of research that is related to this question? A. I am aware of research that's related, yes. Q. What is that? A. There is research on something called	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	that coming through lead generators suggests that renewal costs aren't critical to consumers? A. Could you point me to that? Q. Sure. In the sentence that begins "when," which is the third sentence, When a customer chooses Integrity Advance, it suggests that they are either not finding disclosures about renewal costs a critical piece of information for making their loan provider decision. And let's just A. I think the rest of that sentence is critical. Or Q. I was going to ask you about them separately since that's a compound sentence. But if you feel like you can't answer A. I think I'm not making the claim that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	question was whether you knew why some marketers chose to market in that fashion, emphasizing monthly payments rather than total costs? A. Do I know exactly why? I do not know exactly why marketers would choose to do that. Q. Are you aware of any research on this topic of marketing to consumers using monthly payments rather than total costs? A. I'm not aware specifically of research on monthly payments versus total costs. Q. You said specifically. Are you aware of research that is related to this question? A. I am aware of research that's related, yes. Q. What is that? A. There is research on something called the pennies a day phenomenon. When you describe	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	that coming through lead generators suggests that renewal costs aren't critical to consumers? A. Could you point me to that? Q. Sure. In the sentence that begins "when," which is the third sentence, When a customer chooses Integrity Advance, it suggests that they are either not finding disclosures about renewal costs a critical piece of information for making their loan provider decision. And let's just A. I think the rest of that sentence is critical. Or Q. I was going to ask you about them separately since that's a compound sentence. But if you feel like you can't answer A. I think I'm not making the claim that you have stated. I'm not making the claim that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	question was whether you knew why some marketers chose to market in that fashion, emphasizing monthly payments rather than total costs? A. Do I know exactly why? I do not know exactly why marketers would choose to do that. Q. Are you aware of any research on this topic of marketing to consumers using monthly payments rather than total costs? A. I'm not aware specifically of research on monthly payments versus total costs. Q. You said specifically. Are you aware of research that is related to this question? A. I am aware of research that's related, yes. Q. What is that? A. There is research on something called the pennies a day phenomenon. When you describe the cost of something in how many cents or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that coming through lead generators suggests that renewal costs aren't critical to consumers? A. Could you point me to that? Q. Sure. In the sentence that begins "when," which is the third sentence, When a customer chooses Integrity Advance, it suggests that they are either not finding disclosures about renewal costs a critical piece of information for making their loan provider decision. And let's just A. I think the rest of that sentence is critical. Or Q. I was going to ask you about them separately since that's a compound sentence. But if you feel like you can't answer A. I think I'm not making the claim that you have stated. I'm not making the claim that consumers don't find it critical. I'm making
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	question was whether you knew why some marketers chose to market in that fashion, emphasizing monthly payments rather than total costs? A. Do I know exactly why? I do not know exactly why marketers would choose to do that. Q. Are you aware of any research on this topic of marketing to consumers using monthly payments rather than total costs? A. I'm not aware specifically of research on monthly payments versus total costs. Q. You said specifically. Are you aware of research that is related to this question? A. I am aware of research that's related, yes. Q. What is that? A. There is research on something called the pennies a day phenomenon. When you describe the cost of something in how many cents or dollars per day it is, that's different than	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	that coming through lead generators suggests that renewal costs aren't critical to consumers? A. Could you point me to that? Q. Sure. In the sentence that begins "when," which is the third sentence, When a customer chooses Integrity Advance, it suggests that they are either not finding disclosures about renewal costs a critical piece of information for making their loan provider decision. And let's just A. I think the rest of that sentence is critical. Or Q. I was going to ask you about them separately since that's a compound sentence. But if you feel like you can't answer A. I think I'm not making the claim that you have stated. I'm not making the claim that consumers don't find it critical. I'm making the statement that they either don't find it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	question was whether you knew why some marketers chose to market in that fashion, emphasizing monthly payments rather than total costs? A. Do I know exactly why? I do not know exactly why marketers would choose to do that. Q. Are you aware of any research on this topic of marketing to consumers using monthly payments rather than total costs? A. I'm not aware specifically of research on monthly payments versus total costs. Q. You said specifically. Are you aware of research that is related to this question? A. I am aware of research that's related, yes. Q. What is that? A. There is research on something called the pennies a day phenomenon. When you describe the cost of something in how many cents or dollars per day it is, that's different than describing it as in its total cost.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	that coming through lead generators suggests that renewal costs aren't critical to consumers? A. Could you point me to that? Q. Sure. In the sentence that begins "when," which is the third sentence, When a customer chooses Integrity Advance, it suggests that they are either not finding disclosures about renewal costs a critical piece of information for making their loan provider decision. And let's just A. I think the rest of that sentence is critical. Or Q. I was going to ask you about them separately since that's a compound sentence. But if you feel like you can't answer A. I think I'm not making the claim that you have stated. I'm not making the claim that consumers don't find it critical. I'm making the statement that they either don't find it critical or the second half of the sentence.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	question was whether you knew why some marketers chose to market in that fashion, emphasizing monthly payments rather than total costs? A. Do I know exactly why? I do not know exactly why marketers would choose to do that. Q. Are you aware of any research on this topic of marketing to consumers using monthly payments rather than total costs? A. I'm not aware specifically of research on monthly payments versus total costs. Q. You said specifically. Are you aware of research that is related to this question? A. I am aware of research that's related, yes. Q. What is that? A. There is research on something called the pennies a day phenomenon. When you describe the cost of something in how many cents or dollars per day it is, that's different than describing it as in its total cost. Q. And what is the benefit of advertising	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	that coming through lead generators suggests that renewal costs aren't critical to consumers? A. Could you point me to that? Q. Sure. In the sentence that begins "when," which is the third sentence, When a customer chooses Integrity Advance, it suggests that they are either not finding disclosures about renewal costs a critical piece of information for making their loan provider decision. And let's just A. I think the rest of that sentence is critical. Or Q. I was going to ask you about them separately since that's a compound sentence. But if you feel like you can't answer A. I think I'm not making the claim that you have stated. I'm not making the claim that consumers don't find it critical. I'm making the statement that they either don't find it critical or the second half of the sentence. Q. Or Integrity Advance is offering
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	question was whether you knew why some marketers chose to market in that fashion, emphasizing monthly payments rather than total costs? A. Do I know exactly why? I do not know exactly why marketers would choose to do that. Q. Are you aware of any research on this topic of marketing to consumers using monthly payments rather than total costs? A. I'm not aware specifically of research on monthly payments versus total costs. Q. You said specifically. Are you aware of research that is related to this question? A. I am aware of research that's related, yes. Q. What is that? A. There is research on something called the pennies a day phenomenon. When you describe the cost of something in how many cents or dollars per day it is, that's different than describing it as in its total cost. Q. And what is the benefit of advertising that something costs pennies a day versus the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	that coming through lead generators suggests that renewal costs aren't critical to consumers? A. Could you point me to that? Q. Sure. In the sentence that begins "when," which is the third sentence, When a customer chooses Integrity Advance, it suggests that they are either not finding disclosures about renewal costs a critical piece of information for making their loan provider decision. And let's just A. I think the rest of that sentence is critical. Or Q. I was going to ask you about them separately since that's a compound sentence. But if you feel like you can't answer A. I think I'm not making the claim that you have stated. I'm not making the claim that consumers don't find it critical. I'm making the statement that they either don't find it critical or the second half of the sentence. Q. Or Integrity Advance is offering complete with disclosures about renewal costs
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	question was whether you knew why some marketers chose to market in that fashion, emphasizing monthly payments rather than total costs? A. Do I know exactly why? I do not know exactly why marketers would choose to do that. Q. Are you aware of any research on this topic of marketing to consumers using monthly payments rather than total costs? A. I'm not aware specifically of research on monthly payments versus total costs. Q. You said specifically. Are you aware of research that is related to this question? A. I am aware of research that's related, yes. Q. What is that? A. There is research on something called the pennies a day phenomenon. When you describe the cost of something in how many cents or dollars per day it is, that's different than describing it as in its total cost. Q. And what is the benefit of advertising that something costs pennies a day versus the total cost?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that coming through lead generators suggests that renewal costs aren't critical to consumers? A. Could you point me to that? Q. Sure. In the sentence that begins "when," which is the third sentence, When a customer chooses Integrity Advance, it suggests that they are either not finding disclosures about renewal costs a critical piece of information for making their loan provider decision. And let's just A. I think the rest of that sentence is critical. Or Q. I was going to ask you about them separately since that's a compound sentence. But if you feel like you can't answer A. I think I'm not making the claim that you have stated. I'm not making the claim that consumers don't find it critical. I'm making the statement that they either don't find it critical or the second half of the sentence. Q. Or Integrity Advance is offering complete with disclosures about renewal costs sufficiently attractive to end up choosing
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	question was whether you knew why some marketers chose to market in that fashion, emphasizing monthly payments rather than total costs? A. Do I know exactly why? I do not know exactly why marketers would choose to do that. Q. Are you aware of any research on this topic of marketing to consumers using monthly payments rather than total costs? A. I'm not aware specifically of research on monthly payments versus total costs. Q. You said specifically. Are you aware of research that is related to this question? A. I am aware of research that's related, yes. Q. What is that? A. There is research on something called the pennies a day phenomenon. When you describe the cost of something in how many cents or dollars per day it is, that's different than describing it as in its total cost. Q. And what is the benefit of advertising that something costs pennies a day versus the total cost? A. One benefit of it is it allows them to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	that coming through lead generators suggests that renewal costs aren't critical to consumers? A. Could you point me to that? Q. Sure. In the sentence that begins "when," which is the third sentence, When a customer chooses Integrity Advance, it suggests that they are either not finding disclosures about renewal costs a critical piece of information for making their loan provider decision. And let's just A. I think the rest of that sentence is critical. Or Q. I was going to ask you about them separately since that's a compound sentence. But if you feel like you can't answer A. I think I'm not making the claim that you have stated. I'm not making the claim that consumers don't find it critical. I'm making the statement that they either don't find it critical or the second half of the sentence. Q. Or Integrity Advance is offering complete with disclosures about renewal costs sufficiently attractive to end up choosing Integrity Advance as their loan provider?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	question was whether you knew why some marketers chose to market in that fashion, emphasizing monthly payments rather than total costs? A. Do I know exactly why? I do not know exactly why marketers would choose to do that. Q. Are you aware of any research on this topic of marketing to consumers using monthly payments rather than total costs? A. I'm not aware specifically of research on monthly payments versus total costs. Q. You said specifically. Are you aware of research that is related to this question? A. I am aware of research that's related, yes. Q. What is that? A. There is research on something called the pennies a day phenomenon. When you describe the cost of something in how many cents or dollars per day it is, that's different than describing it as in its total cost. Q. And what is the benefit of advertising that something costs pennies a day versus the total cost?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that coming through lead generators suggests that renewal costs aren't critical to consumers? A. Could you point me to that? Q. Sure. In the sentence that begins "when," which is the third sentence, When a customer chooses Integrity Advance, it suggests that they are either not finding disclosures about renewal costs a critical piece of information for making their loan provider decision. And let's just A. I think the rest of that sentence is critical. Or Q. I was going to ask you about them separately since that's a compound sentence. But if you feel like you can't answer A. I think I'm not making the claim that you have stated. I'm not making the claim that consumers don't find it critical. I'm making the statement that they either don't find it critical or the second half of the sentence. Q. Or Integrity Advance is offering complete with disclosures about renewal costs sufficiently attractive to end up choosing

153 155 true. I know that one of the two is true. disclosures about renewal costs sufficiently 1 1 2 2 O. And you haven't done any empirical attractive to end up choosing Integrity Advance 3 3 research, again, to make any conclusions about as their loan provider. 4 which of these might be true here? 4 First of all, this assumes that 5 5 A. Correct. consumers understood the costs of the loan 6 Q. And you haven't cited any studies here 6 renewal; is that correct? 7 that would lead to one conclusion or the other? 7 A. That is not correct. 8 A. Correct. 8 Q. Why is that not correct? 9 Q. In the preceding sentence in that same 9 A. They don't -- so the first half of that 10 paragraph 32, you said, This means they have the 10 sentence is the scenario where that's not opportunity to consider more than one provider correct where they say I don't care about or 11 11 12 when choosing a loan. 12 think about or worry about a renewal cost. I What is the basis of that statement? just want to choose a loan provider. That's one 13 13 of the two possibilities. So there they would 14 A. Coming back to my general understanding 14 15 of a lead generation website is it sends your not need to understand renewal costs. 15 information to more than one provider, and so it Q. So are you testifying that some 16 16 17 is often the case that more than one provider 17 consumers who were taking out loans from 18 then reaches out to you, resulting ultimately in 18 Integrity Advance didn't care about the cost at 19 a choice for the consumer as to which provider 19 all? 20 to engage. 20 A. I am testifying they may not have cared 21 Q. Do you know if Integrity Advance's 21 about the cost of renewal. 22 consumers had a choice? 22 Q. But again, you have no basis for saying 23 A. I don't specifically know that, no. 23 whether they did or did not? 24 24 Q. Do you know if Integrity Advance A. Correct. purchased any leads on an exclusive basis? 25 25 Q. So going back to the question, 154 156 1 A. I don't know that either, no. hopefully without reading that one sentence 2 Q. Would it change your opinion to learn 2 again, referring to the last sentence in 3 that Integrity Advance instructed its 3 paragraph 32, would that sentence change, your representatives to not disclose the APR on a conclusion or your hypothesis change if you 4 4 loan until after a consumer had applied for the 5 learned that Integrity Advance instructed its 5 6 representatives to not disclose the APR on a 6 7 A. Would it change which opinion? 7 loan until after the consumers had applied for 8 8 Q. That's stated in the last sentence of the loan? 9 9 paragraph 32, when a consumer chooses Integrity A. No, it would not. 10 Advance, they are either finding the disclosures 10 O. Why not? A. The first part of that sentence refers 11 about renewal costs critical or sufficiently 11 12 attractive, to summarize the statement without 12 to that scenario. If consumers are choosing a 13 reading it in its entirety. 13 loan provider and the loan provider omits A. I'm sorry, I have lost -- can you information important to the consumers, perhaps 14 14 repeat the question now? information they would have gotten from other 15 15 Q. Let's -- I think we are all getting a 16 loan providers and are eager to compare, then 16 17 little tired. So I better read the sentence in 17 they would either not choose that person or 18 its entirety to make sure we are completely on 18 demand that particular information from that 19 the same page here. 19 20 You wrote, When a customer chooses 20 Q. Okay. I'm going to very ambitiously 21 Integrity Advance, it suggests that they are 21 try to discuss two paragraphs at once. 22 either not finding disclosures about renewal 22 Paragraphs 34 and 48 both discuss information 23 costs a critical piece of information for making 23 overload. Is that an accurate statement? I'll the loan provider decision or they find 24 24 give you a minute to look at your report and 25 Integrity Advance's offering complete with 25 tell me if that's correct.

	157		159
1	A. Thirty-four and 47?	1	increases overload.
2	Q. No, 34 and 48.	2	Q. So you think that is it your
3	A. Okay.	3	testimony that all information that a consumer
4	Q. So is it a fair statement to say that	4	reads in an agreement is processed equally?
5	both of those paragraphs discuss information	5	A. No.
6	overload?	6	Q. So is the converse true that some
7	A. Yes.	7	information is processed more readily by
8	Q. Is it your opinion that cost is	8	consumers than other information?
9	important to consumers seeking to borrow money?	9	A. Most likely true, yes.
10	A. What do you mean by cost?	10	Q. And we talked about this this morning
11	Q. Cost of the borrowing.	11	about prominence being or placement being one
12	A. That's an empirical question.	12	of the factors that might affect this; is that
13	Q. So you have no opinion on whether cost	13	correct?
14	is important to consumers who are borrowing	14	A. That's correct.
15	money?	15	Q. So then is it a fair statement to say
16	A. I have no definitive statement to make	16	that it is possible to highlight certain
17	in this context whether cost is relevant to	17	information in a loan agreement to make it more
18	consumers borrowing money. You can reference my	18	likely to be read by a consumer?
19	paragraph, I want to say, 13. Not 13. The end	19	A. Yes, that is certainly possible.
20	of paragraph 13.	20	Q. So just assuming for a second that cost
21	Q. So do you have any opinion about	21	is important, would it be possible and do you
22	whether the cost of borrowing money would be	22	think it would help consumers to overcome
23	important to payday customers specifically?	23	information overload to highlight the costs more
24	A. I'm sorry, I missed the first part of	24	prominently of loan renewals?
25	the question.	25	MS. BAKER: Objection. Vague question.
	158		160
1	Q. Do you have an opinion on whether the	1	Form.
2	cost of borrowing money is important to payday	2	THE WITNESS: I don't think it would
3	loan customers?	3	help with information overload to highlight any
4	A. I don't have an opinion. There's	4	particular piece of information, including cost.
5	evidence that it isn't cited in my paragraph 13.	5	BY MS. WEINBERG:
6	And there's some reason to believe that it might	6	Q. Do you have an opinion on what the most
7	be. So without further study, I don't know.	7	important piece of information is to consumers
8	Q. So it either might be or it might not	8	seeking to borrow money?
9	be?	9	A. I do not have an opinion.
10	A. Correct.	10	Q. In your paragraph 35, you say, Renewal
11	Q. And you have no opinion unless you can	11	costs may not be the information consumers are
12 13	do research; is that correct?	12 13	interested in, in understanding or using for
13	A. That's correct. Of If cost is important. I'm just going to	13	their loan origination decision. Do you see that sentence that's in the
15	Q. If cost is important, I'm just going to ask you to accept that premise for a second,	15	third and fourth line of paragraph 35?
16	would it help to overcome information overload	16	A. I do.
17	to highlight the cost of loan renewals more	17	Q. What is the basis for that statement?
18	prominently in a loan agreement?	18	A. Paragraphs 21 to 23 that are referenced
19	MS. BAKER: Objection. Vague question.	19	in that sentence.
20	BY MS. WEINBERG:	20	Q. Anything else?
21	Q. Do you understand?	21	A. Let me think for a moment. No, those
22	A. My answer would be no. Importance of	22	are the main reasons for that sentence.
23	information doesn't, especially raising the	23	Q. But again, you didn't do a study on
24	importance of information as your predicate is	24	this. Is that fair to say?
25	doesn't reduce overload. It potentially	25	A. I did not do a study on this.
			<u> </u>

161 163 Q. So it is possible that renewal costs decision relevant to those fees. 1 1 2 are important as well? 2 O. And is it possible that even if they 3 A. It is possible that renewal costs are 3 don't think about the fees when making the 4 4 choice, it is nonetheless important to them? important. 5 5 A. It's possible that it's important to Q. And you would need to do empirical research in order to form an opinion on this. 6 6 them. It's also possible that it is not 7 Is a correct statement? 7 important to them. That's a separate empirical 8 A. That would be a correct statement, yes. 8 question. 9 O. You cite Lawrence and Elliehausen in 9 Q. And you didn't do any research on the question. So is it fair to say you don't have 10 your footnote 2. And let me give you that 10 an opinion? 11 11 A. I did no research on that question of 12 A. This is the citation we corrected at 12 whether costs are important to them in this 13 the beginning of the deposition. 13 Q. So you weren't citing this cite? 14 14 A. These two are very related articles, 15 Q. Does that mean you don't have an 15 opinion? but I really meant to be citing what they handed 16 16 17 17 A. All I can do is cite the research in you. 18 Q. Let's stick with what you actually 18 the corrected citation of this article which 19 cited and we can -- I haven't looked at the new 19 shows that, you know, many more people, very few 20 20 people reference cost as important to them. 21 (Novemsky Deposition Exhibit Number 6 21 Q. I want to turn to or back to your 22 report. And we are skipping ahead. And you was marked for identification.) 22 BY MS. WEINBERG: 23 say, referring to the schedule of charges and 23 24 24 fees that are referenced in Dr. Hastak's report. O. Tell me if this is, in fact, the 25 25 and I think we need to look at them in order to article that you cited in your report. 162 164 A. Yep, this appears to be. 1 1 have intelligible testimony on this. 2 Q. And I would refer you to Table 6, which 2 MS. BAKER: What page are you on? 3 is on page 313 of this report. And in Table 6, 3 MS. WEINBERG: I am on his report, to the statement the government should limit the paragraph 43, which is page 13 going on, and we 4 4 fees charged by payday advance companies roughly 5 5 are talking about the schedule of charges and 75 percent, if we are adding strongly agree and fees, and I think we are going to have to look 6 6 7 somewhat agree together, roughly 75 percent of at them. So let's go back to Dr. Hastak's 7 consumers say that the government should limit 8 8 report, Appendix B. 9 9 fees that payday companies can charge. Do you MS. BAKER: For the record, Exhibit 3, 10 see that? 10 right? A. Um-hum. 11 MS. WEINBERG: Yes. And the schedule 11 Q. And is my math correct it's roughly 12 of charges and fees in this report appears on 12 75 percent of consumers? 13 CFPB042569. Are we on the same page here? 13 14 MS. BAKER: Um-hum. 14 BY MS. WEINBERG: 15 15 O. Doesn't this indicate that most payday Q. Okay. Were you told anything about 16 customers are concerned about costs? 16 this schedule by Integrity Advance or --17 17 A. No. 18 A. Not that I recall. 18 Q. Why not? Q. You state here, My impression was that 19 A. There is a difference between what 19 20 people think about when making a decision and 20 the information was presented clearly in the 21 how people respond to a question when you make a 21 schedule. Do you see that, which is in the 22 particular issue salient. So if I ask you about 22 middle on page 14 in the middle of the fees, 99 out of 100 people are going to tell me, 23 paragraph? 23 24 A. I do. I would like them to be lower. That doesn't 24 25 Q. Can you tell me what your understanding 25 mean they think about the fees when making a

165 167 of the schedule is? very different answers from those two people. 1 2 So they tend the stick with the default in the 2 A. My understanding of this schedule --3 these two schedules are that whether your loan 3 sense that those who have a mug really don't 4 varies anywhere between 8 and 23 days, you pay 4 want to sell it and those who don't have a mug 5 the same finance charge on a particular loan don't particularly want to buy it even though 5 6 6 you've randomly assigned people to have a mug or 7 Q. And does this provide any information 7 not have a mug. So default position seems to 8 about the cost of loan renewals? 8 have an influence on their choice in that 9 A. By extension it does, because the loan 9 situation. 10 renewal cost is the same as the original loan 10 Q. And can I understand your statement to cost for the next period. mean that people are more likely to stick with 11 11 12 Q. I'm sorry, could you say that again. 12 the default situation? Is that what your A. My cost in these loan charges are the conclusion is? 13 13 cost of the loan for a single pay period. Any 14 A. Correct. 14 renewal would have identical finance charges. 15 Q. In your opinion, would the default 15 option in Integrity Advance's loan agreements Q. But of course that would change once 16 16 they were in the auto workout? have affected renewals? In other words. 17 17 18 A. Auto workout would be different. 18 affected whether or not a consumers would renew 19 their loan? 19 correct. 20 Q. So if we are looking at the chart, it 20 MS. BAKER: Objection. Compound 21 shows days going from 8 to 23 days, as you 21 THE WITNESS: I think default would noted, on the left-hand column, right? And it 22 22 also shows the same fee of \$24 per hundred on affect renewals, yes. 23 23 the top chart and \$30 per hundred on the bottom 24 BY MS. WEINBERG: 24 chart for an eight-day loan as well as a 23-day 25 O. And how would it affect them? 25 168 166 loan; is that correct? A. It could potentially -- any default 2 2 option could potentially be used more than any A. That is correct. 3 3 Q. Is one possible interpretation non-default option. consumers could have had of that schedule that 4 4 Q. And so in the instance of Integrity 5 fees don't go up regardless of how long a loan 5 Advance's agreements, that would lean to more is outstanding? loan renewals. Is that an accurate statement? 6 6 7 A. That sounds like one possible 7 A. That is an accurate statement. 8 8 interpretation. O. Okay. In paragraph 46, you write --9 9 Q. So let's move on to default effects. I'm paraphrasing here that paying in full could 10 And you start talking about that -- I'm going to 10 be more costly than loan renewals. Is that an 11 start on paragraph 45 of your report. And you 11 accurate paraphrase of your statement? 12 refer to your own research on default effects in 12 A. Not quite. 13 that paragraph. 13 Q. Tell me where I misstated it. A. Paragraph 46 raises the possibility 14 A. Yes. 14 Q. Can you describe your research on that a pay-in-full default could be a more 15 15 costly default than a renewal default. 16 default effects? 16 Q. Okay. Thank you for that 17 A. Sure. It's a version of what's called 17 clarification. And what is the basis of that 18 the dominance effect where you put people in one 18 19 of two states of ownership, either they, for 19 20 example, have a mug or don't have a mug. And so 20 A. So a pay-in-full default is different 21 then you ask the people who have a mug what is 21 from a renewal default. One of the key 22 their willingness to sell the mug. You ask the 22 differences is someone could unexpectedly get a 23 people who don't have a mug what is their 23 very large debit to their account. In the 24 willingness to buy the mug. It's a very 24 example we were talking about someone could be 25 well-known paradigm in my field. And you get 25 debited \$650 when they didn't expect it.

169 171 Whereas, if auto renewal is the default, then financial harm, that's correct. 1 2 2 they would be debited \$150. So the likelihood O. And you were considering things like 3 3 of an overdraft, for example, would be much what it would do to their credit report? 4 lower with a renewal default than with a 4 5 Q. Anything else? Any other types of 5 pay-in-full default. harms that were embedded in that assessment? 6 Q. And were you provided information or do 6 you have any information on the average amount 7 7 A. It would leave them -- I don't know if you consider this financial. It would leave 8 that consumers paid above the listed finance 8 9 charge in the TILA box? 9 them potentially illiquid for the remainder of 10 MS. BAKER: Objection as a vague 10 their pay period. Q. So this was not supposed to be a 11 question. 11 THE WITNESS: I'm not sure I understand 12 12 mathematical comparison of the costs of renewals versus the actual costs of a pay-in-full? 13 that. 13 MS. BAKER: I don't understand the 14 A. That's correct. 14 15 question. 15 O. It was a broader statement? BY MS. WEINBERG: 16 16 A. That's correct. 17 Q. Were you provided any information on 17 Q. Okay. In paragraph 47 you say, In my 18 the amount that Integrity Advance's customers 18 experience, default effects are most pronounced 19 paid in costs for their loan above that amount 19 when the decisionmaker does not have a strong preference for a particular course of action. 20 that was reflected in the TILA total of payments 20 21 box? 21 That's the last sentence of that 22 paragraph. Do you see that? A. I was not. 22 23 Q. And did you have any information on or 23 A. I do. 24 what was the calculation that you made in what 24 Q. Does that statement assume that the 25 the costs would be to a consumer who had the 25 decisionmaker understands the implications of 170 172 1 default pay-in-full option? 1 choosing one option over another? A. So I wouldn't refer to it as a 2 MS. BAKER: Objection. Vague question. 2 3 calculation, but my reasoning for why 3 THE WITNESS: I don't understand the pay-in-full might be more costly than an auto 4 question. 4 5 renewal default is that pay-in-full involves a 5 BY MS. WEINBERG: much larger debit to the consumer's account. 6 6 Q. Well, let's get it more specifically One of the things that that can result in is, 7 7 within this context. If Integrity Advance's 8 8 for example, an overdraft or just a lack of customers didn't understand the cost 9 9 money for the ensuing pay period. So that implications of the rollover option, would that 10 consequence could be worse to consumers than the 10 influence the default effect? 11 consequence of renewal. 11 A. Potentially. It is not clear. 12 Q. But you didn't do an actual calculation 12 O. It's not clear? 13 about how much overdraft costs a consumer would 13 A. Whether it would or not. likely experience or if there was the 14 O. Is this the same answer where you would 14 pay-in-full option? have to do research in order to provide an 15 15 A. That's right. There was no calculation 16 opinion on it? 16 because this isn't a completely monetary issue. A. Yeah. I see no obvious connection 17 17 Consumers clearly have an aversion to between understanding the renewal costs and the 18 18 overdrafts. And that's, in this context, for size of the default effect. The size of the 19 19 20 reasons other than just the cost of overdraft. 20 default effect occurs through the strength of 21 For example, credit consequences. 21 preference which may or may not be related to Q. So when you were talking about 22 22 understanding of the costs of the renewal. 23 potential harm, you weren't talking about just 23 Q. Well, I guess my question is here you 24 financial harm; is that accurate? 24 are positing that a decisionmaker doesn't have a A. I wasn't talking about just immediate 25 25 strong preference for one course of action over

	173		175
1	another.	1	A. I have no opinion on it.
2	A. I am not positing that.	2	Q. Do you have an opinion on whether the
3	Q. You say the default effects are most	3	disclosures in Integrity Advance's loan
4	pronounced when the decisionmaker doesn't have	4	agreements concerning remotely created checks
5	strong preferences	5	were conspicuous?
6	A. I am saying that.	6	A. I don't have an opinion on that.
7	Q for one course of action over	7	Q. Do you have an opinion on whether the
8	another, right?	8	disclosures in Integrity Advance's loan
9	A. That's correct.	9	agreements concerning remotely created checks
10	Q. In order for a consumer not to have	10	were clear?
11	preference for one course of action over	11	A. I don't have an opinion on that.
12	another strike that.	12	MS. WEINBERG: Let's take a ten-minute
13	Let's move on to remotely created	13	break.
14	checks. Do you know what remotely created	14	(A recess was taken.)
15	checks are?	15	MS. WEINBERG: We are back on the
16	A. I have some understanding of them.	16	record at 3:02.
17	Q. What is your understanding?	17	BY MS. WEINBERG:
18	A. That someone other than the	18	Q. I just had one question. You have or
19	accountholder generates a check on the	19	your counsel provided us with a copy of
20	accountholder's account and sends it to the	20	something called Payday Advance Credit in
21	bank, and it is paid to that other person.	21	America, An Analysis of Customer Demand, which I
22	Q. How did you obtain your knowledge about	22	believe you testified you wanted to supplement
23	remotely created checks?	23	your footnote 2 with this document?
24	A. Mostly from thinking about this case	24	A. Correct.
25	and the documents in my Appendix D.	25	Q. Is there a particular part of this
	174		176
1	Q. So you didn't have experience with	1	
1 2			rather lengthy document that you were citing specifically to support that paragraph?
	Q. So you didn't have experience with	1 2 3	rather lengthy document that you were citing
2	Q. So you didn't have experience with remotely created checks prior to reading this	2	rather lengthy document that you were citing specifically to support that paragraph?
2 3	 Q. So you didn't have experience with remotely created checks prior to reading this report or the materials? A. That's correct. Q. In your opinion, would it be important 	2 3	rather lengthy document that you were citing specifically to support that paragraph? A. There is.
2 3 4 5 6	 Q. So you didn't have experience with remotely created checks prior to reading this report or the materials? A. That's correct. Q. In your opinion, would it be important to consumers to know that after they decided 	2 3 4	rather lengthy document that you were citing specifically to support that paragraph? A. There is. MS. BAKER: Are you entering this into the record, this document? It's your deposition.
2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q. So you didn't have experience with remotely created checks prior to reading this report or the materials? A. That's correct. Q. In your opinion, would it be important to consumers to know that after they decided they didn't owe a company money and had revoked 	2 3 4 5 6 7	rather lengthy document that you were citing specifically to support that paragraph? A. There is. MS. BAKER: Are you entering this into the record, this document? It's your deposition. THE WITNESS: It's going to take me a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. So you didn't have experience with remotely created checks prior to reading this report or the materials? A. That's correct. Q. In your opinion, would it be important to consumers to know that after they decided they didn't owe a company money and had revoked their ACH authorization that the company could 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	rather lengthy document that you were citing specifically to support that paragraph? A. There is. MS. BAKER: Are you entering this into the record, this document? It's your deposition. THE WITNESS: It's going to take me a second to find the page. The electronic version
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. So you didn't have experience with remotely created checks prior to reading this report or the materials? A. That's correct. Q. In your opinion, would it be important to consumers to know that after they decided they didn't owe a company money and had revoked their ACH authorization that the company could still create a remotely created check to take	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	rather lengthy document that you were citing specifically to support that paragraph? A. There is. MS. BAKER: Are you entering this into the record, this document? It's your deposition. THE WITNESS: It's going to take me a second to find the page. The electronic version I can search it. So I never noted the page
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. So you didn't have experience with remotely created checks prior to reading this report or the materials? A. That's correct. Q. In your opinion, would it be important to consumers to know that after they decided they didn't owe a company money and had revoked their ACH authorization that the company could still create a remotely created check to take their funds?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	rather lengthy document that you were citing specifically to support that paragraph? A. There is. MS. BAKER: Are you entering this into the record, this document? It's your deposition. THE WITNESS: It's going to take me a second to find the page. The electronic version I can search it. So I never noted the page number to myself. Let me just flip through and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. So you didn't have experience with remotely created checks prior to reading this report or the materials? A. That's correct. Q. In your opinion, would it be important to consumers to know that after they decided they didn't owe a company money and had revoked their ACH authorization that the company could still create a remotely created check to take their funds? A. I'm sorry, I missed the is it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	rather lengthy document that you were citing specifically to support that paragraph? A. There is. MS. BAKER: Are you entering this into the record, this document? It's your deposition. THE WITNESS: It's going to take me a second to find the page. The electronic version I can search it. So I never noted the page number to myself. Let me just flip through and find the table.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. So you didn't have experience with remotely created checks prior to reading this report or the materials? A. That's correct. Q. In your opinion, would it be important to consumers to know that after they decided they didn't owe a company money and had revoked their ACH authorization that the company could still create a remotely created check to take their funds? A. I'm sorry, I missed the is it important to consumers?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	rather lengthy document that you were citing specifically to support that paragraph? A. There is. MS. BAKER: Are you entering this into the record, this document? It's your deposition. THE WITNESS: It's going to take me a second to find the page. The electronic version I can search it. So I never noted the page number to myself. Let me just flip through and find the table. At long last, page 51. In particular,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. So you didn't have experience with remotely created checks prior to reading this report or the materials? A. That's correct. Q. In your opinion, would it be important to consumers to know that after they decided they didn't owe a company money and had revoked their ACH authorization that the company could still create a remotely created check to take their funds? A. I'm sorry, I missed the is it important to consumers? Q. Yeah.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	rather lengthy document that you were citing specifically to support that paragraph? A. There is. MS. BAKER: Are you entering this into the record, this document? It's your deposition. THE WITNESS: It's going to take me a second to find the page. The electronic version I can search it. So I never noted the page number to myself. Let me just flip through and find the table. At long last, page 51. In particular, 523, that's some kind of table or some kind of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. So you didn't have experience with remotely created checks prior to reading this report or the materials? A. That's correct. Q. In your opinion, would it be important to consumers to know that after they decided they didn't owe a company money and had revoked their ACH authorization that the company could still create a remotely created check to take their funds? A. I'm sorry, I missed the is it important to consumers? Q. Yeah. MS. BAKER: Could you read back that 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	rather lengthy document that you were citing specifically to support that paragraph? A. There is. MS. BAKER: Are you entering this into the record, this document? It's your deposition. THE WITNESS: It's going to take me a second to find the page. The electronic version I can search it. So I never noted the page number to myself. Let me just flip through and find the table. At long last, page 51. In particular, 523, that's some kind of table or some kind of number, and the second half of that table, most
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. So you didn't have experience with remotely created checks prior to reading this report or the materials? A. That's correct. Q. In your opinion, would it be important to consumers to know that after they decided they didn't owe a company money and had revoked their ACH authorization that the company could still create a remotely created check to take their funds? A. I'm sorry, I missed the is it important to consumers? Q. Yeah. MS. BAKER: Could you read back that question.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	rather lengthy document that you were citing specifically to support that paragraph? A. There is. MS. BAKER: Are you entering this into the record, this document? It's your deposition. THE WITNESS: It's going to take me a second to find the page. The electronic version I can search it. So I never noted the page number to myself. Let me just flip through and find the table. At long last, page 51. In particular, 523, that's some kind of table or some kind of number, and the second half of that table, most important reason for choosing payday advance
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. So you didn't have experience with remotely created checks prior to reading this report or the materials? A. That's correct. Q. In your opinion, would it be important to consumers to know that after they decided they didn't owe a company money and had revoked their ACH authorization that the company could still create a remotely created check to take their funds? A. I'm sorry, I missed the is it important to consumers? Q. Yeah. MS. BAKER: Could you read back that question. (The record was read as requested.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	rather lengthy document that you were citing specifically to support that paragraph? A. There is. MS. BAKER: Are you entering this into the record, this document? It's your deposition. THE WITNESS: It's going to take me a second to find the page. The electronic version I can search it. So I never noted the page number to myself. Let me just flip through and find the table. At long last, page 51. In particular, 523, that's some kind of table or some kind of number, and the second half of that table, most important reason for choosing payday advance over another source. And I was referring to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. So you didn't have experience with remotely created checks prior to reading this report or the materials? A. That's correct. Q. In your opinion, would it be important to consumers to know that after they decided they didn't owe a company money and had revoked their ACH authorization that the company could still create a remotely created check to take their funds? A. I'm sorry, I missed the is it important to consumers? Q. Yeah. MS. BAKER: Could you read back that question. (The record was read as requested.) THE WITNESS: I need more context to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	rather lengthy document that you were citing specifically to support that paragraph? A. There is. MS. BAKER: Are you entering this into the record, this document? It's your deposition. THE WITNESS: It's going to take me a second to find the page. The electronic version I can search it. So I never noted the page number to myself. Let me just flip through and find the table. At long last, page 51. In particular, 523, that's some kind of table or some kind of number, and the second half of that table, most important reason for choosing payday advance over another source. And I was referring to that quick, easy process, fast approval, less
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. So you didn't have experience with remotely created checks prior to reading this report or the materials? A. That's correct. Q. In your opinion, would it be important to consumers to know that after they decided they didn't owe a company money and had revoked their ACH authorization that the company could still create a remotely created check to take their funds? A. I'm sorry, I missed the is it important to consumers? Q. Yeah. MS. BAKER: Could you read back that question. (The record was read as requested.) THE WITNESS: I need more context to the question is it important to consumers.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	rather lengthy document that you were citing specifically to support that paragraph? A. There is. MS. BAKER: Are you entering this into the record, this document? It's your deposition. THE WITNESS: It's going to take me a second to find the page. The electronic version I can search it. So I never noted the page number to myself. Let me just flip through and find the table. At long last, page 51. In particular, 523, that's some kind of table or some kind of number, and the second half of that table, most important reason for choosing payday advance over another source. And I was referring to that quick, easy process, fast approval, less paperwork, get 60 percent of people saying
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. So you didn't have experience with remotely created checks prior to reading this report or the materials? A. That's correct. Q. In your opinion, would it be important to consumers to know that after they decided they didn't owe a company money and had revoked their ACH authorization that the company could still create a remotely created check to take their funds? A. I'm sorry, I missed the is it important to consumers? Q. Yeah. MS. BAKER: Could you read back that question. (The record was read as requested.) THE WITNESS: I need more context to the question is it important to consumers. BY MS. WEINBERG:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	rather lengthy document that you were citing specifically to support that paragraph? A. There is. MS. BAKER: Are you entering this into the record, this document? It's your deposition. THE WITNESS: It's going to take me a second to find the page. The electronic version I can search it. So I never noted the page number to myself. Let me just flip through and find the table. At long last, page 51. In particular, 523, that's some kind of table or some kind of number, and the second half of that table, most important reason for choosing payday advance over another source. And I was referring to that quick, easy process, fast approval, less paperwork, get 60 percent of people saying that's why. Whereas, less expensive gets
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. So you didn't have experience with remotely created checks prior to reading this report or the materials? A. That's correct. Q. In your opinion, would it be important to consumers to know that after they decided they didn't owe a company money and had revoked their ACH authorization that the company could still create a remotely created check to take their funds? A. I'm sorry, I missed the is it important to consumers? Q. Yeah. MS. BAKER: Could you read back that question. (The record was read as requested.) THE WITNESS: I need more context to the question is it important to consumers. BY MS. WEINBERG: Q. Would it be important to consumers in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	rather lengthy document that you were citing specifically to support that paragraph? A. There is. MS. BAKER: Are you entering this into the record, this document? It's your deposition. THE WITNESS: It's going to take me a second to find the page. The electronic version I can search it. So I never noted the page number to myself. Let me just flip through and find the table. At long last, page 51. In particular, 523, that's some kind of table or some kind of number, and the second half of that table, most important reason for choosing payday advance over another source. And I was referring to that quick, easy process, fast approval, less paperwork, get 60 percent of people saying that's why. Whereas, less expensive gets 4 percent of people saying, yes, that's why.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. So you didn't have experience with remotely created checks prior to reading this report or the materials? A. That's correct. Q. In your opinion, would it be important to consumers to know that after they decided they didn't owe a company money and had revoked their ACH authorization that the company could still create a remotely created check to take their funds? A. I'm sorry, I missed the is it important to consumers? Q. Yeah. MS. BAKER: Could you read back that question. (The record was read as requested.) THE WITNESS: I need more context to the question is it important to consumers. BY MS. WEINBERG: Q. Would it be important to consumers in making a decision to take out a loan with a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	rather lengthy document that you were citing specifically to support that paragraph? A. There is. MS. BAKER: Are you entering this into the record, this document? It's your deposition. THE WITNESS: It's going to take me a second to find the page. The electronic version I can search it. So I never noted the page number to myself. Let me just flip through and find the table. At long last, page 51. In particular, 523, that's some kind of table or some kind of number, and the second half of that table, most important reason for choosing payday advance over another source. And I was referring to that quick, easy process, fast approval, less paperwork, get 60 percent of people saying that's why. Whereas, less expensive gets 4 percent of people saying, yes, that's why. So my footnote 2 refers to this idea
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. So you didn't have experience with remotely created checks prior to reading this report or the materials? A. That's correct. Q. In your opinion, would it be important to consumers to know that after they decided they didn't owe a company money and had revoked their ACH authorization that the company could still create a remotely created check to take their funds? A. I'm sorry, I missed the is it important to consumers? Q. Yeah. MS. BAKER: Could you read back that question. (The record was read as requested.) THE WITNESS: I need more context to the question is it important to consumers. BY MS. WEINBERG: Q. Would it be important to consumers in making a decision to take out a loan with a company to know this in advance of taking out	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	rather lengthy document that you were citing specifically to support that paragraph? A. There is. MS. BAKER: Are you entering this into the record, this document? It's your deposition. THE WITNESS: It's going to take me a second to find the page. The electronic version I can search it. So I never noted the page number to myself. Let me just flip through and find the table. At long last, page 51. In particular, 523, that's some kind of table or some kind of number, and the second half of that table, most important reason for choosing payday advance over another source. And I was referring to that quick, easy process, fast approval, less paperwork, get 60 percent of people saying that's why. Whereas, less expensive gets 4 percent of people saying, yes, that's why. So my footnote 2 refers to this idea that people care more about a quick, easy
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. So you didn't have experience with remotely created checks prior to reading this report or the materials? A. That's correct. Q. In your opinion, would it be important to consumers to know that after they decided they didn't owe a company money and had revoked their ACH authorization that the company could still create a remotely created check to take their funds? A. I'm sorry, I missed the is it important to consumers? Q. Yeah. MS. BAKER: Could you read back that question. (The record was read as requested.) THE WITNESS: I need more context to the question is it important to consumers. BY MS. WEINBERG: Q. Would it be important to consumers in making a decision to take out a loan with a company to know this in advance of taking out that loan?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	rather lengthy document that you were citing specifically to support that paragraph? A. There is. MS. BAKER: Are you entering this into the record, this document? It's your deposition. THE WITNESS: It's going to take me a second to find the page. The electronic version I can search it. So I never noted the page number to myself. Let me just flip through and find the table. At long last, page 51. In particular, 523, that's some kind of table or some kind of number, and the second half of that table, most important reason for choosing payday advance over another source. And I was referring to that quick, easy process, fast approval, less paperwork, get 60 percent of people saying that's why. Whereas, less expensive gets 4 percent of people saying, yes, that's why. So my footnote 2 refers to this idea that people care more about a quick, easy process than they care about something being a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q. So you didn't have experience with remotely created checks prior to reading this report or the materials? A. That's correct. Q. In your opinion, would it be important to consumers to know that after they decided they didn't owe a company money and had revoked their ACH authorization that the company could still create a remotely created check to take their funds? A. I'm sorry, I missed the is it important to consumers? Q. Yeah. MS. BAKER: Could you read back that question. (The record was read as requested.) THE WITNESS: I need more context to the question is it important to consumers. BY MS. WEINBERG: Q. Would it be important to consumers in making a decision to take out a loan with a company to know this in advance of taking out that loan? A. That's an empirical question.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	rather lengthy document that you were citing specifically to support that paragraph? A. There is. MS. BAKER: Are you entering this into the record, this document? It's your deposition. THE WITNESS: It's going to take me a second to find the page. The electronic version I can search it. So I never noted the page number to myself. Let me just flip through and find the table. At long last, page 51. In particular, 523, that's some kind of table or some kind of number, and the second half of that table, most important reason for choosing payday advance over another source. And I was referring to that quick, easy process, fast approval, less paperwork, get 60 percent of people saying that's why. Whereas, less expensive gets 4 percent of people saying, yes, that's why. So my footnote 2 refers to this idea that people care more about a quick, easy process than they care about something being a less expensive option.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. So you didn't have experience with remotely created checks prior to reading this report or the materials? A. That's correct. Q. In your opinion, would it be important to consumers to know that after they decided they didn't owe a company money and had revoked their ACH authorization that the company could still create a remotely created check to take their funds? A. I'm sorry, I missed the is it important to consumers? Q. Yeah. MS. BAKER: Could you read back that question. (The record was read as requested.) THE WITNESS: I need more context to the question is it important to consumers. BY MS. WEINBERG: Q. Would it be important to consumers in making a decision to take out a loan with a company to know this in advance of taking out that loan?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	rather lengthy document that you were citing specifically to support that paragraph? A. There is. MS. BAKER: Are you entering this into the record, this document? It's your deposition. THE WITNESS: It's going to take me a second to find the page. The electronic version I can search it. So I never noted the page number to myself. Let me just flip through and find the table. At long last, page 51. In particular, 523, that's some kind of table or some kind of number, and the second half of that table, most important reason for choosing payday advance over another source. And I was referring to that quick, easy process, fast approval, less paperwork, get 60 percent of people saying that's why. Whereas, less expensive gets 4 percent of people saying, yes, that's why. So my footnote 2 refers to this idea that people care more about a quick, easy process than they care about something being a

	177		179
1	Q. Can't that also be read that they don't	1	coming in today. I have no further questions.
1 2	choose it because payday loans are, in fact,	$\begin{vmatrix} 1\\2 \end{vmatrix}$	And thank you for bearing with a semi-long day.
3	more expensive than other forms of borrowing?	$\frac{2}{3}$	MS. BAKER: We'll obviously read and
4	A. It suggests to me they would choose it	4	sign the deposition.
5	not because of cost-related considerations.	5	MS. WEINBERG: We'll send you the PDF.
6	They choose it because of ease and speed	6	(Reading and signature not waived.)
7	considerations.	7	(Whereupon, the proceedings at 3:07
8	Q. Do you know if in doing this survey	8	p.m., were concluded.)
9	they were given the option of choosing anything	9	pinni, more contraducti,
10	other than the five	10	
11	A. Yes, there is the other category.	11	
12	Q. I see the other, which was 7.1. And	12	
13	was this study sponsored by the payday lending	13	
14	industry?	14	
15	A. I don't believe so, but you can look on	15	
16	page the governing board is on page I	16	
17	don't see a page number prior to page 1, prior	17	
18	to the table of contents. My guess would be no,	18	
19	because there's no payday lenders there might	19	
20	be one out of the 12. So, no, my guess is this	20	
21	is not funded by the payday loans, but I don't	21	
22	have any deeper knowledge than looking at this	22	
23	right now.	23	
24	Q. And is it your intent to substitute	24	
25	this document, which I will introduce into the	25	
	178		180
1	record as Exhibit 7, for your previously cited	1	DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA)
2	report?	2) SS:
3	A. I am happy to substitute or supplement.	3	WASHINGTON, D.C.
4	I think substitute is fine.	4	I DEDODAH WEHD a Court Danastas and/an
5	Q. So even though the report that you have cited is from 2008	5 6	I, DEBORAH WEHR, a Court Reporter and/or Notary Public in and for the District of
6 7	A. It's based on these same data, I	7	Columbia, do hereby certify that the above
8	believe.	Q	testimony of NATHAN NOVEMSKY was recorded on
9	MS. BAKER: Are you supplementing or	9	Friday, April 15, 2016, and reduced to writing
10	substituting? You can do either.	10	under my personal direction.
11	THE WITNESS: I'm fine with	11	I further certify that I am not a
12	substituting.	12	relative or employee or attorney or counsel of
13	BY MS. WEINBERG:	13	any of the parties, or a relative or employee of
14	Q. So you are substituting an early a	14	such attorney or counsel, or financially
15	later report with an earlier report?	15	interested directly or indirectly in this
16	A. Yes, because this is a more complete	16	action.
17	reporting of the data on which the later report	17	The witness whereof I have hereunder set
18	is based.	18	my hand and affixed my seal of office from the
19	Q. I see.	19	District of Columbia on 04/21/2016.
20	A. This has much more detail and much more	20	
21	data. The later report is a derivative, I	21	
22	believe, of this data collection effort.	22	
23	(Novemsky Deposition Exhibit Number 7	23	NOTARY PUBLIC IN AND FOR THE DISTRICT OF
24 25	was marked for identification.) MS_WEINBERG: Okay, Thank you for	24	COLUMBIA
25	MS. WEINBERG: Okay. Thank you for	25	My Commission expires: 2/14/21

2015-CFPB-0029 Document 074A Filed 04/22/2016 Page 47 of 68 Novemsky

icgii	ly Advance	4/13/201
		181
1 2 3	I N D E X FRIDAY, APRIL 15, 2016	
5 5 6 7	EXAMINATION BY: PAGE Ms. Weinberg 3	3
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	EXHIBITS DESCRIPTION PART No. 1 Report 38 No. 2 Appendices 38 No. 3 Loan agreements 83 No. 4 Opportunity costs article 96 No. 5 Calculations 107 No. 6 Article 161 No. 7 Report 178	GE

[182]

A	actual 43:9,9,14	46:11 47:13 48:2	144:10 146:4	31:13 33:9 137:10
A-G-G-A-R-W-A-L	58:23 59:1 78:18	49:1 61:22 81:24	158:18 159:4,17	and/or 180:5
138:17	84:2 170:12	82:24 83:9 97:23	agreements 22:6	announcer 55:10
a.m 1:18 106:13	171:13	102:19,24 103:17	38:8 40:22 43:3	answer 18:18 19:18
abaker@venable	ad 14:23 15:1,3,4,6	105:16 112:17	46:11,25 47:14	19:24 20:3 35:18
2:21	15:20 16:5,9,21,23	113:12 116:22	48:2,9 49:1,3,6,9	36:4 47:23 50:2
ability 42:20 46:5	151:2	117:7 118:18	51:3 52:15,17	51:23 53:7,10
58:6 66:1	adaptive 137:23	138:8 141:9,14	53:13 61:19 62:25	54:18 55:24 56:21
able 8:4	add 26:4 102:6	142:7 146:22	63:8 64:12,22	58:12,14 67:15
above-entitled 1:16	147:20	153:21 154:25	81:24 82:24 83:15	73:17 74:2 75:8
absence 19:23 36:16	added 26:10,11,13	167:16 168:5	84:2 97:23 102:20	78:9 82:1 92:2,8
94:18 112:2	90:24	169:18 172:7	102:25 103:17	93:7 94:17 103:8,9
abundantly 131:18	adding 162:6	175:3,8	105:16 122:17	103:11 115:2
academia 13:3	addition 87:16	advertisement	123:4 133:9 141:6	118:23,25 121:22
29:19	91:12 130:6 131:1	35:17	167:16 168:5	131:13 134:13,14
academic 8:6 24:25	additional 25:15	advertisements	175:4,9 181:11	142:3 143:12
26:25 37:10,20	138:4,23 139:20	35:15	agrees 18:12	144:23 152:15
76:7	address 4:4	advertising 10:18	ahead 75:8 76:17	158:22 172:14
academics 31:16	addresses 73:7,10	150:21	87:18 88:19,19	answered 49:25
accept 68:12 69:9	78:16	affect 37:6 58:6 66:1	103:8 143:25	82:17
158:15	adhere 137:10,16	159:12 167:23,25	163:22	answering 4:11 5:11
accepted 12:15,23	adherence 81:13,18	affixed 180:18	allows 150:24	18:6 69:12
107:18	administrative	afoul 21:20	Allyson 2:15 3:21	answers 4:22 54:19
accepting 112:16	11:15,19	afraid 116:1 136:1	altered 140:15	123:9,13 141:21
113:12	ads 15:24 17:4	AFTERNOON	144:9,16 145:4	167:1
	advance 1:5 2:14	107:1	146.0	A 0 = =
accident 20:19	auvance 1.3 2.14	107:1	146:2	Anyway 85:5
accident 26:19 account 149:3.8	22:2,4,5,12,17	aggregate 92:24	Alusheyi 2:6 3:19	Anyway 85:5 apart 128:3
account 149:3,8			- ·	
account 149:3,8 168:23 170:6	22:2,4,5,12,17	aggregate 92:24	Alusheyi 2:6 3:19	apart 128:3
account 149:3,8 168:23 170:6 173:20	22:2,4,5,12,17 23:4 38:5,9 44:14	aggregate 92:24 ago 111:3 151:3	Alusheyi 2:6 3:19 ambitiously 156:20	apart 128:3 appear 44:22 50:8
account 149:3,8 168:23 170:6	22:2,4,5,12,17 23:4 38:5,9 44:14 45:18,20 49:17	aggregate 92:24 ago 111:3 151:3 agree 97:24 98:2	Alusheyi 2:6 3:19 ambitiously 156:20 America 175:21	apart 128:3 appear 44:22 50:8 50:14,24 51:12 62:6 70:5 96:20,24 appearance 23:9
account 149:3,8 168:23 170:6 173:20 accountholder 173:19	22:2,4,5,12,17 23:4 38:5,9 44:14 45:18,20 49:17 50:10 53:1,2,12,23	aggregate 92:24 ago 111:3 151:3 agree 97:24 98:2 102:17 103:4,15	Alusheyi 2:6 3:19 ambitiously 156:20 America 175:21 amount 7:1 85:12	apart 128:3 appear 44:22 50:8 50:14,24 51:12 62:6 70:5 96:20,24
account 149:3,8 168:23 170:6 173:20 accountholder	22:2,4,5,12,17 23:4 38:5,9 44:14 45:18,20 49:17 50:10 53:1,2,12,23 54:4,7,9 55:8 56:25 57:7 58:8,17 59:12,21 65:11,18	aggregate 92:24 ago 111:3 151:3 agree 97:24 98:2 102:17 103:4,15 162:6,7 agreement 18:10 19:7,21 21:18 38:1	Alusheyi 2:6 3:19 ambitiously 156:20 America 175:21 amount 7:1 85:12 90:13 93:13,15 131:8 132:1,7,8,13 136:5 169:7,18,19	apart 128:3 appear 44:22 50:8 50:14,24 51:12 62:6 70:5 96:20,24 appearance 23:9
account 149:3,8 168:23 170:6 173:20 accountholder 173:19 accountholder's	22:2,4,5,12,17 23:4 38:5,9 44:14 45:18,20 49:17 50:10 53:1,2,12,23 54:4,7,9 55:8 56:25 57:7 58:8,17	aggregate 92:24 ago 111:3 151:3 agree 97:24 98:2 102:17 103:4,15 162:6,7 agreement 18:10 19:7,21 21:18 38:1 40:14 41:3,10,24	Alusheyi 2:6 3:19 ambitiously 156:20 America 175:21 amount 7:1 85:12 90:13 93:13,15 131:8 132:1,7,8,13 136:5 169:7,18,19 analysis 14:10 31:1	apart 128:3 appear 44:22 50:8 50:14,24 51:12 62:6 70:5 96:20,24 appearance 23:9 APPEARANCES 2:1 appeared 23:3,5,14
account 149:3,8 168:23 170:6 173:20 accountholder 173:19 accountholder's 173:20	22:2,4,5,12,17 23:4 38:5,9 44:14 45:18,20 49:17 50:10 53:1,2,12,23 54:4,7,9 55:8 56:25 57:7 58:8,17 59:12,21 65:11,18	aggregate 92:24 ago 111:3 151:3 agree 97:24 98:2 102:17 103:4,15 162:6,7 agreement 18:10 19:7,21 21:18 38:1 40:14 41:3,10,24 42:9 45:22 46:1,3	Alusheyi 2:6 3:19 ambitiously 156:20 America 175:21 amount 7:1 85:12 90:13 93:13,15 131:8 132:1,7,8,13 136:5 169:7,18,19	apart 128:3 appear 44:22 50:8 50:14,24 51:12 62:6 70:5 96:20,24 appearance 23:9 APPEARANCES 2:1
account 149:3,8 168:23 170:6 173:20 accountholder 173:19 accountholder's 173:20 accumulation 68:18 accurate 39:2 40:16	22:2,4,5,12,17 23:4 38:5,9 44:14 45:18,20 49:17 50:10 53:1,2,12,23 54:4,7,9 55:8 56:25 57:7 58:8,17 59:12,21 65:11,18 66:2 67:1,11,18	aggregate 92:24 ago 111:3 151:3 agree 97:24 98:2 102:17 103:4,15 162:6,7 agreement 18:10 19:7,21 21:18 38:1 40:14 41:3,10,24 42:9 45:22 46:1,3 46:4,14 49:10,13	Alusheyi 2:6 3:19 ambitiously 156:20 America 175:21 amount 7:1 85:12 90:13 93:13,15 131:8 132:1,7,8,13 136:5 169:7,18,19 analysis 14:10 31:1 34:8,17,17 38:14 109:21 110:6,12	apart 128:3 appear 44:22 50:8 50:14,24 51:12 62:6 70:5 96:20,24 appearance 23:9 APPEARANCES 2:1 appeared 23:3,5,14 23:15 46:4,11,25 47:2,7,10,12,14
account 149:3,8 168:23 170:6 173:20 accountholder 173:19 accountholder's 173:20 accumulation 68:18 accurate 39:2 40:16 117:4,15 132:25	22:2,4,5,12,17 23:4 38:5,9 44:14 45:18,20 49:17 50:10 53:1,2,12,23 54:4,7,9 55:8 56:25 57:7 58:8,17 59:12,21 65:11,18 66:2 67:1,11,18 94:13 109:11,14	aggregate 92:24 ago 111:3 151:3 agree 97:24 98:2 102:17 103:4,15 162:6,7 agreement 18:10 19:7,21 21:18 38:1 40:14 41:3,10,24 42:9 45:22 46:1,3 46:4,14 49:10,13 55:1 57:17,22 60:6	Alusheyi 2:6 3:19 ambitiously 156:20 America 175:21 amount 7:1 85:12 90:13 93:13,15 131:8 132:1,7,8,13 136:5 169:7,18,19 analysis 14:10 31:1 34:8,17,17 38:14 109:21 110:6,12 110:13 111:2	apart 128:3 appear 44:22 50:8 50:14,24 51:12 62:6 70:5 96:20,24 appearance 23:9 APPEARANCES 2:1 appeared 23:3,5,14 23:15 46:4,11,25
account 149:3,8 168:23 170:6 173:20 accountholder 173:19 accountholder's 173:20 accumulation 68:18 accurate 39:2 40:16 117:4,15 132:25 148:19,20 151:12	22:2,4,5,12,17 23:4 38:5,9 44:14 45:18,20 49:17 50:10 53:1,2,12,23 54:4,7,9 55:8 56:25 57:7 58:8,17 59:12,21 65:11,18 66:2 67:1,11,18 94:13 109:11,14 120:9 132:18	aggregate 92:24 ago 111:3 151:3 agree 97:24 98:2 102:17 103:4,15 162:6,7 agreement 18:10 19:7,21 21:18 38:1 40:14 41:3,10,24 42:9 45:22 46:1,3 46:4,14 49:10,13 55:1 57:17,22 60:6 60:8,9,10,23 62:12	Alusheyi 2:6 3:19 ambitiously 156:20 America 175:21 amount 7:1 85:12 90:13 93:13,15 131:8 132:1,7,8,13 136:5 169:7,18,19 analysis 14:10 31:1 34:8,17,17 38:14 109:21 110:6,12	apart 128:3 appear 44:22 50:8 50:14,24 51:12 62:6 70:5 96:20,24 appearance 23:9 APPEARANCES 2:1 appeared 23:3,5,14 23:15 46:4,11,25 47:2,7,10,12,14
account 149:3,8 168:23 170:6 173:20 accountholder 173:19 accountholder's 173:20 accumulation 68:18 accurate 39:2 40:16 117:4,15 132:25	22:2,4,5,12,17 23:4 38:5,9 44:14 45:18,20 49:17 50:10 53:1,2,12,23 54:4,7,9 55:8 56:25 57:7 58:8,17 59:12,21 65:11,18 66:2 67:1,11,18 94:13 109:11,14 120:9 132:18 133:15,17 135:14 136:24 141:6 148:12,15 152:6	aggregate 92:24 ago 111:3 151:3 agree 97:24 98:2 102:17 103:4,15 162:6,7 agreement 18:10 19:7,21 21:18 38:1 40:14 41:3,10,24 42:9 45:22 46:1,3 46:4,14 49:10,13 55:1 57:17,22 60:6 60:8,9,10,23 62:12 62:17 63:2,5,16	Alusheyi 2:6 3:19 ambitiously 156:20 America 175:21 amount 7:1 85:12 90:13 93:13,15 131:8 132:1,7,8,13 136:5 169:7,18,19 analysis 14:10 31:1 34:8,17,17 38:14 109:21 110:6,12 110:13 111:2 119:2,4 127:2 175:21	apart 128:3 appear 44:22 50:8 50:14,24 51:12 62:6 70:5 96:20,24 appearance 23:9 APPEARANCES 2:1 appeared 23:3,5,14 23:15 46:4,11,25 47:2,7,10,12,14 48:3,12 49:2,16,19 49:19,23 50:3,10 53:24 60:5,14
account 149:3,8 168:23 170:6 173:20 accountholder 173:19 accountholder's 173:20 accumulation 68:18 accurate 39:2 40:16 117:4,15 132:25 148:19,20 151:12 156:23 168:6,7,11 170:24	22:2,4,5,12,17 23:4 38:5,9 44:14 45:18,20 49:17 50:10 53:1,2,12,23 54:4,7,9 55:8 56:25 57:7 58:8,17 59:12,21 65:11,18 66:2 67:1,11,18 94:13 109:11,14 120:9 132:18 133:15,17 135:14 136:24 141:6 148:12,15 152:6 152:21,24 153:24	aggregate 92:24 ago 111:3 151:3 agree 97:24 98:2 102:17 103:4,15 162:6,7 agreement 18:10 19:7,21 21:18 38:1 40:14 41:3,10,24 42:9 45:22 46:1,3 46:4,14 49:10,13 55:1 57:17,22 60:6 60:8,9,10,23 62:12 62:17 63:2,5,16 64:7 66:3,8,9,12	Alusheyi 2:6 3:19 ambitiously 156:20 America 175:21 amount 7:1 85:12 90:13 93:13,15 131:8 132:1,7,8,13 136:5 169:7,18,19 analysis 14:10 31:1 34:8,17,17 38:14 109:21 110:6,12 110:13 111:2 119:2,4 127:2 175:21 analyze 33:12 34:13	apart 128:3 appear 44:22 50:8 50:14,24 51:12 62:6 70:5 96:20,24 appearance 23:9 APPEARANCES 2:1 appeared 23:3,5,14 23:15 46:4,11,25 47:2,7,10,12,14 48:3,12 49:2,16,19 49:19,23 50:3,10 53:24 60:5,14 61:22 63:8,15 84:9
account 149:3,8 168:23 170:6 173:20 accountholder 173:19 accountholder's 173:20 accumulation 68:18 accurate 39:2 40:16 117:4,15 132:25 148:19,20 151:12 156:23 168:6,7,11	22:2,4,5,12,17 23:4 38:5,9 44:14 45:18,20 49:17 50:10 53:1,2,12,23 54:4,7,9 55:8 56:25 57:7 58:8,17 59:12,21 65:11,18 66:2 67:1,11,18 94:13 109:11,14 120:9 132:18 133:15,17 135:14 136:24 141:6 148:12,15 152:6 152:21,24 153:24 154:3,10,21 155:2	aggregate 92:24 ago 111:3 151:3 agree 97:24 98:2 102:17 103:4,15 162:6,7 agreement 18:10 19:7,21 21:18 38:1 40:14 41:3,10,24 42:9 45:22 46:1,3 46:4,14 49:10,13 55:1 57:17,22 60:6 60:8,9,10,23 62:12 62:17 63:2,5,16 64:7 66:3,8,9,12 66:14,20 68:2	Alusheyi 2:6 3:19 ambitiously 156:20 America 175:21 amount 7:1 85:12 90:13 93:13,15 131:8 132:1,7,8,13 136:5 169:7,18,19 analysis 14:10 31:1 34:8,17,17 38:14 109:21 110:6,12 110:13 111:2 119:2,4 127:2 175:21 analyze 33:12 34:13 34:20,23 36:14	apart 128:3 appear 44:22 50:8 50:14,24 51:12 62:6 70:5 96:20,24 appearance 23:9 APPEARANCES 2:1 appeared 23:3,5,14 23:15 46:4,11,25 47:2,7,10,12,14 48:3,12 49:2,16,19 49:19,23 50:3,10 53:24 60:5,14 61:22 63:8,15 84:9 91:11 126:24
account 149:3,8 168:23 170:6 173:20 accountholder 173:19 accountholder's 173:20 accumulation 68:18 accurate 39:2 40:16 117:4,15 132:25 148:19,20 151:12 156:23 168:6,7,11 170:24 accurately 68:9	22:2,4,5,12,17 23:4 38:5,9 44:14 45:18,20 49:17 50:10 53:1,2,12,23 54:4,7,9 55:8 56:25 57:7 58:8,17 59:12,21 65:11,18 66:2 67:1,11,18 94:13 109:11,14 120:9 132:18 133:15,17 135:14 136:24 141:6 148:12,15 152:6 152:21,24 153:24 154:3,10,21 155:2 155:18 156:5	aggregate 92:24 ago 111:3 151:3 agree 97:24 98:2 102:17 103:4,15 162:6,7 agreement 18:10 19:7,21 21:18 38:1 40:14 41:3,10,24 42:9 45:22 46:1,3 46:4,14 49:10,13 55:1 57:17,22 60:6 60:8,9,10,23 62:12 62:17 63:2,5,16 64:7 66:3,8,9,12 66:14,20 68:2 83:10 85:7 98:5,11	Alusheyi 2:6 3:19 ambitiously 156:20 America 175:21 amount 7:1 85:12 90:13 93:13,15 131:8 132:1,7,8,13 136:5 169:7,18,19 analysis 14:10 31:1 34:8,17,17 38:14 109:21 110:6,12 110:13 111:2 119:2,4 127:2 175:21 analyze 33:12 34:13 34:20,23 36:14 40:7 71:21 118:14	apart 128:3 appear 44:22 50:8 50:14,24 51:12 62:6 70:5 96:20,24 appearance 23:9 APPEARANCES 2:1 appeared 23:3,5,14 23:15 46:4,11,25 47:2,7,10,12,14 48:3,12 49:2,16,19 49:19,23 50:3,10 53:24 60:5,14 61:22 63:8,15 84:9 91:11 126:24 appearing 23:7
account 149:3,8 168:23 170:6 173:20 accountholder 173:19 accountholder's 173:20 accumulation 68:18 accurate 39:2 40:16 117:4,15 132:25 148:19,20 151:12 156:23 168:6,7,11 170:24 accurately 68:9 ACH 174:8	22:2,4,5,12,17 23:4 38:5,9 44:14 45:18,20 49:17 50:10 53:1,2,12,23 54:4,7,9 55:8 56:25 57:7 58:8,17 59:12,21 65:11,18 66:2 67:1,11,18 94:13 109:11,14 120:9 132:18 133:15,17 135:14 136:24 141:6 148:12,15 152:6 152:21,24 153:24 154:3,10,21 155:2 155:18 156:5 162:5 164:17	aggregate 92:24 ago 111:3 151:3 agree 97:24 98:2 102:17 103:4,15 162:6,7 agreement 18:10 19:7,21 21:18 38:1 40:14 41:3,10,24 42:9 45:22 46:1,3 46:4,14 49:10,13 55:1 57:17,22 60:6 60:8,9,10,23 62:12 62:17 63:2,5,16 64:7 66:3,8,9,12 66:14,20 68:2 83:10 85:7 98:5,11 98:13,21,25 99:14	Alusheyi 2:6 3:19 ambitiously 156:20 America 175:21 amount 7:1 85:12 90:13 93:13,15 131:8 132:1,7,8,13 136:5 169:7,18,19 analysis 14:10 31:1 34:8,17,17 38:14 109:21 110:6,12 110:13 111:2 119:2,4 127:2 175:21 analyze 33:12 34:13 34:20,23 36:14 40:7 71:21 118:14 118:19	apart 128:3 appear 44:22 50:8 50:14,24 51:12 62:6 70:5 96:20,24 appearance 23:9 APPEARANCES 2:1 appeared 23:3,5,14 23:15 46:4,11,25 47:2,7,10,12,14 48:3,12 49:2,16,19 49:19,23 50:3,10 53:24 60:5,14 61:22 63:8,15 84:9 91:11 126:24 appearing 23:7 59:11
account 149:3,8 168:23 170:6 173:20 accountholder 173:19 accountholder's 173:20 accumulation 68:18 accurate 39:2 40:16 117:4,15 132:25 148:19,20 151:12 156:23 168:6,7,11 170:24 accurately 68:9 ACH 174:8 acquisition 32:15	22:2,4,5,12,17 23:4 38:5,9 44:14 45:18,20 49:17 50:10 53:1,2,12,23 54:4,7,9 55:8 56:25 57:7 58:8,17 59:12,21 65:11,18 66:2 67:1,11,18 94:13 109:11,14 120:9 132:18 133:15,17 135:14 136:24 141:6 148:12,15 152:6 152:21,24 153:24 154:3,10,21 155:2 155:18 156:5 162:5 164:17 174:22 175:20	aggregate 92:24 ago 111:3 151:3 agree 97:24 98:2 102:17 103:4,15 162:6,7 agreement 18:10 19:7,21 21:18 38:1 40:14 41:3,10,24 42:9 45:22 46:1,3 46:4,14 49:10,13 55:1 57:17,22 60:6 60:8,9,10,23 62:12 62:17 63:2,5,16 64:7 66:3,8,9,12 66:14,20 68:2 83:10 85:7 98:5,11 98:13,21,25 99:14 105:25 122:12	Alusheyi 2:6 3:19 ambitiously 156:20 America 175:21 amount 7:1 85:12 90:13 93:13,15 131:8 132:1,7,8,13 136:5 169:7,18,19 analysis 14:10 31:1 34:8,17,17 38:14 109:21 110:6,12 110:13 111:2 119:2,4 127:2 175:21 analyze 33:12 34:13 34:20,23 36:14 40:7 71:21 118:14 118:19 analyzed 13:10	apart 128:3 appear 44:22 50:8 50:14,24 51:12 62:6 70:5 96:20,24 appearance 23:9 APPEARANCES 2:1 appeared 23:3,5,14 23:15 46:4,11,25 47:2,7,10,12,14 48:3,12 49:2,16,19 49:19,23 50:3,10 53:24 60:5,14 61:22 63:8,15 84:9 91:11 126:24 appearing 23:7 59:11 appears 23:21 32:9
account 149:3,8 168:23 170:6 173:20 accountholder 173:19 accountholder's 173:20 accumulation 68:18 accurate 39:2 40:16 117:4,15 132:25 148:19,20 151:12 156:23 168:6,7,11 170:24 accurately 68:9 ACH 174:8 acquisition 32:15 action 171:20	22:2,4,5,12,17 23:4 38:5,9 44:14 45:18,20 49:17 50:10 53:1,2,12,23 54:4,7,9 55:8 56:25 57:7 58:8,17 59:12,21 65:11,18 66:2 67:1,11,18 94:13 109:11,14 120:9 132:18 133:15,17 135:14 136:24 141:6 148:12,15 152:6 152:21,24 153:24 154:3,10,21 155:2 155:18 156:5 162:5 164:17 174:22 175:20 176:15	aggregate 92:24 ago 111:3 151:3 agree 97:24 98:2 102:17 103:4,15 162:6,7 agreement 18:10 19:7,21 21:18 38:1 40:14 41:3,10,24 42:9 45:22 46:1,3 46:4,14 49:10,13 55:1 57:17,22 60:6 60:8,9,10,23 62:12 62:17 63:2,5,16 64:7 66:3,8,9,12 66:14,20 68:2 83:10 85:7 98:5,11 98:13,21,25 99:14 105:25 122:12 131:9,17,20	Alusheyi 2:6 3:19 ambitiously 156:20 America 175:21 amount 7:1 85:12 90:13 93:13,15 131:8 132:1,7,8,13 136:5 169:7,18,19 analysis 14:10 31:1 34:8,17,17 38:14 109:21 110:6,12 110:13 111:2 119:2,4 127:2 175:21 analyze 33:12 34:13 34:20,23 36:14 40:7 71:21 118:14 118:19 analyzed 13:10 131:21	apart 128:3 appear 44:22 50:8 50:14,24 51:12 62:6 70:5 96:20,24 appearance 23:9 APPEARANCES 2:1 appeared 23:3,5,14 23:15 46:4,11,25 47:2,7,10,12,14 48:3,12 49:2,16,19 49:19,23 50:3,10 53:24 60:5,14 61:22 63:8,15 84:9 91:11 126:24 appearing 23:7 59:11 appears 23:21 32:9 50:18 76:24 84:4
account 149:3,8 168:23 170:6 173:20 accountholder 173:19 accountholder's 173:20 accumulation 68:18 accurate 39:2 40:16 117:4,15 132:25 148:19,20 151:12 156:23 168:6,7,11 170:24 accurately 68:9 ACH 174:8 acquisition 32:15 action 171:20 172:25 173:7,11	22:2,4,5,12,17 23:4 38:5,9 44:14 45:18,20 49:17 50:10 53:1,2,12,23 54:4,7,9 55:8 56:25 57:7 58:8,17 59:12,21 65:11,18 66:2 67:1,11,18 94:13 109:11,14 120:9 132:18 133:15,17 135:14 136:24 141:6 148:12,15 152:6 152:21,24 153:24 154:3,10,21 155:2 155:18 156:5 162:5 164:17 174:22 175:20 176:15 Advance's 39:25	aggregate 92:24 ago 111:3 151:3 agree 97:24 98:2 102:17 103:4,15 162:6,7 agreement 18:10 19:7,21 21:18 38:1 40:14 41:3,10,24 42:9 45:22 46:1,3 46:4,14 49:10,13 55:1 57:17,22 60:6 60:8,9,10,23 62:12 62:17 63:2,5,16 64:7 66:3,8,9,12 66:14,20 68:2 83:10 85:7 98:5,11 98:13,21,25 99:14 105:25 122:12 131:9,17,20 132:23 134:3,9	Alusheyi 2:6 3:19 ambitiously 156:20 America 175:21 amount 7:1 85:12 90:13 93:13,15 131:8 132:1,7,8,13 136:5 169:7,18,19 analysis 14:10 31:1 34:8,17,17 38:14 109:21 110:6,12 110:13 111:2 119:2,4 127:2 175:21 analyze 33:12 34:13 34:20,23 36:14 40:7 71:21 118:14 118:19 analyzed 13:10 131:21 analyzes 136:19	apart 128:3 appear 44:22 50:8 50:14,24 51:12 62:6 70:5 96:20,24 appearance 23:9 APPEARANCES 2:1 appeared 23:3,5,14 23:15 46:4,11,25 47:2,7,10,12,14 48:3,12 49:2,16,19 49:19,23 50:3,10 53:24 60:5,14 61:22 63:8,15 84:9 91:11 126:24 appearing 23:7 59:11 appears 23:21 32:9 50:18 76:24 84:4 85:8 127:12 162:1
account 149:3,8 168:23 170:6 173:20 accountholder 173:19 accountholder's 173:20 accumulation 68:18 accurate 39:2 40:16 117:4,15 132:25 148:19,20 151:12 156:23 168:6,7,11 170:24 accurately 68:9 ACH 174:8 acquisition 32:15 action 171:20 172:25 173:7,11 180:16	22:2,4,5,12,17 23:4 38:5,9 44:14 45:18,20 49:17 50:10 53:1,2,12,23 54:4,7,9 55:8 56:25 57:7 58:8,17 59:12,21 65:11,18 66:2 67:1,11,18 94:13 109:11,14 120:9 132:18 133:15,17 135:14 136:24 141:6 148:12,15 152:6 152:21,24 153:24 154:3,10,21 155:2 155:18 156:5 162:5 164:17 174:22 175:20 176:15	aggregate 92:24 ago 111:3 151:3 agree 97:24 98:2 102:17 103:4,15 162:6,7 agreement 18:10 19:7,21 21:18 38:1 40:14 41:3,10,24 42:9 45:22 46:1,3 46:4,14 49:10,13 55:1 57:17,22 60:6 60:8,9,10,23 62:12 62:17 63:2,5,16 64:7 66:3,8,9,12 66:14,20 68:2 83:10 85:7 98:5,11 98:13,21,25 99:14 105:25 122:12 131:9,17,20	Alusheyi 2:6 3:19 ambitiously 156:20 America 175:21 amount 7:1 85:12 90:13 93:13,15 131:8 132:1,7,8,13 136:5 169:7,18,19 analysis 14:10 31:1 34:8,17,17 38:14 109:21 110:6,12 110:13 111:2 119:2,4 127:2 175:21 analyze 33:12 34:13 34:20,23 36:14 40:7 71:21 118:14 118:19 analyzed 13:10 131:21	apart 128:3 appear 44:22 50:8 50:14,24 51:12 62:6 70:5 96:20,24 appearance 23:9 APPEARANCES 2:1 appeared 23:3,5,14 23:15 46:4,11,25 47:2,7,10,12,14 48:3,12 49:2,16,19 49:19,23 50:3,10 53:24 60:5,14 61:22 63:8,15 84:9 91:11 126:24 appearing 23:7 59:11 appears 23:21 32:9 50:18 76:24 84:4

[183]

-				
appended 83:16	68:13,15,19,23	attachment 126:23	78:23 79:11,14	85:21 86:1,6 87:1
appendices 39:1,3	69:10,17,19,20,22	127:14,21	80:6 107:10,14,23	87:5,14 88:1 91:13
84:1,1 181:10	70:5 72:7 161:15	attachments 127:7	128:9,12 134:15	92:1 93:22 95:13
appendix 6:11 7:19	ascertain 42:8 66:10	128:11	135:13 136:18	95:20 96:15 98:14
8:1 22:7 38:19	66:18,21	attempt 58:23 60:11	137:18,21,22,25	100:3 101:25
65:6,8 116:25	aside 33:3 75:18	67:10	149:3,10,23 150:6	103:7 104:2
117:1,1 119:11	82:11	attempted 15:17	150:9,11,13	105:10 106:4
123:5 124:6,9,13	asked 25:24 26:8	attempting 47:18	awareness 76:20	107:19 110:18
124:21 126:3,10	48:21 49:24 69:9	59:20		113:8 115:1
126:13,22 128:16	82:16 87:15 105:2	attention 25:12	B	121:19 122:14,19
133:23 164:8	123:8	80:17 81:3,7,11	B 2:15 102:10 117:1	122:25 123:7,12
173:25	asking 4:10 6:24	97:18	117:8 119:11	124:6 125:7
application 128:3	85:21 86:1 87:22	Attitudes 138:18	121:17 122:18	127:10,15 128:19
134:24	103:13 104:4	attorney 180:12,14	123:5 126:22	129:16 131:10
applied 76:6 154:5	117:11 127:15,16	attractive 95:10	128:16 131:22	134:10 141:16,20
156:7	128:20,22 144:20	152:23 154:12	164:8	141:23 144:13,18
applies 79:25	144:21 147:4	155:2	back 45:5 52:24	146:14 149:17
125:19	149:20	author 10:8,13	53:9 67:23 80:21	158:19 159:25
apply 109:10 112:3	aspect 19:11,13	authoring 10:6	83:22 92:18 95:12	164:2,9,14 167:20
140:8	48:14 140:14	authorization 174:8	97:22 107:3 108:5	169:10,14 172:2
applying 141:5	142:20 144:8	authors 26:20	110:9 116:2	174:14 176:4
approaching 116:15	aspects 20:18 38:1	100:22	121:21 122:20	178:9 179:3
approval 120:3	45:24 46:15 48:17	auto 85:17,17 88:9	123:7 136:12	bank 19:8 173:21
122:8 176:17	48:23 53:11,16	88:14 89:1,6,7	141:20 142:1,4	banking 21:24
approved 122:4	61:11 63:4	90:3,5,11,15,15,21	144:13,15 146:19	based 15:7,8,11,15
approximately	assemble 63:16	90:24 91:5,5,8,9	153:14 155:25	18:6 20:17,19 21:2
90:11	assessment 171:6	93:16,17,17 94:6,7	163:21 164:7	26:24 27:18 32:2
APR 93:12,15 154:4	assigned 167:6	94:12,12 95:2 98:6	174:14 175:15	33:4 46:21 51:5
156:6	assume 5:5 6:25	98:6,19,20 99:14	bad 4:16 101:19	63:9,11 68:3,15
April 1:8 180:9	50:3 62:19 94:21	102:23 105:18,19	Baker 2:15 3:21,21	70:6 81:5 82:12
181:2	122:22 131:16,22	105:21 129:6,7	8:25 11:5 13:20	83:9 85:22 94:24
area 27:20,24,25	146:8,10 171:24	165:17,18 169:1	14:2 17:23 18:15	97:8,14 130:16
29:4,6 44:11	assumes 32:4 33:22	170:4	19:19 20:12 21:14	178:7,18
114:19	50:20 51:8 64:16	available 15:12	23:24 25:11,20,22	basic 4:9
areas 19:2 21:25	69:4 76:15 121:19	105:22 137:2,4,6	26:5 27:8 30:17	basing 66:22
27:22 29:13 37:12	144:19 155:4	Avenue 4:5	32:4 33:22 34:10	basis 24:24 25:4
article 12:11,19	assuming 7:12 18:9	average 169:7	36:4 39:15 44:24	47:16 48:8 50:5,9
25:16 26:20,22,22	148:22,25 159:20	aversion 170:18	46:7 47:20 49:24	51:11 60:16,24
27:2,3 68:17 69:24	assumption 50:6	avoidability 77:7	50:20 51:8,22	61:2 62:14,22 82:4
96:2,6,12,17,22,24	63:9,11 121:25	78:22,24 79:2,5	52:18 53:4 54:14	82:6 104:17,21
99:4,7,19 100:20	123:19 124:17	avoiding 116:14	56:15,19 58:10	113:17 119:16,24
103:2,3 138:14,21	125:10,13 133:7	117:14,18	59:25 60:19 64:15	123:19 124:16
139:1,3,18,22	143:7	aware 8:2 22:16	67:5,13 68:25 69:3	133:19 138:11
140:7,9 161:11,25	assumptions 123:25	23:8 24:1,6 43:11	69:14 70:11 73:15	140:21 141:7,12
163:18 181:12,14	124:11 125:2,5	73:6 74:20,23 75:1	75:6 76:15 78:7	142:5 144:16
articles 12:15,19,22	126:11,14 133:3	75:4 76:19,22	80:21 82:16,25	151:25 153:13,25
13:8 24:25 27:2	133:12 147:4	77:15,19 78:5,15	84:4,10,14,24 85:2	155:22 160:17
	l	l	l	l

[184]

168:18	board 177:16	Bureau 1:1,11 2:4,8	176:22,23	changes 23:20 27:10
bear 20:1 74:15 76:9	bodies 72:16	3:18	cared 155:20	116:17
119:11	body 72:17,18 108:8	business 4:4	case 9:16 24:22,24	changing 26:1
bearing 179:2	108:22 109:1	busy 86:23	36:1 53:21 63:14	characteristics
beat 113:3	126:24 127:6,13	buy 101:12,12,13,23	64:20 119:21	55:12,16 62:20
beginning 61:12	128:12	101:24 102:5	127:16 128:1,4	characterization
62:3 77:20 78:2	boldly 75:22	166:24 167:5	130:9 131:22	87:20 100:13
161:13	borrow 157:9 160:8	buying 101:10,11,21	132:8 149:18	characterize 29:4
begins 152:4	borrowing 107:12		151:6,19 153:17	29:21
BEHALF 2:3,14	157:11,14,18,22	C	173:24	characterizing
behavior 43:20	158:2 177:3	C 3:1 117:1,9	cases 11:4,6,12 15:2	100:11
44:14 52:7 68:8	bottom 55:14 102:2	119:11 121:17	43:12 101:22	charge 85:13 88:24
70:23 71:22 72:1	165:24	122:18 123:5	136:13,14	89:8,12,17,19,21
72:13 75:16,23	box 49:19,22 50:4	124:2,6,9,13	cash 102:8	90:8,9 93:12,15
80:4 82:5 91:4,11	61:12,13 62:2,3	126:10,13,22	casual 51:13,15	94:22 95:6 130:5,6
93:21 94:11 99:18	93:10,11 94:14,17	calculate 83:8 85:20	categories 17:8	130:8,12,23 131:3
104:7 105:5,8,24	94:19,23 95:4	85:22 86:24 88:6	category 177:11	131:25 162:9
137:24 138:19	102:2 131:9,23	89:17 99:23	caution 9:1 11:7	165:5 169:9
140:9	132:13 136:5	130:16 149:7	21:14	charged 162:5
behavioral 29:16	169:9,21	calculated 93:18	caveat 5:24	charges 90:7 99:15
42:25	boxes 50:7,13,18,23	98:18	caveats 38:11	163:23 164:5,12
believe 6:20 25:13	51:2,12,17 62:10	calculation 87:4	cell 16:4,5	165:13,15
34:6 49:5 54:20	brand 138:17 139:2	89:16,22 90:2,19	Center 114:21	chart 165:20,24,25
64:1 65:24 67:19	139:4	95:2 98:10 105:19	cents 150:18	cheaper 100:25
84:14 87:25	breach 11:10	129:7 130:20	certain 6:25 14:4,4	101:17,20,24
104:24 114:2	bread 55:11,15	169:24 170:3,12	30:15 48:13 61:13	102:11,12 103:19
132:2 142:10	break 5:15,20 52:19	170:16	62:3,4 105:14	103:24
158:6 175:22	89:15 95:14 106:5	calculations 87:16	111:8 115:6,8	check 173:19 174:9
177:15 178:8,22	106:7 146:15,20	89:4 94:21,24	159:16	checks 173:14,15,23
benefit 150:21,24	175:13	107:5 181:13	certainly 9:8 19:6	174:2 175:4,9
benefits 108:10,10	briefly 39:21	calculator 87:1,5,7	30:3 31:6 35:10	child 151:2
109:16,16 110:16	bring 25:12 99:13	87:9,17	38:2 48:22 49:11	choice 30:9 75:18
110:16,25,25	99:17	call 20:11 53:18,19	60:10 120:3 139:7	153:19,22 163:4
138:5,23 139:20	bringing 69:18,23	57:13 65:22	159:19	167:8
best 4:20,25 43:6	broad 17:8 27:24,25	132:17,18,23	certification 16:14	choose 58:9 119:13
44:10 45:23 46:15	28:9,20 79:17	133:4,13,16,18	17:5	142:24 148:14
47:8 53:20 55:10	97:16,17	134:7 135:11	certify 180:7,11	149:15 150:5
74:2	broader 117:21	136:19	cetera 43:8 75:12	155:13 156:17
better 26:23 58:21	171:15	called 3:5 150:16	76:4	177:2,4,6
59:2 154:17	broadly 21:22	166:17 175:20	CFPB042566 85:8	chooses 152:6 154:9
beyond 45:8 50:15	brought 27:9	calls 53:13 54:21,23	CFPB042569	154:20
57:23 58:3 136:1	browse 52:5	55:3 57:25 58:2	164:13	choosing 104:8,11
bias 134:16,24 135:5	browsed 52:4	136:23 137:20	challenge 81:9	104:14 105:5
big 10:18 26:25	build 53:15 58:22,25	campaign 151:3	chance 96:15	108:18 119:22
136:15	65:2	card 19:7,10	change 154:2,7	143:8,17 152:23
bigger 62:4	bunch 115:19	cards 19:5 21:23	156:3,4 165:16	153:12 155:2
bit 23:20 25:1 101:8	135:25	care 155:11,18	changed 95:5	156:12 172:1
	1	I	<u> </u>	1

[185]

				[165]
176:15 177:9	80:1 81:23 82:14	93:1 117:25	116:20 117:6,19	111:24 170:21
chose 32:14 85:11	87:21 89:16 110:3	communications	117:21 128:2	consider 19:5 35:19
150:2	119:18 120:21	53:12 59:5	134:15,23	59:6,9 70:17 72:24
Chum 2:7 3:19	122:10 123:16	community 44:1	concepts 80:2	73:2,20,23 97:3
circumstances	131:18 132:3,24	companies 19:22	conceptual 68:13,15	109:2,17 111:15
51:18 91:23 92:5	136:20 144:24	20:2,7 115:6	68:19 69:6,8,10,15	111:19 112:9,21
		137:15 162:5,9		113:6 153:11
92:11,14 146:21 citation 26:23	147:5 172:11,12 175:10	,	70:1 109:5,15,20 110:13,14	171:8
		company 20:11,11	′	
161:12 163:18	clearer 55:23 82:22	174:7,8,22	concern 139:13	consideration 32:21
cite 25:15 72:7 74:1	clearly 31:8 33:10	compare 150:25	concerned 162:16	33:16 35:2
96:2 138:14,21	91:6 119:11	151:5,5 156:16	concerning 127:10	considerations
161:9,14 163:17	120:24 164:20	compared 108:10	175:4,9	177:5,7
cited 25:3 27:3,4	170:18	109:16	concluded 149:4	considered 68:5
73:7,13 104:19	close 44:9 47:9	compares 110:25	179:8	124:22
138:20 139:19	53:15 58:25 78:17	comparison 171:12	conclusion 36:15	considering 34:14
153:6 158:5	closely 46:19 66:23	compensated 8:14	44:4 107:15 119:5	70:24 72:3 171:2
161:19,25 178:1,6	135:24	8:16	139:17 153:7	considers 77:13
citing 26:21 161:14	coffee 151:1,7,8	complaints 135:13	156:4 167:13	conspicuous 30:16
161:16 176:1	colleagues 10:7,7	135:18,19,21	conclusions 12:7,9	30:24 31:3 74:21
claim 140:8 152:16	collection 178:22	136:2,9,10	34:24 36:18 46:21	81:23 82:15
152:17	college 86:19	complete 4:19,21	67:22 74:5,7 153:3	136:20 175:5
claims 10:19 137:14	color 84:10,13	6:11 37:5 38:15	concurrent 56:11	conspicuously 31:9
clarification 5:4	Columbia 180:1,7	48:19 83:24,25	conduct 39:24 60:22	constitute 16:18,19
58:4 122:21	180:19,24	93:16 94:6 96:16	65:24	constitutes 137:17
123:13 168:18	column 96:13 97:1	152:22 154:25	conducting 40:2	construct 42:14
clarified 124:13	100:23 165:22	178:16	65:23 67:9	45:7 79:10
clarify 4:24 18:2	come 17:10 42:15	completed 38:14	confidential 9:3,6	constructing 10:22
31:24 121:14	53:9 118:6 126:2	completely 23:12	confirm 96:16 131:6	constructs 79:3
122:14 123:3	127:5,21 151:21	68:20 76:11	132:11	consultant 9:4 13:15
128:20	comes 36:23 37:10	154:18 170:17	confirmation	17:2
clarity 30:1,10,12	45:5	complex 93:8	134:16,23 135:4	consultants 11:8
30:15 44:17,21	comfortable 5:18	143:11	confirms 134:20	consulting 16:12
45:6,10,14 72:15	coming 29:1 36:12	components 97:4	confused 54:25 56:3	17:13,18 18:21
77:7 79:14,15,17	152:1 153:14	compound 34:11	57:3 77:8 132:21	27:16
79:19,24,25 81:14	179:1	47:20 54:14 91:13	133:4,24 134:8	consumer 1:1,10 2:3
81:19 83:2 91:17	commercial 21:24	93:22 98:15	135:7,7	2:8 3:17 10:18
108:16 109:18,21	55:9,20,22 115:16	110:18 131:10	confusion 98:22	12:3,4,10 13:10,15
109:24 110:7,14	139:25 140:12	134:11 152:14	confusions 132:24	14:6,13 15:6,16
111:2,4 112:9	Commission 180:25	167:20	conjecture 113:14	16:1,14 17:3,4,6
113:7,16 121:6,8,9	common 61:18	comprehension 46:2	113:18	17:14,19,25 18:8
class 16:14,18,19	communal 139:4,6	computer 23:16,18	connect 79:18	18:10,11,22,24
classes 17:5,10,11	139:12	24:4,10,11	Connecticut 4:6	19:8 21:23 27:17
clause 144:6	communicate	concentration 42:19	connection 73:9	27:19,23,24 28:1
clean 123:10	130:10	42:21	74:13,16 172:17	28:10 29:7,8,9,12
clear 4:12,25 30:16	communicating	concept 69:25 76:22	consequence 170:10	29:13,15 30:9 31:4
30:24 31:2 36:13	35:8,11	76:23,24 77:17	170:11	32:1,10,12,22
66:5 74:20 76:11	communication 5:2	78:6 79:1,20	consequences	33:20 34:14,20,24
		ĺ	1 ^	ĺ

[186]

35:2,9,17 36:18	85:14 91:19 92:17	contents 177:18	128:18 129:4	100:21 103:2
40:14 43:9,20,24	93:2 94:4 95:7	contents 177.18 context 9:4 12:2,4	copies 22:3 25:16	105:17 108:9
44:13 45:12,15	97:3 107:12,13	15:16 17:17 18:20	26:12 27:11	128:17,18 129:4
46:12 48:21 52:7,7	108:2,18,22 109:2	27:16 33:18 40:20	copy 23:14 26:7	129:10,14,24
53:3,22 54:10	109:6,10 111:14	41:2,11,21 42:6,8	27:8 39:2 83:18	130:10,16 134:2
55:18,21 58:22,23	111:24 112:15,21	44:13,16,20,21	84:12,22 96:16	135:9,16 136:4
59:22 68:8 70:22	113:10,15 114:12	45:3,13,14,24 54:3	175:19	144:10 147:8,18
71:21 72:11,12	114:14,17 119:21	54:5,6 55:8 67:20	corporate 19:1	147:19 148:4,5
75:16,23,24 78:25	120:15,19 121:11	97:22 101:7,8	114:21	149:7 150:18,20
80:4,11 82:5 83:8	123:25 124:12	111:6 140:5	correct 8:19,20	150:23 151:1,7
85:10,12,17 86:25	125:3,5,11,16,22	157:17 163:14	10:15 22:22 23:23	155:12,18,21
88:7 90:14 91:4,7	126:12,17 127:4	170:19 172:7	27:5 32:24 33:25	157:8,10,11,13,17
91:10 93:19,21	127:11,20 129:9	174:17	38:10 44:15 47:19	157:22 158:2,14
94:11 104:7 105:5	129:14,24 130:7	context-dependent	48:10 50:19 51:7	158:17 159:20
105:8,24 108:8	130:15 131:5	40:15 41:10	57:1,4 59:16 64:14	160:4 163:20
109:25 110:2	132:17,19 135:6	continue 82:20 90:1	69:2 71:4 72:8,9	165:8,10,11,13,14
112:8 115:12	136:3,24 138:4,23	continues 71:1	76:14 82:3 88:17	170:20 172:8
131:17 132:6,9	139:19 140:18,23	Continuing 142:25	88:18 89:19 95:1	cost-related 177:5
133:4,14,24	142:24 143:4,16	contract 13:16,17	96:4,5 107:25	costly 168:10,16
134:25 138:18	143:21 144:11,17	14:5,6,8,11,23	108:12,23 109:7	170:4
140:9 148:1,6	145:7,12,17,20	16:23,25 18:8,13	109:14 114:9,10	costs 71:13 72:24
153:19 154:5,9	146:5 148:11,23	19:4,6,7,10,11,15	120:17,19,21	73:2 81:22 88:6
159:3,18 169:25	148:25 149:6,11	19:16 20:25 21:2	124:3,23 131:4	96:3 98:6 99:9,21
170:13 173:10	149:23 150:7	contracts 13:11 14:1	138:25 145:22,24	102:13 105:23
consumer's 13:17	152:2,18 153:22	17:5,20,25 18:22	153:5,8 155:6,7,8	107:11,13 108:1
16:6 34:7 41:16,18	155:5,17 156:7,12	18:24 22:3,10,11	155:11,24 156:25	108:16 111:15,19
53:11 58:7,16	156:14 157:9,14	22:16,21 23:2,3,9	158:10,12,13	111:23 112:16
59:21 91:22 110:8	157:18 159:8,22	23:14 24:17 50:8	159:13,14 161:7,8	113:11 130:2
170:6	160:7,11 162:8,13	50:14	162:12 165:19	132:20,21,22
consumers 16:16	166:4 167:18	contrast 99:7	166:1,2 167:14	133:25 135:2
17:6 19:2 20:16	169:8 170:10,18	contrasted 139:12	171:1,14,16 173:9	140:16,25 145:6,8
28:4,13 30:2,4	174:6,12,18,20	control 43:6,11	174:4 175:24	145:13 146:3,11
31:6,11 33:4,6,8	consumers' 15:21	controlled 44:8,8	corrected 161:12	147:2,9,13,24
34:22 35:11,14	19:4 34:18 41:12	conversation 4:18	163:18	148:1,13,18,24
37:12,15,24 38:4,6	43:2 45:9 46:9,24	5:7 26:3 53:1,22	correction 135:10	149:1,12,16,25
41:22 42:4 43:7,25	52:25 59:12 65:10	56:18 57:20	correctly 3:12 31:25	150:3,8,10,22
44:14 45:8,12,16	68:2 71:13 74:10	conversations 4:14	130:25	152:2,8,22 154:11
45:18,20 46:13	79:16 91:24 92:7	56:5	correspond 79:6	154:23 155:1,5,15
47:17 48:8,25	137:24	converse 159:6	82:8	159:23 160:11
49:16 52:6 56:1,6	contain 36:20 63:23	conversely 147:12	corresponded 95:1	161:1,3 162:16
56:24 57:6,7,15,18	contained 62:11	convey 55:6 128:16	cost 82:23 83:8	163:13 169:19,25
58:3 62:9 65:12	contemplate 40:2	130:7	85:13,15,16,22	170:13 171:12,13
66:7,14,18 70:16	content 15:20,22	conveyance 33:12	88:22,23 91:2,4,9	172:18,22 181:12
70:24 71:22 72:3	19:10 44:21 45:4	conveyed 33:13,17	91:12 92:16,18	counsel 26:3 39:13
72:24 73:2,20,23	52:17 58:1 116:8,9	64:23	94:12,13,14 95:2	63:1 120:9,14
74:3,5,7 79:12	116:16 118:5,17	conveying 33:9,15	97:2,25,25 98:12	123:21 124:18
82:9 83:2,3 84:20	121:2,4 136:9	conveys 45:11	98:17,19 99:23	126:3 133:22
-	l	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	l

[187]

175.10 100.10 14
175:19 180:12,14
count 77:23
couple 72:7
course 165:16
171:20 172:25
173:7,11
court 5:9 11:15,18
38:22 80:22 180:5
coverage 15:15 16:4
16:7
covered 115:3
create 4:16 174:9
created 173:13,14
173:23 174:2,9
175:4,9
credit 19:4,7,10
21:23,23 170:21
171:3 175:20
credited 132:1
criteria 75:14
critical 59:4,6,9,19
65:23 106:12
152:2,8,12,18,20
154:11,23
cup 151:1,7
current 41:16,19
99:12
customer 57:15
58:18 65:12 66:3
114:22 135:15
147:10 151:16
152:6 154:20
175:21
customer's 110:5
119:12
customers 22:4,5,12
22:18 23:4 25:5
40:21 43:15 48:8
50:11 61:23 67:2
67:11 114:23
115:7 122:1,2
135:14 138:9
141:7,9,14 142:7
146:23 148:10
157:23 158:3
162:16 169:18
172:8

customers' 67:17 cutting 33:8 **CV** 6:10,12 18:25 20:2,7 28:18 84:3

D **D** 3:1 7:19 8:1 65:8 124:21 126:3 133:23 173:25 181:1 **D.C** 1:13 2:10,19 180:3 data 14:16 26:24 68:16,16,18,21 69:18,23,24 70:7

82:1 104:22 112:2 127:24 143:23 178:7,17,21,22 date 6:17,21 12:18 88:25 120:7 124:15 day 4:21 5:15,18 115:10 116:6

150:19,22 151:1 179:2 days 120:5 124:15 165:4,21,21 dead 113:3 deals 75:20

118:5,16 150:17

debit 168:23 170:6 **debited** 149:3,8 168:25 169:2

debits 149:4 **Deborah** 1:25 180:5 decided 37:25 174:6 deciding 56:11

decision 28:8 30:6 31:22 32:18,23 43:9 45:25 91:22 91:25 92:7 97:4,21 152:10 154:24

160:13 162:20 163:1 174:21

decision-making

12:1,3 27:23 28:2 29:7,12,14 30:9

31:16 32:2,11,13 32:17,20 71:14 74:11 108:9,17 decisionmaker 171:19,25 172:24

173:4 decisions 20:17,19 28:16 31:12 33:4

74:8 109:6 decrease 115:12 deep 139:13

deeper 177:22 defamation 9:16 10:3 17:9

default 143:9 166:9 166:12,16 167:2,7 167:12,15,22

168:1,15,16,16,20 168:21 169:1,4,5 170:1,5 171:18 172:10,19,20

173:3 defaults 143:14 144:2

defendant's 64:1,21 definition 78:13 definitive 157:16

degree 86:5,11,13 86:15 **degrees** 86:18

delay 5:22 demand 156:18

175:21

depend 34:16 94:16 128:5

dependent 45:12 depending 7:22 91:20 92:5,15

depletion 42:12,13

42:14,23 deposed 4:7

deposition 3:15 38:23 39:9 42:20

64:1,21,22 83:19 96:7 107:7 161:13

161:21 176:6 178:23 179:4

depositions 4:17 derivative 178:21 derived 26:22

deriving 97:16 describe 21:15

25:14 91:20 97:12 114:8 150:17

166:15 described 27:16

28:20 77:17 78:24 79:20 91:18 101:15 116:16

describing 75:14 150:20

DESCRIPTION

181:8 **despite** 112:15 113:11 143:1 detail 178:20 detailed 53:17,19

determine 15:3,5 deterrent 112:16

113:12

difference 24:2 101:1,18 102:6,13 102:22 103:15,20 103:25 104:12

105:3 162:19

differences 23:8,22 24:1,7 102:17

168:22

different 4:13 12:24 13:2,6 17:7 28:24 29:22,23 32:21

76:4 91:19 92:16

92:21 118:1 124:10 150:19 165:18 167:1

168:20 differentiated 50:13

differently 92:15 difficult 12:17 110:10

difficulties 67:9 digitally 24:12

dimensionalize

75:24

dimensionalizing 77:23

dimensions 75:25 76:5

directed 14:17 direction 180:10 **directly** 70:7,23

180:15

disaggregate 92:24 disclose 9:1,8 14:2

91:4 111:23 148:1 148:3 154:4 156:6

disclosed 11:6 31:5 31:8 33:1 34:19 81:23 82:14

disclosing 9:2 21:16 115:2 147:1,9,19

disclosure 14:5 75:2 75:5 78:17,18

109:25 111:7 121:7 140:16

144:9 145:5 146:3

disclosures 30:1,10 30:16,23 31:3 35:4 35:5,7,8 39:25

44:18,22 74:21 79:15 80:12,16,18

81:14,20 82:22

108:16 109:18,21 109:24 111:2,4

112:10 113:7 136:19 143:6

152:7,22 154:10 154:22 155:1

175:3.8 disconfirms 134:22

discrete 93:9 **discuss** 19:20

156:21,22 157:5

discussed 26:5 39:16 79:5 129:5

discussing 20:3

123:16 **discussion** 45:6 49:6

disentangle 21:1 110:10,11

displayed 48:16

117:2,7,17 118:8

118:18,21 119:6

[188]

disposed 69:21
dissuade 140:17
141:1 144:11,17
145:9 146:4
distant 109:3
distraction 42:24
District 180:1,6,19
180:23
doctorate 11:21
doctrine 11:9
document 7:23 24:3
30:11,24 31:13,14
32:3 33:5,8,9,15
33:19,20,21 34:7,8
34:9,14,15,20,23
34:25 35:1 45:4,7
45:9,10 47:3 52:2
56:12 57:1,8 58:5
61:10,11 62:13,17
62:21 63:10,12,17
63:20 76:25 77:16
77:21 78:4 84:4
85:23 91:6 110:7
110:12 122:15
124:21 175:23
176:1,5 177:25
documents 7:20,23
7:24 8:8 30:1 35:4
38:19 39:10,11
45:14 49:5 51:6
52:4 56:6,7 59:17
59:24 64:4 120:10
121:12 122:7,9,11
123:14,15,16,22
124:19,22 126:3
133:22 173:25
doing 15:24 16:12
51:17 87:3,4 90:19
119:2,4 177:8
dollars 150:19
dominance 166:18
dominate 140:11
downside 147:1
dozen 135:25
Dozens 10:11
Dr 3:10 17:24 22:7
22:23 23:2,15

25:13 30:14 31:2 36:7,14,16 37:3,8 39:11 40:7 49:7 63:1,13,21 64:12 64:13,21,22,23 74:19 77:5,17 78:14,20,21,25 79:21 81:18 83:16 83:24 84:9,21 87:22 107:5,10 117:1 121:9 122:12,18 123:6 131:19 133:10 145:2 163:24 164:7 draw 44:1 46:20 65:2 67:21 119:5 drawing 44:4 drawn 99:7 drive 114:17 **driven** 108:9 due 88:25 120:7 124:15 131:8 132:12 143:2 **dulv** 3:6 **DVD** 101:11

\mathbf{E} E 3:1,1 181:1 e-mail 114:8,8,9,18 115:6,10,13 116:9 116:11 119:10,18 120:2,5 121:16 122:1,3 123:20,24 124:1,2,4,12 125:6 125:12,14,17,18 125:22,25 126:9 126:13,25 127:6 127:12,13,14 128:7,15,17 129:4 129:11,12,25 130:1,17 131:6 132:10 143:1 e-mails 114:12,14 114:23 115:9,9,9 115:11,16,21 116:22,23,24

120:16,19,21,24 121:2,4,11,16 125:3 126:21 127:5,21 eager 156:16 earlier 35:16 45:6 53:10 58:22 65:16 65:22 74:13 104:20 109:24 129:6 145:8 178:15 early 178:14 ease 177:6 easy 176:17,22 economics 29:16 **effect** 95:7,8 166:18 172:10,19,20 effects 138:17 166:9 166:12,16 171:18 173:3 **effort** 178:22 **eight-day** 165:25 either 14:5,14 17:6 31:8,8 32:2 35:23 64:23 70:7 116:16 117:18 123:21 124:18 125:3 126:2 133:21 148:12 152:7,19 154:1,10,22 156:17 158:8 166:19 178:10 **elapse** 92:22 electronic 176:8 Elliehausen 161:9 else's 35:24 embedded 171:6 emphasize 149:15 emphasizes 149:11 149:24 emphasizing 150:2 empirical 44:12 68:14 69:11 70:6 71:6,16 81:25 82:11 106:2 113:1

113:4,5 119:2,4 128:6 129:12 130:13 135:4 143:22 153:2 157:12 161:5 163:7 174:24 empirically 118:25 **employee** 53:2 54:9 133:14 180:12,13 employees 136:23 encouraging 69:21 **ended** 26:21 ends 100:24 engage 87:15 118:2 137:23 139:8 153:20 engaged 5:25 8:21 9:8,14 10:2,4 engaging 93:6 enjoy 141:2 **ensuing** 170:9 **entered** 107:6 entering 176:4 entire 14:5 67:25 entirely 33:6 **entirety** 154:13,18 entities 21:21 **entity** 139:9 environment 97:20 99:7,12 100:15,17 equally 159:4 equation 147:20 erred 108:25 error 88:16 90:18 especially 158:23 **ESQUIRE** 2:5,6,7 2:15,16 essentially 147:19 established 82:10 et 43:8 75:12 76:4 **evaluate** 40:19,24 Evaluating 97:2 everybody 3:16 **evidence** 32:5 33:23 36:17 38:18 39:6 50:21 51:9 64:16 69:4 72:23 73:1,4

76:16 121:20 142:22 144:20 158:5 exact 6:17 7:11 15:5 16:24 43:24,25 135:20,23 136:1 exactly 18:2 23:16 46:17 59:15 60:14 84:6,8 91:18 108:24 125:23 127:25 129:19 144:21 148:17 150:4,5 examination 3:5,8 44:10 181:4 **examine** 43:10,12 **examined** 3:6 41:3 examining 44:3 132:22 134:4 **example** 16:2,11 19:5 20:15 35:15 37:13,19,24 42:19 48:13,20,23 61:7 61:12 62:1,2 77:21 78:3 92:17 98:10 99:9 101:19,24 116:18 117:22 166:20 168:24 169:3 170:8,21 examples 17:1 37:14 41:15 52:13 93:3,4 exception 5:19 **exchange** 139:3,6,7 139:25 140:11 exclusive 153:25 execute 143:3 exercise 93:6 exert 42:17,18,20 exhibit 38:23 70:12 70:14 83:18,19 96:1,7,11 107:6,7 108:6 117:8 121:17,17 124:2,7 126:9 131:22 138:3 161:21 164:9 178:1,23 **exhibits** 39:6 122:13

[189]

				[107]
181:8	102:19,24,25	157:4 159:15	146:1,9 152:18,19	161:10 175:23
expect 23:22 138:5	102.19,24,23	160:24 163:10	154:24 176:8,11	176:21
138:24 139:9,11	103:17,16,20,23	fall 31:23	finding 152:7	footnoted 27:5
139:11,20 140:3,3	explicitly 97:9,15,24	False 10:17	154:10,22	foreign 77:24
168:25	98:8,12,20,23,25	familiar 75:19	finds 37:15 108:8	forever 8:5
expectation 138:13	99:1,5,15,21 100:2	famous 151:2	147:24	forgetting 29:20
139:15,16	100:7,13,15	far 22:20 23:1 91:1	fine 84:7 95:19,22	form 22:20 23:1,6
expected 39:14 68:6	105:17,23 116:7	fashion 150:2	106:9 146:16	31:7 33:23 34:10
138:9	exposed 31:18	fast 176:17	178:4,11	35:19 36:23 44:9
expensive 101:23	exposed 31.18 exposure 32:15	feature 141:2	finish 6:18 30:20	44:24 46:7 47:20
176:19,24 177:3	exposure 32.13 expound 141:2	features 20:14,16	80:10	49:24 50:20 51:8
experience 12:6,8	expounding 145:8	1	finished 51:22 88:1	53:4 54:14 56:15
19:3 25:8 28:13	extension 165:9	February 6:16 fee 165:23		58:10 59:25 60:17
		feed 29:13	firm 6:1,4 9:11	
32:16 33:6 43:15	extent 9:3 11:5 16:7	feel 113:15 152:15	first 3:6 68:17 72:17	60:18,19 67:5 69:3
45:18,20,21 46:6	19:19,25 57:12,14		81:5 85:7 88:23	73:15 75:6 76:15
46:10,16,22 47:18	61:16 115:1 138:1	feeling 92:21	89:8 96:11,12,13	78:7 91:14 98:14
50:17 51:6,20 52:1	extremely 39:21	feelings 82:7	96:25 100:23,24	100:3 103:12
52:5,13,25 53:11	40:15	fees 162:5,9,23,25	120:7 124:15	105:10 125:7
55:18 58:7,16,24	extrinsic 14:19,20	163:1,3,24 164:6	125:4 130:3 134:4	127:21,24 128:8
59:1,12,21 60:12	eye 78:11,11	164:12 166:5	140:23 148:14	160:1 161:6
65:11 67:4,10 68:4	\mathbf{F}	field 43:19 68:8	149:22 155:4,9	format 48:10 60:25
99:17 149:2	face 107:18	74:24 75:1,4,10	156:11 157:24	61:1 126:20
170:14 171:18	faced 66:8	76:7 166:25	fit 78:12	formats 115:9
174:1	fact 23:17 103:6,23	fields 29:22,24	fitting 75:13	formed 35:25 36:14
experienced 148:17	115:8 142:23	fifth 79:13 130:5	five 88:12,14 89:2	forms 177:3
149:1	144:19 161:24	Figure 101:24 102:2	90:21 99:23 106:8	forth 105:17 110:9
experiences 12:4,10	177:2	file 126:24	177:10	found 44:1
25:6 27:24 28:10	factor 71:13 77:14	filed 135:13	five-minute 146:14	four 88:13 89:1
29:10 31:18 51:14	78:15,21,22,24	fill 61:17	fix 13:4,4 88:20	99:22 130:3
100:18	79:13 80:5	final 3:18 80:5	fixed 88:16	fourth 78:20,22
experiments 12:8	factors 42:10,25	finance 85:13 88:24	flip 176:10	160:15
expert 6:9 8:21 9:16	43:5,7 44:7 46:19	89:8,12,17,18,21	flow 4:15 18:17	frame 41:9 92:15,17
9:20 10:2 11:15,17	76:10 77:5 92:25	90:4,7,7,9 93:12	focus 27:23,25 28:19	118:1
11:18 82:5	114:17 115:11,20	93:15 94:22 95:6	32:19 69:18,23	framed 92:14
expertise 9:18 29:5	114.17 113.11,20	99:15 130:5,6,8,12	97:6	frames 116:17
29:6,25 30:3,23	118:6,15 159:12	130:23 131:2,25	focused 20:23 30:14	framework 71:20
31:6,11,23 32:1,10	facts 32:4 33:22	165:5,15 169:8	94:5	72:12,15,21 75:10
33:7 34:1,5 68:4	50:21 51:9 64:16	financed 85:12	focusing 57:14	76:5 109:10,15,20
82:13 114:11,13		93:13,16	follow 59:18	110:13,14 111:9
114:15 137:9,12	69:4 76:16 121:20 fail 4:20	financial 1:1,10 2:3	follow-up 149:25	112:4
137:17		2:8 20:15 21:3,5	following 54:18	framing 116:10,12
experts 10:5 13:15	fair 20:22 28:18	21:11,12 170:24	66:11 113:19	116:18,21 117:6
expires 180:25	29:3 31:10 32:9	171:1,8	follows 3:7 55:24	117:13,16,20,21
explain 101:3 139:5	34:4 63:6 65:4,9 70:18 72:10	financially 180:14	font 34:21 62:4	118:5,17
143:20		find 66:18 95:9	fonts 61:13 62:5	Friday 1:8 180:9
explicit 97:4 99:3	111:13,17 112:6,7 112:25 147:21,22	108:22 112:15	footnote 25:13 96:3	181:2
101:1,18 102:6,13	114.43 147.41,44	113:10 145:21,23	96:23 138:15	front 28:5 40:9
	•	•	•	•

97:18 99:6
FTC 74:20 75:11
77:23 79:3 81:13
81:18
FTC's 78:22 79:13
80:6
full 40:13 94:12
96:13,25 100:23
100:24 105:19,20
168:9
funded 177:21
funds 174:10
further 89:4 108:11
108:16 109:17
110:1,17 111:1
129:22 143:4
158:7 179:1
180:11
future 28:16 99:18
111:16
<u> </u>

 $G_{3:1}$ gain 116:15 117:14 117:19 gains 37:17 117:22 **game** 13:2 garnered 74:9 general 68:3 151:23 153:14 generalizations 44:1 generally 12:10 45:17 46:18 68:15 127:17,19 140:10 140:11 generate 63:19 generated 62:17 generates 173:19 generating 62:18 64:8 69:25 generation 151:18 151:24 153:15 generators 151:11 151:15 152:1 generically 20:9,13 149:20

germane 12:7

gestures 5:7,12 **getting** 110:4 144:2 144:4 154:16 give 8:25 16:10 17:1 21:16 22:3 54:18 101:7 113:24 128:21 139:10,11 139:14 140:3,4 156:24 161:10 given 19:18 22:21 22:22 38:12 40:6 46:19 62:24 66:24 101:19 122:21 123:9,13 124:10 137:20 148:15 177:9 giving 38:22 83:18 glean 48:11 go 5:17 9:23 11:1 28:7 30:4 32:22 37:23 67:23 75:8 76:10,17 83:22 87:18 89:6 91:8 95:12,17 103:8 106:5 108:5 164:7 166:5 goal 4:11 5:22 29:11 34:16,17 goals 42:11 43:8 goes 37:15 42:22 71:5 90:10 130:6 going 4:18 5:9,10,19 14:25 26:4,12 35:6 43:17 55:7 60:21 60:22 67:25 76:9 77:10 83:14 86:23 90:8 91:4 95:16 96:25 97:6 98:19 129:6 130:8 138:16 141:18 142:10,13 144:1 145:9 151:9 152:13 155:25 156:20 158:14 162:23 164:4,6

165:21 166:10

176:7

good 3:10,11 12:18 18:15 52:18 75:21 106:4 115:25 **gotten** 156:15 governing 177:16 **government** 162:4,8 grav 84:23 Great 18:14 22:9 96:25 greater 145:5 **ground** 4:9 guess 13:21 15:2 24:12 78:1 135:22 172:23 177:18,20 guidelines 74:20 75:11 77:24 79:3 80:6 81:13,18 guys 17:25

H

half 92:19 152:20 155:9 176:14 hand 97:21 180:18 **handed** 83:23 161:16 happened 53:14 56:5 64:5 149:4 happening 55:18 56:10 111:7 **happy** 178:3 hard 13:8 21:1 33:14 36:17 harder 50:25 110:4 harm 170:23,24 171:1 harms 171:6 **Hastak** 31:2 77:5 78:21 81:18 121:9 Hastak's 22:7,23 23:2,15 30:14 36:14,16 37:3,8 39:11 40:7 49:7 63:1,13,21 64:12 64:13,21,22,23 74:19 77:17 78:14

122:12,18 123:6 131:19 133:10 163:24 164:7 Haven 4:5 head 5:9 10:12 17:4 29:2 73:5 82:19 92:3 hear 55:13 63:3 heard 137:14 hearing 1:17 help 58:14 134:7,14 158:16 159:22 160:3 **helpful** 16:10 hereunder 180:17 highlight 158:17 159:16,23 160:3 highlighted 84:5,16 **highlights** 84:15,25 Hillary 2:16 3:22 hired 6:3,14 history 13:7 honest 115:21 hopefully 156:1 **horse** 113:3 hour 8:17 52:19 95:16 hours 7:8,10,16 8:18 39:23 housekeeping 95:13 hundred 89:23,25 165:23,24 **hundreds** 135:23 hypotheses 68:1,4 hypothesis 104:25 156:4

I idea 55:4 136:6 143:4 176:21 ideas 68:1,6 identical 165:15 identification 38:24 83:20 96:8 107:8 161:22 178:24 ignore 28:7 97:19 134:21

[190] **illiquid** 171:9 **imagine** 67:3 76:2 imagining 37:5 immediate 97:20 108:10 109:16 110:16,25 170:25 impact 29:10 33:20 44:17 92:12,16,25 109:20,22 110:13 111:1 117:13 implemented 126:6 implication 104:3 implications 79:24 94:9 103:5,23 171:25 172:9 importance 75:2 77:16 78:16.23 79:15 80:11 118:11 158:22,24 important 27:4 41:11 46:23 107:11,13 108:2 108:23 111:22 151:8 156:14 157:9,14,23 158:2 158:14 159:21 160:7 161:2,4 163:4,5,7,13,20

impossible 46:18 impression 26:11 164:19 improve 108:17 improved 83:5 impulses 42:21 inadvertently 25:17 26:6,10 27:3 inappropriately 29:17 include 5:11 15:18 15:19 29:8 33:18 65:19 68:23 83:25

included 7:19 19:13

19:16 25:15 26:9

includes 29:6 32:14

26:18 32:25

174:5,12,18,20

176:15

78:20,25 79:21

83:16,24 84:9,21

[191]

33:19 64:19,20	63:18,24 64:11	97:23 102:19,24	item 101:23,24	131:2 135:23
including 7:16 16:8	65:22,25 66:24	103:17 105:16	items 101:20	137:12,17 138:8
19:14 32:20 53:12	74:4,6,8,10 75:25	109:11,14 112:17		149:14 150:4,4
63:17 132:21	84:19 91:11 93:15	113:12 116:21	J	152:25 153:1,21
145:7 148:5 149:1	97:9,14 99:6	117:7 118:17	J 2:5	153:23,24 154:1
160:4	119:19 120:8,20	120:9 132:18	jobs 17:13,18	158:7 163:19
inconspicuously	125:21 126:5,11	133:14,17 135:14	journal 12:12,21	171:7 173:14
31:9	127:1 133:13	136:24 138:8	13:1,6 68:7 69:22	174:6,22 177:8
increase 81:19	134:20,21 151:17	141:6,9,14 142:7	journals 12:16,24	knowing 19:23
115:11	152:9 153:16	146:22 148:12,15	13:2,7 68:12,23	43:16 46:10 53:1
increases 81:13	154:23 156:14,15	152:6,21,24	69:9 70:6	60:13 65:12
159:1	156:18,22 157:5	153:21,24 154:3,9	judgments 97:8,13	104:17 137:13
independent 68:20	158:16,23,24	154:21,25 155:2	97:13	knowledge 24:19,24
indicate 162:15	159:3,7,8,17,23	155:18 156:5	<u>K</u>	25:4 37:17 50:9,12
indicates 137:19,23	160:3,4,7,11	164:17 167:16		53:16,17,17,19,20
indirectly 70:7	164:20 165:7	168:4 169:18	keep 21:19 54:4,6 101:13	53:21 61:5,8 63:7
180:15	169:6,7,17,23	172:7 175:3,8		65:17,17 119:12
individual 43:16	initial 48:21 70:25	intelligible 164:1	keeping 101:15 key 168:21	121:10,13,15
individuals 134:19	88:9,25 130:3	intend 36:21	kind 18:10 19:21	151:23 173:22
industry 177:14	143:6	intent 177:24	51:15 139:9 144:3	177:22
inexplicable 87:17	initialled 49:7	intention 6:6 18:14	176:13,13	L
influence 44:13	initially 90:19	interaction 67:1	kinds 20:20 61:18	
91:21,24 92:6 93:1	initiated 133:16,18	interest 43:23	64:7 69:20	label 101:11,13 labeled 102:2
93:5 104:7 115:20	input 32:22	interested 46:16	knew 48:15 150:1	labels 10:19 76:1,2
118:7 167:8	inputs 28:16	66:13 140:18	know 3:14 5:3,16	lack 170:8
172:10	Insights 114:22	144:11 146:5	11:11 14:4,24 19:5	laid 32:23
influenced 91:3,10	insofar 31:3 109:22	160:12 180:15	19:20 20:4 21:10	large 94:5 168:23
93:21 105:24 119:21	instance 15:2 61:20 98:18 168:4	interpretation 134:9	22:15,20 23:1 37:1	largely 22:7 27:18
influences 31:4		166:3,8	37:10 38:1 42:7	62:12
44:21 45:3	instances 9:7 10:1 instantiation 94:19	interrupt 4:15 interrupted 18:17	47:1,1,6,10,13	larger 170:6
influencing 46:20	instructed 154:3	interviews 37:16	48:2 49:13,22 52:8	law 5:25 6:3 136:11
information 9:2,3,9	156:5	interweaving 7:15	53:14 54:19,20,21	136:14
15:16 16:8 28:5,6	Integrity 1:5 2:14	introduce 177:25	55:25 56:3,4,9	Lawrence 161:9
28:6,7 29:9 30:3,4	22:2,4,5,11,17	invariably 14:13	57:5,10 58:17	lead 80:15,17
30:7 31:7,12,20	23:3 38:5,9 39:25	invented 55:11	59:14,22 60:8 61:3	151:11,14,17,24
32:14,15 33:1 35:9	40:20 43:2,15	inverse 112:22	62:8,11 73:10,18	152:1 153:7,15
35:11,14 36:23,25	44:14 45:18,20	investigational 1:17	74:15 77:5 79:11	leads 153:25
37:6 38:2 42:6	46:10 47:13 48:2	involve 17:16	79:22 82:8 83:3	lean 168:5
48:11,15,17,18,19	48:25 49:17 50:10	involved 10:21 15:2	84:5,16 86:6 91:2	learn 154:2
48:20,24 49:15,18	52:25 53:2,12,23	15:14 18:25 48:9	92:14,20 93:11,25	learned 156:5
49:20 50:15 53:14	54:3,7,9 55:8	involvement 11:6	94:6 100:6,10	leave 37:1 171:7,8
53:24 54:8,20 55:2	56:25 57:7 58:8,17	involves 32:10 35:10	104:9,10,16 106:1	leaving 102:7
56:13,24 57:18,23	59:12,21 61:22	74:9 148:13 170:5	106:1 112:24	left 26:6,10
57:25 58:1,21,24	65:11,18 66:2 67:1	issue 14:3,24 15:25	115:15 125:16	left-hand 165:22
59:2,3,20 61:15,16	67:11,18 81:24	162:22 170:17	126:20 127:25	lenders 177:19
61:21,24 62:1,6	82:23 83:9 94:13	issues 114:22	129:9,13,23 130:9	lending 177:13
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		<u> </u>
<u> </u>				

[192]

-				
lengthy 176:1	41:10,23 42:9 43:3	149:1,4 152:9,24	57:19,21 59:14,15	96:8,10 107:5,8
let's 6:9 40:8,9	43:9,18 44:17,22	153:12 154:5,6,24	59:22 60:2,7,9	161:22 178:24
46:14 49:11 54:3,4	45:16,22 46:1,3,4	155:3,5,13 156:7,8	66:20 70:9 88:19	market 29:10,11
54:6 67:23 78:14	46:11,14,24 47:3	156:13,13,16	98:13 101:25	150:2
83:14,17 85:6 93:9	47:14 48:2,9 49:1	158:3,17,18	109:5 114:4	marketers 149:14
94:21,22 95:12,24	49:6,9 53:13 55:1	159:17,24 160:13	129:12 131:20	150:1,5
96:6 108:5 111:10	57:1,8,17,22 58:5	165:3,5,8,9,10,13	137:9 144:7	marketing 81:9
125:3 131:16,18	59:17,23 60:6,8,9	165:14,25 166:1,5	165:20 177:22	149:10,16,23
131:22 132:15	60:10,23 62:11,24	167:16,19 168:6	looks 52:11 90:11	150:7
137:7 140:13	63:2,8,16 64:6,12	168:10 169:19	131:17	material 12:20
148:7 151:10	64:22 66:3,4,8,9	174:21,23 175:3,8	loss 116:14 117:14	23:23 120:24
152:10 154:16	66:12,14,19,20	181:11	117:18	materially 27:10
161:18 164:7	67:12,18 68:2	loans 24:14,20 25:2	losses 117:22	materials 16:24
166:9 172:6	70:17,25 71:14,17	25:9 37:16 38:5,13	lost 145:18 154:14	63:14,22,23 64:19
173:13 175:12	71:23 72:6,25	49:17 73:3 85:11	lot 4:10,11 10:24	64:24 65:1,5 174:3
level 42:11,12,24	73:21,24 74:4,6	102:18,23 103:16	14:22 29:13,20	math 86:2 162:12
78:11,11 118:10	81:22,24 82:14,23	104:13 108:3	lots 20:7 32:21	mathematical 95:2
light 36:23 37:11	82:24 83:9,9,15	109:12,14 135:8	74:11 92:21	171:12
69:18,23	84:2 85:7 91:12,22	140:7 142:24	116:19	mathematics 86:5
liked 20:17	91:25 92:7,18	143:8,16 148:16	loudly 55:10	86:12,14,18 131:1
likelihood 95:9	93:14 94:13,15,20	148:23 151:19	lousy 55:15	matter 1:4,16 6:1,7
115:12 169:2	95:9 97:23,25 98:1	155:17 177:2,21	lower 162:24 169:4	6:15 9:13,15,21
limit 110:11 162:4,8	98:5,11,21,25	long 5:18,21 6:22,24	loyalty 139:2	10:3 17:6,15 25:7
limited 19:15 110:6	99:14 102:19,24	7:5,6,14 39:20	lunch 95:14 106:13	37:24 125:24
line 71:2 75:7 116:6	103:17 104:8,12	110:21,22 138:3		126:4 127:2
118:5,16 160:15	104:15 105:4,5,9	143:12 148:8	M	matters 10:9,10,14
lines 70:15,22 71:25	105:16,22,25	166:5 176:12	main 27:20 28:19	10:15,25 13:14,19
72:10,17 115:10	108:18 109:18	longer 138:9 140:6	36:11,15 71:15	13:24 16:15 84:17
list 7:20 18:25 42:10	111:2,4,7,25 112:9	143:11	160:22	mean 7:8 18:3,9
42:24	112:15 113:7,10	look 6:9 14:16,21	major 86:21	23:19 24:10 28:3
listed 41:14 65:5	113:15 119:13,19	22:9 23:16 38:21	majority 69:19	30:12 40:23 42:12
113:19 115:19	119:20,23 120:3,4	39:2 41:18 43:1	148:16	42:22 45:13,19
124:21 138:15	120:6,25 121:4,12	50:7 52:7 67:24	making 13:9 32:22	53:25 60:2 63:3
169:8	122:4,7,9,11,12,15	83:14,17 85:6	43:9 63:9 74:8	69:6 70:1 73:18
listen 136:22	122:17 123:4	95:24 96:6 131:18	84:18 105:3 121:1	75:15 76:17 80:25
listened 137:13	128:18 129:4	134:19 135:18,21	121:3 138:12	99:1 105:19
literature 8:6 38:13	131:8,9,17 132:20	151:10 156:24	148:22 152:9,16	116:12 118:19
75:16 81:10 140:9	132:22 133:9	163:25 164:6	152:17,18 154:23	122:15 129:23
litigation 9:23,25	134:3,9,25 138:10	177:15	162:20,25 163:3	130:11 131:24
11:1,2 84:7	140:6,15,17,18	looked 7:23 23:2	174:21	139:6 143:20
litigations 15:14	141:1 142:20	43:4 62:8 64:6	Management 4:6	157:10 162:25
little 4:13,17 76:8	143:2,5,22 144:8	77:5 83:15 88:19	manner 81:24 82:15	163:15 167:11
95:15 154:17	144:10,12 145:10	120:11 161:19	map 79:1,7	meaning 31:1 38:4,8
lives 68:20	146:4,5,11 147:2	looking 14:10,11,18	mapping 80:1	83:1 100:6 102:14
LLP 2:17	147:11,13,18,19	15:1 23:18 33:8,19	maps 15:13	meaningful 33:11
loan 22:6 24:17 38:1	147:24 148:2,12	41:12 52:6,11 54:2	March 6:20	45:7
38:8 40:14,21 41:3	148:13,15,24	54:10 56:7,14	marked 38:24 83:20	means 35:8 77:3
	I	I	I	1

[193]

	l	<u> </u>	l	l
99:5 100:14 101:3	134:25	naturally 24:2 50:14	36:7 38:23 83:19	130:14
153:10	misunderstood	nature 7:22 21:17	87:22 96:7 107:7	obvious 20:2,7
meant 161:16	133:25 134:2	118:9,15	107:10 145:2	172:17
measure 44:4	moment 31:17	NDA 19:21 20:4	161:21 178:23	obviously 28:18
measurements	32:13 36:22 54:11	21:20 115:3	180:8	179:3
66:10	54:13 113:24	near 46:21 62:2 78:2	Novemsky's 25:13	occur 88:9
media 9:17,19	160:21	78:4	107:5 117:1	occurred 67:2 122:6
meet 39:18,20	momentary 42:11	necessarily 59:4	number 7:11 10:11	133:5,7,15
memorable 51:19	moments 29:23	82:9	19:1 28:14 62:5	occurs 24:2 122:8
memories 63:25	32:14 86:9	need 5:15 18:18	79:18 83:19 89:20	172:20
memory 28:15	monetary 118:11	34:19,22 35:1	94:24 96:7 107:7	offer 36:21 38:17
32:15 80:16 81:6,8	170:17	43:10 45:17 58:17	125:11,14 135:24	39:5 60:21
100:17 115:25	money 99:10,11	58:20 68:5 71:5	136:1 143:21,23	offered 11:17
mention 101:6	101:16 107:12	87:9,13 91:17	147:3 161:21	offering 112:17
mentioned 5:14	135:16 136:4,12	155:15 161:5	176:10,14 177:17	113:13 152:21
17:9 18:21 30:25	157:9,15,18,22	163:25 174:17	178:23	154:25
79:2 99:16 116:7	158:2 160:8 170:9	needed 88:20	numbers 38:23 95:1	offhand 47:1
118:12,15	174:7	negative 91:21	95:5	office 180:18
mentioning 101:2	monthly 149:11,15	Neglect 100:21	0	offline 23:20 24:8
103:21	149:24 150:3,7,10	103:2	$\frac{0}{03:1}$	62:19
message 33:10,12,15	morning 3:10,11	networks 16:5		oh 88:15 151:7
33:17 45:11	129:8 130:20	never 9:5 10:13	object 144:18	okay 4:9 6:9 7:12
messages 119:10,18	159:10	46:17,22 122:25	objection 23:24 32:4 33:22 34:10 44:24	14:25 16:10 18:15
143:1	motivation 109:23	149:7 176:9	46:7 47:20 49:24	20:12,22 22:2 24:9
met 39:13,16	110:2	new 4:5 36:22,23,25	50:20 51:8 53:4	27:7 36:20 38:17
method 43:19	move 4:22 77:13	37:6,10 38:2,12	54:14 56:15,19	39:24 45:5 55:6
middle 106:10	78:14 111:10	69:18,23,25 89:17	58:10 59:25 60:19	57:14,24 67:23
112:13 148:9	132:15 148:7	89:21 130:11	64:15 67:5,13,13	70:9 71:4 76:8
164:22,22	166:9 173:13	161:19	68:25 69:3 73:15	80:5,9 82:21 83:7
mind 17:11 20:1	mug 166:20,20,21	nice 34:21	75:6 76:15 78:7	84:24 85:2,10
21:19 29:1 99:13	166:22,23,24	nod 5:8	82:16,25 91:13	103:14 105:15
99:17 118:6	167:3,4,6,7	non-default 168:3	92:1 93:22 98:14	106:3 107:23
122:19,25	multiply 89:24	nonacademic 37:21	100:3 103:7 104:2	108:7 111:12
minds 104:14,18	N	nondisclosure 19:21	105:10 107:19	113:17,21,21
mindset 42:11 43:7	$\overline{\mathbf{N}}$ 3:1 181:1	21:18	110:18 113:8	118:4 119:1,7
minute 17:21 111:3	N-A-T-H-A-N 4:2	noon 95:15,15,18,19	121:19 125:7	122:10 123:12,23
156:24	N-A-1-H-A-N 4:2 N-O-V-E-M-S-K-Y	normal 4:18	131:10 134:10	124:9 132:15
minutes 39:22 85:19	4:3	Norms 138:18	151:10 154:10	142:15 144:5
99:23 106:6	N.W 1:12 2:9,18	Notary 180:6,23	167:20 169:10	145:20 146:13,15
misinterpretation	name 3:12,17 4:1	note 84:6	172:2	147:6 156:20
135:10	9:10 124:10	noted 28:18 165:22	obliterate 50:18	157:3 164:16
missed 157:24	names 11:3 29:22	176:9	observation 51:13	168:8,17 171:17
174:11	naming 13:24	notice 1:17	51:15	178:25
misstated 168:13	Nathan 1:20 3:4 4:2	noting 84:17	obstacles 67:2	omits 84:1 156:13
misunderstanding	180:8	notions 134:20,22	obtain 173:22	omitted 25:18 71:11
131:7 132:11	natural 4:15	Novemsky 1:20 3:4	obtained 66:3 120:9	84:3
misunderstands	naturar 4.13	3:10 4:2 17:24	obtained 00.3 120.9	once 156:21 165:16

[194]

ones 12:24 32:23	optimism 111:22	overload 156:23	142:19 143:24	party 139:9
116:7 123:5	112:9	157:6 158:16,25	144:1,13,15 148:7	pay 81:7 89:8,9,12
online 23:6,7,20	optimistic 111:16	159:1,23 160:3	148:8,9,21 151:10	90:4,6,20 92:18
24:8,9 46:5,11,25	option 101:15 102:3	overpayment	151:11 153:10	97:18 130:24
47:2,4,5,7,10,12	102:4,4,10,11,11	136:13	154:9 156:3	132:2,7 138:5,9,24
47:14 48:3,12,14	102:12 112:15	owe 174:7	157:19,20 158:5	139:20 165:4,14
48:16,25 49:2,21	113:11 140:14	ownership 166:19	160:10,15 164:4	170:9 171:10
49:23 50:8,24 51:3	141:8,13 142:6,17		164:23 166:11,13	pay-in-full 168:15
51:3,7,12,16 52:3	144:7 145:7,9,17	P	168:8,14 171:17	168:20 169:5
53:24 59:11,15,22	145:21,23 146:1,9	P 3:1	171:22 176:2	170:1,4,5,15
60:3,8,9,14,24	146:22,25 147:7,9	p.m 107:2 179:8	paragraphs 72:16	171:13
61:19,22 62:7	147:10,17,25	page 23:12 40:10	113:20,22 142:11	payday 24:13,16,20
63:19 64:8 65:2	167:16 168:2,3	70:14 71:2,2 77:11	142:12,16 156:21	25:2,5,9 37:16
onscreen 53:3	170:1,15 172:1,9	85:6 95:25 96:12	156:22 157:5	38:13 108:3
open 37:1	176:24 177:9	96:12,13 97:1	160:18	157:23 158:2
opening 127:6	options 97:3 99:11	100:20,22,24	paraphrase 141:19	162:5,9,15 175:20
operate 151:24	100:25 101:12,13	102:1,14,15 103:1	147:16 168:11	176:15 177:2,13
operation 143:9	101:17 102:3	108:7 112:13	paraphrasing 168:9	177:19,21
opinion 36:11,14	103:19,24 105:21	138:3 148:8	parenthetical 102:7	paying 81:3 140:6
81:12,17,21,22	143:3	154:19 162:3	part 10:5 11:9 13:2	143:5 168:9
82:2,5,6,13,21	oral 55:20	164:2,4,13,22	13:14 15:13 19:3	payment 89:14
83:7,12,13 91:1,3	order 41:21 43:1	176:8,9,12 177:16	22:7 31:15 52:5	93:14 130:3,4
93:20,24 94:10	58:15 67:4 111:23	177:16,17,17	60:11 116:24	143:3
108:1,4,15 111:21	112:3,24 130:21	181:4,8	126:18 132:4	payments 88:8,11
112:1 120:15,18	145:11,14 161:6	paid 88:24,25 90:14	134:4 156:11	88:12,15 89:2,3
125:16 127:4,8,9	163:25 172:15	90:22,23 130:8	157:24 175:25	92:23 93:13,16
127:10,19 128:3	173:10	131:25 169:8,19	participants 60:23	94:23 95:5,10
128:14,17,21,23	organize 80:3	173:21	102:9	131:7,24 132:9,12
129:3 143:15,18	original 47:3 49:13	paper 23:6 24:3	particular 12:2,5,9	149:11,15,24
147:1 154:2,7	61:11 62:20 63:4	44:23 63:2 87:11	15:20,25 18:13	150:3,8,10 169:20
157:8,13,21 158:1	165:10	87:12 130:20	21:7 33:5 45:11	PDF 179:5
158:4,11 160:6,9	originate 104:15	papers 28:17,19,23	49:10 54:13 59:3	peer 44:6
161:6 163:11,16	originating 67:12,18	paperwork 176:18	60:1 74:13 79:3,6	peer-reviewed
167:15 172:16	72:25 145:10	paradigm 166:25	85:11 93:5 94:18	12:12,21 68:7,12
174:5,25 175:1,2,6	origination 71:14,17	paragraph 40:10	125:13 138:22	68:23 70:6
175:7,11	124:25 125:1	67:24 68:1,10 70:9	139:14 140:8	pen 87:24
opinions 35:25	160:13	70:18,20 71:1,7,9	141:3 156:18	pencil 87:11,12
36:21,24 37:7	other's 139:13	71:12,15,19 72:19	160:4 162:22	pending 5:21 30:18
38:15	outside 17:17 18:20	95:24 96:2,14 97:1	165:5 171:20	122:22,24
opportunity 54:24	29:19 34:7 52:13	100:22,23 108:6	175:25 176:12	pennies 150:17,22
55:5 56:2 58:4	55:8 97:3	108:20 110:24	particularly 95:6	people 4:14 9:18
96:3 97:2 99:9	outstanding 166:6	111:10,14 112:12	130:2 167:5	24:20 25:2 26:24
100:21 103:2	overcome 158:16	112:14 114:3,3,7	particulars 63:15,17	29:18 42:16 43:20
132:19,24 153:11	159:22	119:7,9 125:19	parties 180:13	43:21,23 44:2
181:12	overdraft 169:3	126:16,18 132:15	partners 114:21	54:23 65:18 72:23
opposed 24:3 55:20	170:8,13,20	132:17 137:7	partnerships 19:1,2	74:23 81:3 97:18
102:14	overdrafts 170:19	138:2,3,20 140:13	parts 29:8 98:24	102:10 115:16,20
	1	l	l	l

[195]

116:15 118:7	piece 44:22 49:20	59:1 93:3 111:18	presenting 60:17,25	20:24 21:2,3,5,6
119:6 128:7	59:3 68:20 74:14	120:6 131:5 132:9	presents 98:5	21:13 28:13 141:3
140:24 143:7	152:8 154:23	132:14 135:6,12	preserved 62:19	141:4
162:20,21,23	160:4,7	143:3 149:6,9	presumably 149:17	products 10:19
163:19,20 166:18	pieces 31:23 32:16	159:16,19,21	presume 122:8	21:24
166:21,23 167:1,6	61:25 62:5 63:18	161:1,3 163:2,5,6	presumption 145:4	professional 52:9
167:11 176:18,20	72:22 74:16	166:3,7	pretty 20:1	82:12
176:22	place 7:13 49:8 65:1	possibly 63:13 93:4	previous 32:24	Profita 2:16 3:22,22
perceived 118:10	placed 78:11	post 86:19	55:25 69:24	84:12
percent 21:11	placement 75:5,11	potential 111:22	120:12	projects 114:20
142:23 162:6,7,13	76:13,25 77:4,6,9	117:14,19 170:23	previously 96:18	prominence 75:2,12
176:18,20	77:14,16 78:6,13	potentially 74:12	178:1	75:20 76:3,12,21
percentage 21:4,7	159:11	85:5 158:25 168:1	price 101:1,18 102:7	77:6,12 159:11
115:15 125:11,16	places 48:13	168:2 171:9	102:17,22 103:15	prominently 158:18
125:21 126:12	play 44:5	172:11	103:20,24 104:12	159:24
perfect 5:2 44:10	please 3:25 4:24 5:3	practice 61:18 63:8	105:3	pronounced 171:18
46:22	5:16 21:19 38:20	preceding 153:9	primarily 20:23	173:4
perfectly 87:21	64:18 80:19,22	precision 18:2	30:15 97:9	pronouns 147:15
perform 67:16	87:18 90:1 115:3	preclude 74:18	primitive 79:6,8	propensity 116:17
period 88:9,13,23	116:3 122:22	predicate 158:24	principal 89:9,10,14	proposition 107:16
89:8,10,11 90:3,6	123:9 139:5	preexisting 134:20	89:18,24 90:4,6,9	107:18 138:22
90:8 165:11,14	141:20	134:22	90:10,20,22,23,24	Protectin 2:8
170:9 171:10	plus 89:13 90:4,6	prefer 143:5	principles 74:9	Protection 1:1,11
periods 88:10 90:12	point 4:20 5:15 8:6	preference 143:19	printed 23:13 61:10	2:3 3:18
person 33:13,16	26:2,23 49:20	171:20 172:21,25	62:13,16 63:10,12	provide 6:6 72:11
111:5 118:2	111:8 130:2,25	173:11	63:17	119:18 142:12,16
131:23 134:1	152:3	preferences 97:8,14	prior 25:6 68:3	165:7 172:15
147:12,23 156:17	point-3 89:25	100:25 101:17	74:19 92:8 119:20	provided 6:10 24:24
156:19 173:21	points 44:7 48:20	102:12 103:19,23	119:25 120:3	66:25 84:6 118:10
personal 51:6,13,20	49:10,12	173:5	121:22 142:21	169:6,17 175:19
52:1,5,8,13 82:7	policy 125:24 126:4	premise 138:15	174:2 177:17,17	provider 18:11
82:12 84:19	126:6	139:18 158:15	pro 139:10 140:2	115:13 152:9,24
180:10	portions 8:11	preparation 7:13,17	probably 19:15 20:1	153:11,16,17,19
phenomenon 150:17	positing 172:24	prepare 7:5,7 39:9	procedure 133:21	154:24 155:3,13
phone 16:4,5 24:4	173:2	preparing 7:1,3	proceed 3:15	156:13,13
24:11 53:13,18,19	position 16:17 167:7	present 15:16 42:5	proceeding 11:15,19	providers 151:18
54:21,23 55:3,19	positions 16:12	47:4,8 60:22 66:9	proceedings 179:7	156:16
56:1 57:13,25 58:2	18:21	99:6 100:15 102:9	process 31:15,20	provides 145:5
65:22 132:17,23	positive 91:21	presentation 55:20	32:17 33:1 44:6	proximity 75:12
135:11 136:19,23	positively 69:21	75:25	74:3,5,7 85:18	76:4 77:3,6,9
137:20	possibilities 130:14	presented 30:5	91:6 109:23	78:15,17
phrase 72:20 76:21	155:14	35:14 47:4 61:3,19	176:17,23	psychological 42:14
81:1 100:2 101:15	possibility 37:2	68:16 97:10,15,24	processed 159:4,7	79:10 97:7,12
102:25	168:14 possible 4:12 34:6	98:8,12,20,23,23 98:24 99:1,5,8,22	processing 29:9 74:11	99:25 100:8 psychology 11:24
phrases 130:1 physical 21:5	34:13 43:22 44:9	100:2,7,14 102:8	product 9:6 11:9	29:15 42:16 79:12
physics 86:14	46:16 47:11 53:15	105:23 164:20	18:11 20:14,15,16	86:14
physics ou.14	70.10 47.11 33.13	103.23 104.20	10.11 20.14,13,10	00.14
-				

[196]

public 9:5 180:6,23 publication 68:7
69:21
published 28:17
pull 100:16 143:25
purchase 97:4
purchased 153:25
purchases 101:2,14
101:16 103:21
150:25
purpose 46:13 66:5
66:6,15,17 67:17
purposes 34:21
63:20 65:3 95:14
pursuant 1:17
pursue 20:20
push 102:10
put 9:17 42:3 43:7
43:20,23 77:1,2,20
77:20 94:11
151:17 166:18
putting 33:3 44:2
78:2,4 82:11

Q

qualified 11:14,18 qualifies 78:17 **qualities** 20:23,24 quarter 21:10 question 4:25 5:5,8 5:21 9:11 12:18 13:16 15:10 16:6 16:15 17:23 18:19 19:17,25 30:17,22 32:7 33:3 35:16 47:21,25 53:6 54:7 54:17,19 55:7,23 55:25 59:10 67:6 69:13 71:24 73:8 73:11 74:2 77:15 80:10 81:16,25 85:3 91:14,14,16 92:3,8,10 93:7,8 93:23 100:4 103:12,22 105:2,6 105:11,13,15 106:2 110:20,21

110:22 115:2 116:20 117:5 118:24,25 119:1 122:21,22,24 123:3 125:8 126:8 128:7,21,23 129:1 129:12 130:13,22 131:11 132:4 134:5,10 135:4 141:11,24 142:3,4 143:12,22 144:3,6 144:19,22,24 145:1,19 146:8 149:21,22 150:1 150:12 154:15 155:25 157:12,25 158:19 159:25 162:21 163:8,10 163:12 167:21 169:11,15 172:2,4 172:23 174:15,18 174:24 175:18 questions 4:10,11,19 4:24 18:6 20:20 28:15 42:7 54:24 55:5 56:2 58:4 75:7 77:10 123:8 123:24 132:20 137:24 141:21 179:1 quick 114:3 176:17 176:22 quickly 7:24 115:19 quid 139:10 140:2 quite 29:21 44:25 168:12 quo 139:10 140:2

R

R 3:1 raises 168:14 **raising** 158:23 ran 12:8 randomly 167:6 rate 8:16 re-list 17:3 re-reading 122:20 reach 151:19 reaches 90:10 153:18 react 28:4 34:15 52:6 reacted 9:18 reacting 137:24 read 7:18,21,22,25 8:4,5 24:23 27:2,3 31:12 37:4 50:25 67:25 73:12,22 77:22 78:3 80:21 80:23 94:7 101:4 111:6 114:12,14 114:17 115:13,16 115:20 116:2,4,18 118:8,22,24 119:6 120:16,19 121:21 121:23 123:7,11 127:5,12,21,23 128:7 129:20 130:11 131:6,23 132:10,16 135:24 141:20,22,25 142:4 154:17 159:18 174:14,16 177:1 179:3 readily 159:7 reading 24:21 33:4 33:21 40:16 55:22 74:19 111:5 114:23 122:20 129:11,25 130:25 132:25 148:20 154:13 156:1 174:2 179:6 reads 159:4 real 44:5 realize 88:15 101:19 realized 88:20 really 28:14 117:24 161:16 167:3 reason 5:16 87:17

reasons 52:9 160:22 170:20 rebutting 10:23 recall 13:8 17:15 63:22 64:25 75:13 125:23 136:2 137:5 164:18 receive 125:21,22 132:17 138:4,23 139:20 received 119:20,25 121:11 122:1,3 125:17,18 126:12 126:17 135:9 receives 125:25 receiving 33:16 118:3 143:1,6 recess 52:22 106:14 146:17 175:14 reciprocity 139:15 139:16 **recited** 115:23 recollection 136:8 record 4:1,12,16 5:9 5:14,17 52:24 70:11 80:9,23 83:24 84:18 85:8 87:14,21,25 88:4,6 96:1 100:20 107:4 107:6 115:22 116:4,25 121:23 123:10,11 124:1 126:9 128:20,24 129:20 141:22 146:20 164:9 174:16 175:16 176:5 178:1 **recorded** 5:7 180:8 recordings 136:22 records 125:20 **redacted** 84:5,16,20 **redactions** 84:23,25 85:1 **reduce** 158:25 **reduced** 89:12 90:9 180:9

reduces 89:18,19

refer 34:22 97:7 100:1 114:7 130:2 143:24 162:2 166:12 170:2 reference 64:2 68:18 100:8 142:11,13 157:18 163:20 referenced 17:18 22:13 25:1 72:16 96:23 101:22 160:18 163:24 references 64:5 referencing 99:19 117:3 133:10 **referred** 101:14 104:20 referring 60:5 103:1 113:23 117:8 119:11 121:16 124:3,5 126:21 131:15 156:2 163:23 176:16 refers 156:11 176:21 reflect 68:9 87:14 128:24 reflected 131:8 132:8,13 169:20 reframe 117:23,24 117:24 regarding 68:1 regardless 166:5 regularly 115:6 rejected 12:11,20 13:3 rejection 12:17 **relate** 77:25 **related** 17:5 18:23 19:9 29:22,23 34:25 39:11 61:18 64:4,20 74:6 75:5 75:15 76:6 78:1 79:4 109:25 150:12,13 161:15 172:21 relates 54:22

104:24 158:6

reasoning 142:17

176:15

170:3

[197]

				[197]
1 (1 (20 22 25	150 00 154 0 0	140.16	122 < 124 2 14	
relation 16:20,22,25	173:23 174:2,9	148:16	123:6 124:2,14	74:17 75:1,4,13,19
56:5 118:17 122:6	175:4,9	repeat 34:3 47:24	126:10 128:16	76:19,20,23 77:1
relationship 19:12	rendering 141:25	80:19 81:10,15	131:19 132:16	77:15,19,25 78:1,5
19:14 138:18	renew 119:13,22	117:5 128:25	133:10 138:2	78:10,15,23 79:7
139:7,8,12	142:24 143:8	129:18 132:4	142:12 156:24	79:14,22,23 80:3,7
relationships 139:4	148:14,17,23	148:10 149:21	161:25 162:3	80:10 82:11 97:7
139:6,23 140:1,2	167:18	154:15	163:22,24 164:3,8	97:13 99:25 100:8
140:11	renewal 70:24 71:12	repetition 77:7 80:6	164:12 166:11	100:12 104:19
relative 129:7	72:24 73:2 85:15	80:11,15,16	171:3 174:3 178:2	107:11,14,17,21
136:14 180:12,13	85:17 88:10 89:1	replicate 15:17	178:5,15,15,17,21	107:24 108:8,22
relevance 116:21	90:15,15 91:5,8	43:14 45:17,23	181:9,15	109:1,9,13 113:1,5
117:7,10	93:17,17 94:6,12	46:9,15,17,18	Reported 1:25	114:19,25 128:9
relevant 19:6 24:21	98:6,19 99:14,21	47:18 48:14,16,22	reporter 5:9 38:22	128:12 136:18
24:23 29:14 30:6	104:10 111:15,19	49:11 52:25 58:7	80:22 180:5	137:18,21,22,25
31:3 45:25 46:5	112:15 113:11,15	58:16,23 59:11,13	reporting 178:17	150:6,9,12,13,16
71:10,17 73:23	119:19,20,25	59:20 60:11 61:14	reports 35:3,21,22	153:3 158:12
74:12 97:21	120:4,6,25 121:5	65:10 66:23 67:3	represent 61:10	161:6 163:9,12,17
104:11 113:16	129:6 130:5,9,10	67:10	94:17	166:12,15 172:15
114:13,15,22	130:12,16,24	replicates 46:6	representation	researchers 75:10
117:20 120:24	132:21,22 140:14	replicating 53:10	62:13	75:24
121:4 125:15	140:16,23,24	67:17	representative	resist 42:21
126:15,19 157:17	141:8,13 142:5,6	replication 46:21	56:25 57:8,16	respect 109:13
163:1	142:17 143:5	53:15 58:25 65:20	representatives	respond 35:14
reliably 43:16	144:7,10 145:6,6	report 6:10,11,19,21	58:18 65:13,19	162:21
relied 25:25 26:15	145:13,17,21,23	6:23 7:4,14,19 8:1	154:4 156:6	responded 35:23
relies 140:10	146:1,3,9,11,21,25	8:7,12,15 9:20	representing 3:20	responding 37:7
relying 64:10	147:7,8,9,13,17,25	10:6,8,14 22:8,14	represents 38:21	response 5:13
remainder 171:9	148:4,5,13,18	22:24,25 23:3,15	63:4	rest 152:11
remaining 89:24	152:2,8,22 154:11	25:1,4,13 26:1,7,9	requested 80:23	restatement 117:15
remains 90:23	154:22 155:1,6,12	26:16,18,21 30:14	116:4 121:23	result 170:7
remark 102:7	155:15,21 160:10	30:25 36:6,9,15,16	123:11 129:20	resulting 153:18
remember 6:17 7:11	161:1,3 165:10,15	36:17,18,20 37:3,8	141:22 174:16	retain 81:11
9:10 16:24 17:8	168:16,21 169:1,4	38:15,18,19 39:1,3	require 130:4,4	retained 9:4 11:8
31:21 36:5 49:4,7	170:5,11 172:18	39:10,11 40:7,9,10	133:6	retirement 21:24
51:18 52:15,17	172:22	40:17 41:15 49:8	requires 97:2	revealed 11:12
62:25 64:3 120:11	renewals 70:17 72:4	63:1,13,21 64:12	107:17,20	reveals 37:11
126:1 135:17,19	81:23 82:14,23	64:21,24 65:7,8	research 17:19	review 44:6 85:23
136:6,15,16	83:9 85:14,22 88:7	67:23 70:10,12,15	18:20,23 19:9	113:24 116:23
139:24 151:4	88:14 90:22 95:3	73:14 74:1,19	20:23 21:25 24:13	125:20
remembering 12:16	102:23 105:21	77:18 78:25 79:2	24:16 27:18,21,22	reviewed 39:10 49:5
Remind 69:7	111:23 130:4	79:21 81:19 83:16	28:21 29:10,11,15	123:22 124:19
reminder 114:9	135:16 136:4	83:23,25 84:9,21	35:13 38:13 40:25	reviewer 69:20
120:5 123:24	147:2 148:2	94:4 95:12,25,25	41:2 42:16 44:13	reviewing 53:3
124:1,2,4,12 126:8	158:17 159:24	96:1 104:10,21	51:14 68:3 70:16	revoked 174:7
126:13	165:8 167:17,23	108:5,13 111:11	70:23 72:1,11,17	right 17:11 21:14
reminding 143:2	168:6,10 171:12	113:18 116:24	72:18 73:6,10,12	25:19 32:19 36:24
remotely 173:13,14	renewed 104:13	117:2,3 122:12	73:22 74:10,12,14	41:19 48:5,6 55:9
-	1			l

Integrity Advance 4/15/2016

[198]

61:23 72:4 92:9	134:24 143:10
93:10 109:12	155:10 156:12
114:6 138:19	schedule 163:23
139:2 142:21	164:5,11,17,21
143:14 146:6,7,23	165:1,2 166:4
146:24 164:10	schedules 165:3
165:22 170:16	School 4:6
173:8 177:23	science 42:25 44:11
right-hand 96:13	scientific 43:19,25
risk 118:10,16	72:23 73:1 82:4,6
roll 85:11	138:11
rolled 143:16,21	screen 23:16,18 24:4
rollover 129:10	54:11,13,22 55:14
172:9	62:8
rollovers 98:1	scripts 137:11,14,20
102:18,22 103:16	seal 180:18
128:18 129:5,5,24	search 176:9
room 3:16	second 40:13 72:18
roughly 162:5,7,12	77:14 80:25 97:1,5
RPR 1:25	100:19,22,23
rules 4:9	101:4 144:6
run 10:24 14:14,15	152:20 158:15
21:20 37:25	159:20 176:8,14
running 10:22 47:2	see 15:21 22:6 36:17
	38:3 40:11 52:11
<u>S</u>	66:14 71:4 75:16
S 2:16 3:1	97:10 112:18,19
Sadly 29:16	118:21 119:14
salient 162:22	121:1 138:6
save 151:2	140:19 160:14
saw 54:25 66:3	162:10 164:21

101101110,	501001120110,10 2
rollover 129:10	54:11,13,22 55:14
172:9	62:8
rollovers 98:1	scripts 137:11,14,20
102:18,22 103:16	seal 180:18
128:18 129:5,5,24	search 176:9
room 3:16	second 40:13 72:18
roughly 162:5,7,12	77:14 80:25 97:1,5
RPR 1:25	100:19,22,23
rules 4:9	101:4 144:6
run 10:24 14:14,15	152:20 158:15
21:20 37:25	159:20 176:8,14
running 10:22 47:2	see 15:21 22:6 36:17
	38:3 40:11 52:11
<u>S</u>	66:14 71:4 75:16
S 2:16 3:1	97:10 112:18,19
Sadly 29:16	118:21 119:14
salient 162:22	121:1 138:6
save 151:2	140:19 160:14
saw 54:25 66:3	162:10 164:21
135:19	171:22 172:17
saying 3:12 37:25	177:12,17 178:19
41:9 54:9,10,12	seeing 16:8 136:2
55:10,19 58:18	seeking 157:9 160:8
62:22 70:4,5 71:12	seen 50:23 51:16
78:2 88:19,21	65:1 67:20,21
104:17 120:23	78:12 84:15
142:6 155:22	sell 166:22 167:4
173:6 176:18,20	semi-long 179:2
says 40:13 41:16	send 115:6 179:5
55:14 70:22 71:5	sends 153:15 173:20
77:19 93:11	sense 6:24 45:13
110:12 131:23	101:7 110:4 167:3
139:23 145:25	sent 120:2,5 126:22
scenario 93:9,17,18	126:23
131:14,16 132:5	sentence 40:13
	I

41:15 70:21 81:5
97:5,10 100:9,24
101:23 103:1,18
112:18,19,22,25
119:9,14 140:19
144:6 145:25
152:4,5,11,14,20
153:9 154:8,17
155:10 156:1,2,3
156:11 160:14,19
160:22 171:21
sentences 70:19 separate 34:6 38:18
111:5 128:3 163:7
separately 38:20
152:14
separating 33:5
serve 140:17 144:11
146:4
service 18:11 20:15
28:14 57:16 58:18
65:13 115:13
118:9,16 141:3,4
151:19 SESSION 107:1
set 45:12 97:17
105:17 180:17
Setting 75:18
Seventh 2:18
shaking 82:19
shift 100:25 101:20
102:12 103:19,24
shifts 101:17,20
shorter 110:23
shortly 120:2 122:3
show 60:10 67:19 showing 47:16 48:8
97:7
shown 35:17 42:16
shows 107:11
163:19 165:21,23
side 10:22,23 14:15
sign 48:21 49:12
56:11 179:4
signature 49:19,22
50:4,7,13,18,23 51:2,12,16 52:16
31.4,14,10 32.10

62:10 179:6
signatures 63:15
64:5
signed 48:25 49:9
62:2 121:12 133:9
signing 48:13 49:3
51:6 52:2,10 56:6
57:1,8,10,11,17
59:16,23 60:6 61:8
62:9 122:6,9
signs 18:12
similar 16:16 17:7
42:3,4,5,6 43:8,21
43:21,22 44:2,2
47:11 50:8,14
55:19 62:12 63:12
76:1
similarly 79:21
simple 93:7
simple 73.7 simpler 130:22
simpler 130.22 simply 33:7 133:25
single 93:14 165:14
single-payment
91:12 94:15 98:1
102:18,23 103:16
102:18,25 103:16
sit 87:11
sitting 27:6 42:19
situation 42:4,5
43:22,24 44:6
49:14 128:6 135:5
139:10 151:4
167:9,12
situations 18:24
43:21 44:3
six 76:10 77:5,11
size 165:6 172:19,19
skimmed 7:22
135:22,24,25
skipping 163:22
slicer 55:11,15
slowly 7:24
smaller 62:5
smart 24:4,11
Social 11:24
somebody 53:23
54:2,8 105:20

```
147:17
someone's 109:23
  116:17
somewhat 162:7
sorry 59:18 77:8
 81:15 90:1 99:3
  102:21 122:25
 141:10 143:24
  145:18 147:15
 154:14 157:24
  165:12 174:11
sort 4:14 10:20
 14:19 41:8,11
 112:13 148:9
sounds 95:19 166:7
source 120:11
 176:16
sources 64:3,14
  115:17
speak 41:22 56:24
 111:9
speaking 149:17
specific 13:18 15:1
 16:3 23:21 24:6
 25:8 28:2,11 31:11
 50:15 51:18 52:12
 57:22 61:16,21,24
 61:25 63:7,25
  100:11 107:15
  109:10 110:7
 113:22 114:24
 127:15 128:12
  136:6
specifically 22:11,13
 30:8,13,23 35:4
 63:23 70:21 117:8
 117:12 128:11
 135:17 136:17
 141:5,9 150:9,11
 153:23 157:23
 172:6 176:2
specifics 49:4
speed 177:6
spell 3:25
spend 93:6 99:9,11
spent 7:1,3
spite 149:7
```

[199]

				[199]
spoke 57:7,10,11,11	sticking 108:20	suggests 70:23	58:22 60:22,23	57:15 65:18 84:11
spoke 57:7,10,11,11 spoken 57:9	stimuli 12:9 15:9,12	104:19 152:1,6	63:20 64:9 65:3,23	100:9,14 108:21
sponsored 177:13	15:18	154:21 177:4	65:25 66:2,6,7,12	108:21 110:15,24
sponsored 177.13 spontaneously	stop 81:3	sum 98:23,24	66:16,17 67:4,9,16	121:6,8 122:11
99:13	stopped 8:6	sum 78.25,24 summarize 120:12	177:8	123:4,15 132:11
SS 180:2	Street 1:12 2:9,18	140:22 154:12	surveyed 38:4,7	134:1 146:20
standard 50:4	strength 172:20	summarized 8:9	surveys 17:14 27:15	148:11 164:5
standard 30.4 stapled 38:20	stretch 5:16	summary 97:17	27:17,19 39:25	166:10 168:24
staring 99:10	strike 54:5 173:12	111:13,17 147:21	suspect 21:17	170:22,23,25
start 66:15 107:4	strike 34.3 173.12 strong 143:19	147:22	suspect 21.17 sworn 3:6	talks 117:18
125:4 166:10,11	171:19 172:25	supplement 175:22	synced 53:2,23,25	target 14:21,22,23
started 7:2,6 8:7	173:5	178:3	syncing 55:17	14:24
88:8,21,21	strongly 162:6	supplementing	synonym 75:21	task 13:25 36:13
starting 130:5	studied 51:2 79:4	178:9	synthesizing 69:24	40:6 41:7
starting 150.5 starts 31:17	115:8		Synthesizing 09.24	team 10:5
		support 71:6,16	T	
state 3:25 45:3 88:5	studies 39:24 40:3	139:18 142:12	table 27:6 162:2,3	tedious 76:8
94:4 136:11,14	97:17 153:6	143:4 176:2	176:11,13,14	telecommunicatio
164:19	study 27:1 28:4	suppose 24:5 37:19	177:18	15:14
stated 98:25 135:15	40:25 43:25 52:7	79:19	tablet 24:12	telemarketers
136:5,10 152:17	101:11 112:5	supposed 171:11	take 6:22 7:6,14	137:10,13,16,19
154:8	158:7 160:23,25	sure 4:2 5:1 14:3	17:21 24:20 25:2	137:23
statement 32:24,25	177:13	17:22 19:24 23:11	25:20 35:18 46:13	telephone 58:19
33:25 34:3 62:15	studying 12:6 140:7	27:18 28:4,13 29:6	52:19 54:3 55:7	telephonic 59:5
68:10 70:18 97:16	subject 10:16 21:13	34:2,4 40:23 45:19	63:3 66:10 72:6	tell 3:16 11:3 13:25
108:12,23 109:7	21:18 115:10	47:24 51:24 52:21	83:17 85:19 86:8	35:6 37:8 38:21
112:6,7,24 119:17	116:6 118:4,16	53:25 56:10 60:2	87:10 91:22,25	52:12 64:18 87:3
119:24 120:22	127:25	66:12 70:3 71:24	92:7 93:9 96:6	88:5 108:25 119:8
121:2,3 126:16	subjects 10:17 28:21	72:14 76:11 78:13		120:13 156:25
133:1,6 138:12	28:25	79:1 80:20 85:23	104:8,11 108:18	161:24 162:23
140:21,22 141:7	submissions 13:7	89:6 95:7 101:5,6	146:14 147:11,23	164:25 168:13
141:12,16,24	submit 13:5	104:4,23 109:8	174:9,21 175:12	telling 25:22 140:23
144:16 145:11,14	submitted 12:23,25	114:1 118:9,12,19	176:7	140:25
145:16 146:9	submitting 68:6	120:13,14 123:10	takeaways 71:18	ten 90:11
148:19,23 151:25	substantial 148:13	123:14 126:17	taken 37:16 38:5	ten-minute 175:12
152:19 153:13	151:6	131:14 132:6	52:22 106:14	tend 4:14 29:18
154:12 156:23	substitute 177:24	141:10,23 144:20	146:17 175:14	97:19 102:10
157:4,16 159:15	178:3,4	152:4 154:18	takes 105:20	134:19,21 137:19
160:17 161:7,8	substituting 178:10	166:17 169:12	talk 9:6 39:13,15	139:25 140:1
162:4 167:10	178:12,14	surrounding 51:18	71:5 92:22,23	167:2
168:6,7,11,19	subtraction 87:16	survey 10:21,23,23	101:10 116:13	tends 4:16
171:15,24	131:1	14:14,14,15,16,17	117:14 151:11	term 29:15,17,18
statements 9:19	succinct 5:1	15:3,17 16:11,13	talked 25:1 35:15	40:23 69:11,14
63:13	sufficiently 152:23	17:16 35:22,23,24	65:12 115:5,7	79:9,17 100:6,11
states 166:19	154:11 155:1	37:23,25 43:4,6	118:4 121:9	100:12,13
stereo 99:10	suggest 67:24 70:16	44:4 45:15 46:1,6	159:10	terms 14:7 18:12
stick 161:18 167:2	72:23	46:12 47:3,5,12	talking 18:1 44:17	19:14,16 37:3
167:11	suggesting 86:7	48:9 49:21 58:8,15	51:21 52:2 55:17	45:16 66:19 75:15
	I	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

[200]

				[200]
80:2 97:24 105:5,6	80:1 97:19,20 99:8	136:13	95:10 131:7,24	167:1
105:8	109:2,3 131:18	thoughts 41:17,19	132:1,7,8,12 134:2	type 12:5 16:11 17:2
tested 68:5 115:8	139:22 170:7	42:8 82:7 92:11	147:2 149:7,12,16	21:7,12 36:25 37:6
testified 3:6 37:4	171:2	three 39:23 64:14	149:24 150:3,8,10	107:17 140:2
48:1 56:23 57:24	think 8:10 12:22	89:1 123:8 141:21	150:20,23 169:20	147:10
65:21 76:12,13,20	15:10 17:21,25	thrust 71:6,8,15	transactions 139:25	types 14:12 24:20
175:22	18:18 20:6 27:9,11	TILA 93:10,11	140:12	28:23,24 32:21
testifying 155:16,20	29:18 31:15,16,23	94:14,17,19 131:9	transcript 37:4	171:5
testimony 1:18 6:7	33:11,14 34:13,19	131:23 132:13	translate 23:19	typically 10:7 43:12
25:20 26:2 31:25	35:6 36:6 37:12	136:5 169:9,20	translation 24:7	80:3
43:3 44:20 45:2	45:3,6,9,24 46:19	time 7:1,3 18:18	trial 6:7 36:21	00.3
51:5,11 61:20	46:23 47:9 53:7	39:21,22 41:23	tried 20:13	U
64:13 68:22 70:4	55:24 59:13,19	42:20 43:17 49:2	triple 86:21	ultimate 28:8 32:17
98:7,17 99:20,21	64:4 65:23 67:8	52:18 55:13 57:9	trouble 37:5 75:21	ultimately 12:15,22
120:13 159:3	74:3,4 75:9,23	57:16,19 59:16,23	true 76:24 112:20	30:6 31:22 34:18
164:1 180:8	76:2,5,12 77:12	61:4 67:20,21	112:21,22,25	153:18
testing 15:21	84:17 85:5 87:20	68:17 71:14,17	145:12,15 153:1,1	Um-hum 97:11
text 14:11,18 15:8	91:10,24 92:13	72:6 92:19,22 93:6	153:4 159:6,9	162:11 164:14
30:10 32:2 50:18	93:4 94:8 95:8	106:4 108:11,17	try 42:7 46:2 55:6	unclear 5:3
50:24 54:13 55:14	98:2,5 105:7,18	100.4 100.11,17	61:14 66:10,21	unclearly 31:8
55:21 75:5 76:21	107:16,20 111:3	110:17 111:1,8	67:21 103:14	uncomfortable 5:23
76:24 77:2,3,16	114:6 115:24	115:10 116:6	110:23 115:4	unconfirmed 105:1
78:10,16 126:24	116:10 118:13	118:5,13,16	123:3 138:16	uncovered 43:1
127:5,13 128:11	119:1,10 121:18	119:12,22 131:24	142:13 147:5	undergraduate
thank 25:20 27:13	122:23 124:9	146:15	156:21	86:13,15
85:3 87:19 96:19	128:6 129:11	times 5:1 10:4 39:18	trying 12:21 15:3,5	understand 4:23 5:5
119:7 168:17	130:15 131:21	88:13,14	15:23 67:3 77:24	14:7 15:10,23
178:25 179:2	134:6,14 135:3	timing 57:13 123:20	109:25 122:16	20:14,19 31:17,21
Thanks 101:9	137:5 142:4,16	tired 154:17	turn 40:8,9 96:11	32:7 34:2,5,25
theme 136:15	147:3,25 148:3	today 3:14,18,20	100:19 128:15	35:7 40:21 45:8
themes 136:16	152:11,16 154:16	4:11 5:2 179:1	137:7 140:13	46:2 53:6 54:17
theoretical 71:20	155:12 159:2,22	told 40:7 62:24 63:1	163:21	57:21 66:8,11
72:11,15,21	160:2,21 162:20	123:21 125:24	TV 55:9	69:11 70:3 71:24
theory 68:24 69:25	162:25 163:3,25	126:1 133:21	Twenty-five 21:11	72:12 77:25 83:2,4
110:23 111:8	164:6 167:22	164:16	twice 39:19 90:20,24	85:24 91:16 93:25
112:8 113:6,10	178:4	top 10:12 29:1 73:4	two 26:19 28:19	94:3 103:13
thesis 11:25	thinking 17:24	92:3 100:23	38:11,18 70:15,22	105:13,18 110:1,3
thing 5:6 10:20	33:13 37:9 71:22	165:24	71:18,25 72:15,17	110:8,20 111:24
12:17 18:1 27:5	75:21 76:2 104:21	topic 11:25 28:9	72:22 73:25 74:16	129:10,14 134:4
100:14 118:11	115:5 145:3	37:11 73:13,19,20	89:1 90:6 102:3	135:15 136:4
135:20	173:24	107:24 128:10	110:10,11 116:7	144:23 145:1,12
things 13:4 14:22	third 6:16 78:14	150:7	117:2,17 119:9,18	146:10 147:13,24
15:8,19 17:11 31:5	152:5 160:15	topics 28:20 38:7,8	122:17 126:21	148:5,24 155:15
37:18 41:14 44:5	Thirty-four 157:1	total 7:3,16 85:16	130:13 152:25	158:21 167:10
62:4,4 64:6,7	thought 18:17 66:13	88:14 89:2,2 90:5	153:1 155:14	169:12,14 172:3,8
67:19 73:25 77:20	74:17 90:21,22	90:13 92:19 93:13	156:21 161:15	understanding
77:20 79:4,18,23	132:6 135:8	93:16 94:23 95:5	165:3 166:19	10:19 13:17 14:18
, , -				l

[201]

15:6,7,22 16:1,7
16:16,20,23 17:4
18:7 23:5,13 29:12
30:5 31:1,4,7,25
34:8,18 35:18
36:19 37:17 40:14
41:9,12,13 43:2
45:10,25 46:13,24
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
54:23 56:8 57:6,12
60:7 61:9 62:16
66:22,25 67:17
68:2 78:25 79:16
80:12 105:8,25
110:5,8 114:16
120:1,4 122:2,5
133:17,20 135:2
137:6 151:14,16
151:22 153:14
160:12 164:25
165:2 172:18,22
173:16,17
understands 147:8
147:18 171:25
understood 20:16
45:16 66:19 70:1
129:24 148:12
155:5
undertakes 26:25
undertook 31:2
unexpectedly
168:22
University 86:17
unnecessary 87:20
unpack 29:7
unrenewed 104:13
up-to-date 6:12
use 18:6 19:4 27:11
28:6 29:18 47:9
48:17,19 49:21
53:13,18,20 58:24
64:8 65:16 72:14
72:20,21 75:10,19
12.20,21 /3.10,19
100:10 117:25
139:24,24
uses 43:20
Usually 15:25
utilize 108:2
uillize 108.2

<u>V</u>
vague 23:24 46:7
53:4 54:15 56:15
58:10 59:25 60:19
67:5 69:3 73:15
75:6,7 78:7 79:17
91:14 92:1 98:15
100:3 103:7 104:4
105:10 107:19
113:8 125:7
134:10 144:22
158:19 159:25
169:10 172:2
valid 36:19 65:24
66:2 68:5
valuable 140:14
141:8,13,18 142:6
142:18,20 144:8
145:17,21,24
146:2,10,22,25
147:7,14,17,25
148:1,3
value 118:11,16
145:7
variable 46:23
variables 14:12,19
14:20 32:3 41:8
59:14
varies 165:4
variety 91:19
various 37:18
vary 137:19
vast 69:19 148:16
Venable 2:17 3:21
3:23 6:1,14 22:2
22:22
verbalize 5:12
versa 79:25
version 62:19 63:19
64:8 65:2 84:20,21
117:16 140:16
144:9,16 145:4,5
146:3 166:17
176:8
versions 37:21 63:2
versus 20:18 21:5

48:10 60:25 77:21	109:5 117:23
78:11 109:3	118:23 121:9
110:16,25 116:14	151:20
127:6,13,22	ways 29:20 42:1
128:11 139:4,6	44:1 91:19 92
150:10,22 171:13	115:7,8 117:2
vice 79:25	we'll 5:17 143:1
viewed 24:3	143:14 179:3,
viewing 24:10 63:10 66:11	we've 44:16 77: 95:16 110:15
views 82:12	118:4
violated 136:11	website 151:18
violating 20:4	153:15
vis-a-vis 16:17 77:2	websites 52:8
visual 15:9,12,18	151:24
visual 15.5,12,18 visuals 16:8	week 6:16
Vivian 2:7 3:19	weeks 92:20
voice 55:13	Wehr 1:25 180:
	Weinberg 2:5 3
\mathbf{W}	3:24 9:9,12 11
waived 179:6	13:23 14:9 18
want 3:15,20 5:17	20:6,8,21 22:1
13:9 18:1 21:20	23:25 25:12,1
25:11,14,19 30:8	26:14 27:14 3
31:24 34:23 38:3	30:21 32:6 33
42:18 48:22 49:11	34:12 36:10 3
70:3 76:10 77:13	39:5,7,17 45:1
87:12 88:17 95:17	46:8 47:22 50
105:6 123:9,14,23	51:10,25 52:2
128:19 141:4	53:5 54:16 56
144:5,18 155:13	56:20 58:11 6
157:19 163:21	60:20 64:17 6
167:4,5	67:14 69:1,5,1
wanted 8:3 13:4 26:7 107:4,4	70:2,13 73:16
136:11 175:22	75:17 76:18 7
Washington 1:13	80:24 82:18 8
2:10,19 180:3	83:21 84:8,19
wasn't 19:15 25:25	85:4,25 86:3,4 87:2,6,8,15,19
26:18 51:19 86:6	88:3 91:15 92
103:25 104:18,22	94:2 95:17,22
104:23 121:8	96:9,19,21 98
123:15 140:5	100:5 102:16
170:25	103:10 104:6
watching 55:22	105:12 106:7,
way 31:19 34:23	107:3.9.22 110

	1
109:5 117:23	116.2 5 121.21 24
118:23 121:9	116:2,5 121:21,24
	122:16,23 123:2
151:20	123:18 124:8
ays 29:20 42:1	125:9 127:11,18
44:1 91:19 92:22	128:22 129:2,18
115:7,8 117:23	129:21 131:12
'e'll 5:17 143:10,12	134:12 141:17
143:14 179:3,5	142:2 144:14,25
'e've 44:16 77:12	146:16,18 149:19
95:16 110:15	158:20 160:5
118:4	161:23 164:3,11
ebsite 151:18	164:15 167:24
153:15	169:16 172:5
ebsites 52:8	174:19 175:12,15
151:24	175:17 176:25
eek 6:16	178:13,25 179:5
veeks 92:20	181:5
Vehr 1:25 180:5	Weinberg's 19:25
Veinberg 2:5 3:9,17	122:20
3:24 9:9,12 11:13	welcome 27:10
13:23 14:9 18:4,16	114:7 120:1 122:1
20:6,8,21 22:1	122:3 123:20
23:25 25:12,19,23	125:6,12,17,18,22
26:14 27:14 30:19	125:25 128:14
30:21 32:6 33:24	129:4 132:10
34:12 36:10 38:25	well-being 139:14
39:5,7,17 45:1	well-known 81:9
46:8 47:22 50:1,22	166:25
51:10,25 52:21,23	Wendy 2:5 3:17
53:5 54:16 56:16	17:24 52:20 95:21
56:20 58:11 60:4	wendy.weinberg
	2:12
60:20 64:17 67:7	
67:14 69:1,5,16	went 11:2 85:17
70:2,13 73:16	weren't 52:4 121:1,6
75:17 76:18 78:8	134:7 161:14
80:24 82:18 83:6	170:23
83:21 84:8,19 85:1	Wesleyan 86:17
85:4,25 86:3,4,10	Wheeler 2:6 3:19
87:2,6,8,15,19,23	27:13
88:3 91:15 92:4	whereof 180:17
94:2 95:17,22,23	Whereupon 3:3
96:9,19,21 98:16	Whitney 4:5
100:5 102:16	willingness 166:22
103:10 104:6	166:24
105:12 106:7,11	witness 2:14 3:5
107:3,9,22 110:19	9:10 11:11 13:21
113:9 115:14	14:3 20:13 21:22

41:25 47:17 80:3

[202]

				[202]
26:8 36:8 51:24	worse 170:10	177:17 181:9	181:2	46 168:8,14
60:1 69:17 75:9	wouldn't 9:2 50:15	10 148:8	202 2:11,20	47 144:1 157:1
83:1 85:21 86:8	68:19 72:20 76:2	100 89:13 102:8	21 95:24 160:18	171:17
87:15 88:2 92:2	80:1 94:3 118:23	162:23	22 72:16,18 108:6	48 156:22 157:2
93:24 95:19 96:20	119:5 170:2	107 181:13	108:20 110:24	
102:1 103:9 104:3	write 6:22 7:14 8:11	11:26 87:25	23 72:16,19 111:10	5
106:9 107:20	9:20 27:1 102:14	11:30 88:4	160:18 165:4,21	5 96:3 107:7 181:13
115:4 123:17	119:8 148:10	11:45 95:14	23-day 165:25	50 89:9,13,14 90:4,6
128:25 160:2	168:8	11:55 106:13	24 165:23	90:9
167:22 169:12	writing 6:18 7:2,4,6	12 177:20	25 7:10 112:12,14	500 85:12 89:10
172:3 174:17	7:13 8:7 26:16	12:57 107:2	25th 6:20	51 176:12
176:7 178:11	102:15 180:9	12:58 107:4	26 114:5,7 119:7	523 176:13
180:17	written 18:9 28:24	13 70:9 157:19,19	125:19 126:16,18	554 100:22 103:1
wonderful 55:12	30:1,24 35:21 45:4	157:20 158:5	27 132:15 137:7	555 102:1
word 8:25 35:7 69:7	61:9 96:18	164:4	28 92:20	575 2:18
70:1 72:14 75:18	wrong 120:13 134:8	135 89:13 90:6	29 138:2	
75:20 76:23	135:1,8	14 40:10 164:22	299 102:4	6
103:18 126:24	wrote 26:20,20	14.99 101:11,13		6 95:25 161:21
139:25	145:4 154:20	15 1:8 39:22 42:15	3	162:2,3 181:14
wording 115:9,10	143.4 134.20	67:24 89:13 90:8	3 70:14 71:2 83:18	60 176:18
words 18:7 56:22	X	90:10 180:9 181:2	83:19 164:9 181:5	650 94:25 95:11
58:13 75:11 76:6	X 20:11,11 181:1	150 85:13,15 88:12	181:11	131:24 132:3,8
91:7 94:7 116:13		88:14,23,25 89:2,9	3:02 175:16	134:3 168:25
126:22 133:15	Y	90:4 131:25 169:2	3:07 179:7	
135:1 139:24	Yale 4:6 114:21	161 181:14	30 89:13,23,24	7
167:17	yeah 11:2 15:11	1625 1:12 2:9	140:13 142:16,19	7 108:7 178:1,23
work 8:15 9:6 11:9	21:9 36:8 37:21	165 4:5	144:13,15 165:24	181:15
15:23 16:11,13	117:16 124:9	178 181:15	31 142:16 148:7,8,9	7.1 177:12
17:2 19:2,4 21:4,8	172:17 174:13	1/0 101.13	313 162:3	75 162:6,7,13
21:17 27:17 35:10	year 11:21 92:19	2	32 151:10 153:10	750 88:15 89:3
	years 42:15 151:3	2 25:13 38:24 39:2,6	154:9 156:3	
35:12,15 37:10,20	yellow 84:23	101:24 102:2	33 114:3,5	8
151:15	yep 85:9 148:21	124:7 161:10	34 156:22 157:2	8 112:13 138:15
worked 21:23	162:1	175:23 176:21	344-4708 2:20	165:4,21
114:20 136:23	yesterday 26:5	181:10	35 160:10,15	83 181:11
137:16		2,075 90:17 91:2,9	38 181:9,10	85 142:23
working 10:6 53:23	Z	93:19 94:25 95:11	399 102:3	
workout 85:18 89:7	zero 90:11	98:12,18	399 102.3	9
89:7 90:3,5,12,16		2/14/21 180:25	4	9 138:3
90:24 91:5,9 93:17	0	2:10 146:19	4 40:10 96:7,11	9:32 1:18
94:7,13 98:6,20	04/21/2016 180:19	2.10 140.19 20 7:10	176:20 181:12	96 181:12
99:15 105:18,19	042575 85:8		40 7:16 8:18	99 162:23
105:21 129:7	06520 4:6	200 90:5	43 164:4	
165:17,18		2000 11:22	435-7688 2:11	
works 52:20 95:20	1	20004 2:19	45 166:11	
148:18	1 38:23 39:1,6 70:12	20006 1:13 2:10	450 8:16,18 89:11	
world 25:6	70:14 96:1 101:11	2008 178:6	89:12	
worry 155:12	108:6 138:3	2016 1:8 180:9	09.14	
	I	I	I	I